CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

May 21, 2019 - 2:14 P.M.

BLOWING ROCK ART AND HISTORY MUSEUM

159 GINNY STEVENS LANE

BLOWING ROCK, NORTH CAROLINA

Reported by Marianne S. Aguirre

Verbatim Court Reporter, Notary Public

Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

```
APPEARANCES
 1
 2
     Mr. Hank Fordham, Esquire
 3
 4
     BOARD OF TRUSTEES:
 5
     Ms. Judith Kennedy
 6
 7
     Ms. Renee Kumor
     Mr. Jason Walser
 8
 9
     Mr. Charles Vines
     Mr. John Wilson
10
     Mr. William Toole
11
12
13
     CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT STAFF:
14
     Ms. Greer Cawood, Chairman
     Ms. Sydney McDaniel
15
16
     Ms. Marissa Hartzler
17
     Mr. Steve Bevington
18
     Ms. Marie Meckman
     Ms. Nancy Guthrie
19
20
     Ms. Terri Murray
21
     Mr. Damon Hearne
     Mr. Walter Clark
22
23
     Mr. Justin Mercer
     Mr. Will Summer
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS 1 2. Clean Water Management Trust Fund Board of Trustees Meeting, May 21, 2019, 3 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: I want to call to order our 4 Clean Water Management Trust Fund May 5 Meeting. We are here in beautiful Blowing 6 7 Rock Art and History Museum, so first want to thank Lee Carol Giduz for having us, who I 8 9 haven't met yet. 10 MS. GIDUZ: Right here. 11 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: You are here. Good, I was 12 looking at the right person. Thank you. 13 MS. GIDUZ: Welcome everybody, we're so glad to have you here. I think we should move the 14 15 tables outside, it's so gorgeous if we put you on the front lawn. But we are pleased to 16 17 have you here. I do hope you'll take time while you're here to look through the museum. 18 We do have one gallery in transition, but we 19 20 have the traveling American Watercolor 21 Society Show downstairs which is truly 22 fabulous and also original illustrations by 23 Gail Haley who's the only artist to get both the Mary Babcock and the Caldecott Award for 24 25 illustrations, and we have her Jack Tale book

illustrations downstairs. We have a 1 2. permanent exhibit on the history of Blowing Rock upstairs and I'll just plant a seed. 3 When you need to come back in the fall we've 4 got the excuse because we'll be opening an 5 exhibit of the Cone Sister collection. 6 7 Cone Sisters were Moses Cone of Flat Top Manor sisters and they collected, if not the 8 9 largest, one of the largest collections of 10 modern art, including Matisse, Picasso, Chagall, and we will be having an exhibit of 11 12 some of the works from their collection here 13 that does include Matisse, let's see, Matisse, no Picasso, Renoir and a little 14 15 Rembrandt, and that will be a really fabulous show so we're really proud to have it. 16 done with the commercial, enjoy your time. 17 hope you get a lot of good work done. 18 We're 19 really glad to have you. If you need 20 anything, find one of us and we'll see that 21 that gets taken care of. 22 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Well, this is a beautiful place, 23 thank you so much. We appreciate it. MS. GIDUZ: Thank you, see you later. 24 25 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: And we have a number of visitors

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with us and we'll have time if people want to make comments, but of course, do want to welcome the First Lady, Kristin Cooper, so nice to be with us. Glad you're here. so I will start with a roll call and since I came in late I am here. Was Fred able to come with us? Fred is not with us. We have Judy is here, Renee is here, spoken to. Mr. Toole, glad you're here, Mr. Vines, and Jason Walser I saw and John Wilson and David Womack, unfortunately was not able to be with So to make sure that we're in compliance with General Statute 138A-15, it mandates that I, as Chair, inquire as to whether any Trustee knows of any conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to matters on the agenda, and if you do know of a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest, please state so at this time. MR. WALSER: Yes, so I would like to recuse myself from discussions around Three Rivers Land Trust and the Alcoa situation as well as the Catawba Land Conservancy scope of work

contract.

1	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Great and so people know, since
2	Jason is our chair of acquisition I will take
3	over chairing that committee with those two
4	items.
5	MR. WALSER: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Always we want to make sure all
7	cell phones are turned off or on vibrate and
8	I'll entertain any revisions, additions to
9	the agenda and if not, then I'll hear
10	MS. KUMOR: So move that the agenda be adopted, I
11	present it.
12	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Do I have a second?
13	MS. KENNEDY: I will second.
14	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Wonderful, thank you. All in
15	favor?
16	MEMBERS: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed? Great. Okay. We
18	will start with a consent agenda which
19	everyone had been sent and will just ask if
20	anybody has any comments around any of these
21	items. If not then we can do them all
22	together.
23	UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: Madam Chair, make a motion
24	to approve.
25	MS. KUMOR: Second.

CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Wonderful. All in favor? 1 2 MEMBERS: Aye. CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed? Moving right 3 along, okay. Your legal update, do you have 4 any for us today? 5 MR. FORDHAM: I don't have anything unless anybody 6 7 has any questions. CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Good deal, well, thank you. 8 9 Walter, our executive director update. 10 MR. CLARK: Well, I have to say, it's so nice to be back home in the high country and seeing 11 12 all these familiar faces. Tell you what, I 13 might not go back home. It's such a beautiful day. I want to echo Greer's 14 15 welcome to the First Lady, Kristin Cooper, for being here, thank you very much for 16 coming. I'd like to also recognize the mayor 17 of Blowing Rock, Charlie Sellers. Charlie 18 also is the owner of the Blowing Rock 19 20 Attraction so go visit. They've done a lot 21 of updates he tells me. Anne Browning is here. Anne was the former chair of Blue 22 23 Ridge Conservancy and a good friend. Thank you, Anne, for being here. Charlie Brady, 24 25 who is the current director of Blue Ridge

Conservancy; Zika Rea, Zika is the current chair of Blue Ridge Conservancy, man, they are really represented. And I promise, I had nothing to do with that. And then I'd like to recognize Misty Buchanan. Misty is a director of our state's Natural Heritage program. Thanks for being here, Misty.

I also would -- Lee Carol has already left. I really want to thank her for making this facility available to us. She basically -- the museum basically provided it free of cost and it's a wonderful museum. If you get a chance to walk around, please do. One of the things about this museum that's always been special to me is that it shows the real relationship between art and nature here. Often you can't really separate the two that much, and this museum sort of exemplifies that. You know, art is something that's often inspired by nature and you'll see a lot of that in this museum.

Just provide a quick legislative update.

I think most of you know that there's some

bills that are making their way fairly

quickly through the legislature. House Bill

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or Senate Bill 381 is one that we have been watching very closely. It's the bill that would correct the situation with the constitutionality of this board. As most of you will remember, there was a case, Cooper v Burger, a decision that was handed down last year that said the governor has the right to make the majority of appointments to our board. And Senate Bill 381 would rectify that and create a new appointment system. From a staff perspective, the bill also does one thing that's important, it basically makes all of Clean Water's employees subject to the State Personnel Act and that's important because that act provides protection for state employees.

Right now all of us are EPA or exempt from the State Personnel Act which means that we are hired and fired at will. That might be applicable to someone like me but certainly not for the rank and file staff members of Clean Water so that's a big change. The bill also adds to the criteria or at least some considerations for funding criteria for the board. The legislature

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

feels it wise that the trustees give some priority to projects that are part of a larger plan and consider those projects in making funding decisions.

And the other thing they wanted to recognize was having the trustees give consideration to projects that may be eminently threatened by development. So those are two sort of substantive changes to our -- that happened to -- legislation if Senate Bill 381 passes. Another bill we're watching is Senate Bill 356. It's a bill that would actually provide some additional funds to Clean Water if it should pass. basically says that any state surplus real estate that's sold, part of the proceeds will come to Clean Water, 12 and a half percent of those proceeds would flow to Clean Water another 12 and a half percent would flow to the Parks and Recreation Trust Fund.

So we're not quite sure how much money that would provide. There's some pretty significant exemptions from it. For example, the Department of Transportation was very vocal in saying that they did not want any of

their properties sold with money flowing to
Clean Water or part of it. They wanted to
keep the money within the Department of
Transportation. There was another exemption
for some very expensive property in downtown
Raleigh, that that would be exempted from
this as well. So that's another bill we're
watching.

The House has come out with its budget recommendation for Clean Water which is basically the same budget that we currently have. It would provide \$13.2 million to Clean Water in recurring funds, an additional \$4 million in non-recurring funds and that's exactly where we were this year. The Senate budget recommendation is due out any day. I thought it was going to happen last Friday. I don't know, Will, did you hear anything this morning? Is it still silent?

MR. SUMNER: I have not looked this morning, not heard a thing.

MR. CLARK: So what will happen, when the Senate comes out with their recommendation, they'll be a reconciliation between the House and the Senate. The governor's recommendation is \$25

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

million so it would be a significant increase. First Lady, please thank the governor for being so supportive of us. So what will happen with that process is that they'll be a reconciliation between the House and the Senate and then they'll be some negotiating between the governor and the legislature. I imagine we'll see that process probably extend at least until July.

One last thing, there is one more bill which I think is very interesting. bill, it's House Bill 1008 and it would reinstate the Conservation Tax Credit. think most of you have been in conservation for a while know that there used to be a tax credit for conservation. It went away. This is a way -- this bill would bring it back on a limited basis. It would just be for properties that protect military buffers, that protect farmland or that protect flood plains. It would be 25 percent of the donated value of the conservation interest or the real estate interest. It does have some terms. It has some limitations, both in value and terms for how long you can carry it

forward, but it's a step in the right direction so hopefully we may see the Conservation Tax Credit come back.

And then finally I know this is the last board meeting perhaps for three of our trustees and we'll probably say some nice -- some words to you tonight but I wanted to say from a personal perspective to Bill Toole and Charles Vines who are here today, that it's been a real honor to serve with you. You're both incredibly diligent about working to see that Clean Water's mission is followed. And plus you're both incredibly nice to us staff. You've been just wonderful to work with and we'll miss you terribly. So thank you very much.

And to Fred Dufour who could not be here today, Fred is -- had the great opportunity to go down and visit Fred at his farm in Sampson County two weeks ago. And Fred wants to stay involved with Clean Water. He wants everybody to come down and see his magnificent farm that he has. And everything he has said is so true about it. It's one of the best managed farms I've ever seen. He's

2.

done all the right conservation practices. 1 There's a beautiful, old farmhouse out there 2. that he's offered to the staff and trustees 3 to come and visit and stay. So I think we 4 should take him up on his offer. 5 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Thank you, Walter. And just to 6 7 echo Bill Toole and Charles Vines have been such strong members and we came in -- well, 8 9 Charles was already on the board when I came, 10 but Bill, you and I came on the board at the same time and it has been a great honor and 11 12 pleasure to serve. Well, we can open up for 13 public comments. We ask that you hold those comments to three minutes per person if 14 15 anyone wants to speak. We'll start with Renee Kumor with Our Restoration, Innovative 16 17 Stormwater and Planning Committee report and recommendations. 18 MS. KUMOR: Thank you. Thank you very much, and I 19 20 thank my committee who was willing to diligently meet once live and once a month 21 22 and you tried to address all of these issues. 23 The first one is dealing with the stewardship of our easements and it's come to our 24 attention that often the people who apply for 25

grants might not have a background in 1 2. maintaining stewardship and understanding what goes into watching a piece of property 3 and maintaining it and that there is a cost 4 involved. And we talked about what should 5 happen and how we could help those who apply 6 7 for grants because we didn't want to make it an impediment if you did not have the 8 experience of managing an easement. So we 9 10 decided that we would like to encourage the staff to come up with criteria that works 11 12 with anybody who is looking for funds from us 13 to make -- acquire this kind of an easement and set some standards on what they would do. 14 15 If, in fact, the applicant has a lot of background, that's very easy and if the 16 17 applicant has no background in stewardship and we want to make sure that we work with 18 19 them and help them maybe partner with 20 somebody or help them in any way that makes 21 it not a liability that they don't. And 22 Steve, did you have any criteria that you 23 wanted to --MR. BEVINGTON: I think if we dim the lights maybe 24 we can see either this or the following 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

slide. I'll just talk a quick second about this and then we've got to do a lot on the agenda. But really this is what we've drawn up as staff to try and really first determine who might need assistance and then offer assistance to people to help further the stewardship qualities of the restoration program.

Sort of catching up in some ways what's done very carefully on the acquisition side. Recognizing that these are smaller easements but they're quite important and I actually heard some of that discussion around town in Boone, the importance of -- so really the four steps is really determining what the quality of those who hold the conservation easements are. You know, find out -- there are many of them, the organizations already hold these easements and as Renee said have some experience. How are they monitored and by whom, sort of collecting data and who the staff is actually taking care of these. Do they have dedicated funds to do this work and do they have the ability to defend them.

And again this is, on the acquisition

side, sort of routine business but in 1 2. restoration it's been done more on a trust basis. And then rather than exclude people 3 from becoming sort of holders of these 4 conservation easements or following what the 5 -- the committee really recommended we do 6 7 which is to provide technical support, and 8 I'll end my comments just by saying that 9 luckily we do have guite a good source of 10 material in our archives from previous work on this which we'll update so we have a quite 11 12 extensive document that was prepared by Will 13 and other employees in the past. It's an eight-page document with a lot of detail that 14 15 we think we can help it further explain with people how to take care of these conservation 16 17 issues. Because in the restoration world there are small towns and parties like that 18 taking on a role that's very unfamiliar to 19 20 them, so that's the details of what was is 21 out there. 22 MS. KUMOR: And as we tell you we made -- there's 23 nothing that you have to act upon. You're just bringing them up-to-date on where we are 24 and we, as a committee felt very comfortable 25

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with making certain that we were nonrestrictive in any way, shape or form with
anybody who wants to work on issues of
restoration and try to find a way to make
certain that we help them when the challenge
comes to -- stewardship.

CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any questions?

MS. KUMOR: The other issue we were dealing with is repair and maintenance of projects. And it was brought to our attention that we had a current restoration statement in our contracts that for a period of ten years after the project was completed, the recipient agreed to maintain and manage, that the maximum facility and utility -- and it goes on into the criteria and what it would do and it was suggested that do we really want ten years and/or do we really want to do this because we didn't have a mechanism for accepting those reports and it was sort of something there that didn't happen but it was in our template. We, as a committee, decided that, yes, we like ten years and as you mentioned, Fred was very adamant about we really want ten years. And that if, in fact,

this doesn't -- the current template doesn't suggest there's a report, we suggested instead that this portion be rewritten so that a report does come in and there is a mechanism that allows our staff to get a report with some kind of status on how this restoration is holding up.

And with that in mind we also came to another question which was not related to ten years in reporting, but we wanted to know if we understood how our restoration projects hold up and we were able to look back on, what, about a few years ago there was a report given to us on some sampling that reflected that our restoration projects do hold up well, a good portion of them do. And we decided as a committee to, as long as we are formalizing reporting and holding to ten years with regard to the restoration project, keeping track of the restoration project we wanted to know the status of our restoration projects and have they over the long-term haul held up and have recommended that Walter design a job description and hire a temporary person to go out and do that kind of an

25

2.

assessment. Did I cover everything because

MR. BEVINGTON: You did, that's wonderful. Flip
the slide. I'll just point out that we do
have a few edits we're hoping to make working
with Hank as our counsel on this to sort of
increase the assurance that we'll get
accurate reporting over this period and
whether a future board would want to come
back and examine this, would really come back
to we collect this data in this next threemonth period. So there's some small tweaks
in there that we're going to suggest, run
past counsel and see if it would hold up
better in the template in short term.

One important thing that did come up was many of the contracts we strike for construction are allowed now to either have a one or two-year warranty period and we're going to up that with the committee's recommendation. Everybody should see a good two-year warranty for any mistakes made during construction, which is about as far as you can usually push something unless someone is off the site and is driven away. We

thought that was important.

25

And then just show you some quick pictures. And occasionally we do have natural disasters and man-made disasters, one of the two that contributes significant damage but it is repairable if the cost can be identified and the solutions can be identified. So to sort of see how often -what is the true jeopardy of that, we do actually have posted today with the great help of staff here, a temporary job for three months to visit all 200 sites that this fund has funded for restoration in the last 20 years, so we'll have not just a good statistical sampling but a real accountable, simple description of whether easements are in place, whether they're marked, whether the structures were put in the stream are still there and still functioning. It won't be a level of detail we might see in some of the research work we've asked for before, but we'll have a quick snapshot of whether things are holding together, a complete list of where we might need to go to provide further help.

I think it gives us the opportunity, I 1 MS. KUMOR: 2 mean, we can see in acquisition that the acquisition is still there, but in 3 restoration we will have the opportunity to 4 see what kind of work has happened, how 5 sustainable it has been and how useful our 6 7 criteria have been at the time, so that's what we offer you and do you all have any 8 9 questions? Okay. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Great work by the committee. Thank you, Renee, very much. And we will 11 12 move on, Jason, to the Acquisition Committee 13 report and recommendations and it's 2D but I'll -- is that correct? 14 15 MR. WALSER: Yes. CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: So if you we'll proceed with 2A, 16 17 B, C, E and F. MR. WALSER: So the Acquisition Committee has met 18 three times, once in person and twice over 19 20 the phone since our last formal board meeting 21 and Nancy has been a great staff support for 22 our committee. Thank you. And if it's okay 23 with you, I'm going to turn it over to you to talk about the details of A, B and C. I am 24 25 going to recuse myself from conversation

around D.

1

MS. GUTHRIE: Okay. Thank you. The 2A and 2B are 2 very similar requests. Both of these 3 projects spent some funding or incurred costs 4 on transactional costs for their projects, 5 6 for the acquisitions. Surveys, appraisals, 7 et cetera, that they -- some of that is needed to reach landowner contract before 8 really a decision to go forward with the 9 10 projects can be made. However, they also vote -- went a little bit beyond that and 11 12 spent some funds that they are seeking 13 reimbursement for where we feel as staff that they went a little bit beyond and took a bit 14 15 of a risk on some of the transactional costs without a really firm landowner commitment. 16 And we do not have any kind of policy around 17 this situation. Our contracts do allow for 18 19 reimbursement before the acquisition; 20 however, we also do not want the fund to be paying a lot of transactional costs that 21 22 don't end in the purchase of a property and 23 really end with the state not having any interest. So that's the setup for 2A and B. 24 25 And particular, in 2A the request of

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reimbursement for transaction costs, 2017-005, Conserving Carolina, in your board material are the details of what were the costs that were incurred and our recommendation to the committee. And now the committee, Jason will present this formally, but it is to reimburse Conserving Carolina, 50 percent of the costs of the appraisal, the environmental assessment, Phase 1 and the staff time, that would be a total of up to \$2,913 for the expenses that they put in. And at that point they could have negotiated a firmer contract with the landowner who after getting a survey complete and some other work done, the landowner has now decided to back out of the project. But the recommendation is to pay 50 percent of the costs of the appraisal, the Phase 1 and the staff time. MR. WALSER: Correct. So we did not want to reimburse the survey because we thought that had value for the property owners moving forward but we also thought that we should to do our part to help the Land Trust for

sticking its neck out there and trying to

1	make this project happen. So the committee
2	recommendation is to approve this, this
3	percentage and I don't think we need a
4	second.
5	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: All in favor.
6	MEMBERS: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed? All right.
8	MR. WALSER: So Nancy, 2B.
9	MS. GUTHRIE: 2B, the recommendation there from
10	staff presenting to the committee, same
11	thinking that we used on the previous one is
12	to reimburse Sandhills Area Land Trust up to
13	or to reimburse 50 percent of the costs of
14	the appraisal, the environmental assessment,
15	Phase 1 and the staff time. Again, to try to
16	move that project forward and help the land
17	trust some with the cost that they incurred.
18	MR. WALSER: The committee was unanimous in
19	supporting this.
20	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: All in favor.
21	MEMBERS: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed? Great.
23	MR. WALSER: So Stewardship Endowment policy
24	revisions.
25	MS. HARTZLER: And I'm happy to speak to that. We

had three main stewardship policy revisions, the first of which involves the Stewardship Endowment itself. Stewardship Policy 002, this is the endowment funds use policy and these revisions came out after, I believe, it was actually the June meeting in Edenton, discussion amongst the board, of what is available to spend of the endowment, what is sustainable spending, and ask staff to do a little bit more research on this and come up with some policy recommendations.

And so with recommendations from Frank
Bragg, former trustee, who is instrumental in
getting this endowment established, this
policy takes the approach of looking at the
36-month total, excuse me, average total
value of the endowment, to come up with a cap
essentially of up to four percent of that
total value can be spent in any given year on
stewardship expenses and this is very much in
line with other endowment policies that you
see at a university, et cetera. So in
addition, this also allows for management
fund requests as previously allowed for and
miscellaneous stewardship management and

2.

administrative costs to be reimbursed from this. So the big change was focusing in on the 36-month average total value and using that to establish up to a four percent cap each year.

The next policy was to create a policy that will be now Stewardship 006, that deals with how retired principal is handled. When conservation easements are -- when the fee is transferred to the state, we do not monitor those properties any longer and so principal was sitting in the endowment and not being spent. And so the recommended policy is to take that principal, leave it in the endowment and use it to fund the monitoring of other conservation easements that are currently underfunded or unfunded in order to continue monitoring on those properties.

The last policy is an amendment to

Stewardship Policy 001 which is the amendment
policy and this deals with another source of
funds in the endowment, namely when a
conservation easement is terminated or
modified, we are required to receive
compensation for that loss at that interest

and so previously it was standard to just 1 2. deposit that money into the Stewardship Endowment; however, this new policy clearly 3 dictates that any funds returned to Clean 4 Water will go back into the program area in 5 which the conservation easement was 6 7 generated, so acquisition back into acquisition. There are a few older 8 restorations that the fund would go back into 9 10 that program area and be spent on another conservation easement. So those were the 11 12 three main policy revisions for stewardship. 13 MR. WALSER: Thank you. So allocations committee recommends. 14 15 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Great. All in favor? 16 MEMBERS: Aye. CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed? Perfect. 17 Jason, why don't we move onto 2E and 2F. I think it 18 will be a little bit smoother if that's okay 19 20 with you? MR. WALSER: Absolutely. So for the record I 21 22 think it's okay for me to say why I'm 23 abstaining from the conversation. I own property near Marshall Steam Station, close 24 25 to where this Mountain Creek Park Amendment

is proposed, and also was deeply involved in 1 2 the Alcoa negotiations for the Land Trust for Central North Carolina, so that's why I'm 3 abstaining. 4 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: And so we are going to go onto 5 6 2E. 7 MR. WALSER: So 2E, I don't think I should 8 participate. 9 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Abstaining on that one? 10 MR. WALSER: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: So move onto 2F. 12 MR. WALSER: So Conservation Agreement discussion, 13 Nancy do you want to help me out here? MS. GUTHRIE: Will is going to take that item. 14 15 Oh, no, sorry. Back on track here. At the committee meeting we did discuss the -- some 16 17 of the shortcomings, the concerns from a very legal point of view that Hank Fordham has 18 helped us identify with using declarations of 19 20 covenants and restrictions, and that is one of the major tools that we use in restricting 21 22 the rights on the property where Clean Water 23 has funding and has purchased an interest in the property. And we had decided that this 24 is enough of an issue that it affects our 25

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

partners from the Land Trust on whether a declaration is used on property, whether a conservation easement is used and what are the appropriate roles of those two documents that we wanted to reach out to the partners and to the attorneys from our Land Trust and get a lot of the opinions, legal interpretations and use those documents.

So this is an update as far as letting you know a meeting has been scheduled for June 5th to have the staff attorneys from our Land Trust along with Hank, of course, will be there and Jason will also participate in that, to think about really make sure that Clean Water is employing the most legally sound agreement and instrument that we can use and then it will come back to the board through the committee as far as the policies and which agreement is appropriate in which scenario. So that will be an ongoing discussion, I think, through the summer before we'll really have any solid information to bring back or any recommendations.

CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Good. And per Jason not being

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

able to participate in the discussion of 2D and 2E, I will take over and carry the committee. So we will start with 2D and back to you, Nancy.

- MS. GUTHRIE: I'm going to turn this back to

 Marissa since it is a stewardship, she's got

 the details.
- MS. HARTZLER: If I could just ask Will, thank you so much. So for Project 2008-006, with the original applicant and landowner of Catawba County in partnership with Catawba Lands Conservancy, there were a series of easements recorded adjacent to Lake Norman which you can see on the map on the screen. There was almost a 300-acre Clean Water easement on the riparian area. That was recorded and the remainder is currently in Catawba Lands Conservancy easement. Catawba County was notified by PNG and Duke Energy that there was eminent domain threat on this property due to the need to install a new gas line to service the Marshall Steam Plant also on Lake Norman. And so you can see in the bottom right, the area in blue and green, that overlaps with the hatched area, that is a 1.7

2.

2.1

acre overlap in which the acquisition committee made the recommendation to the board to terminate the conservation easements in that area. It is over one acre and so does need board approval.

In your packet you'll see that there has been the threat of eminent domain, they cannot condemn on the state's easement, hence why there would be a termination required.

Catawba County has been, along with Catawba Lands Conservancy, negotiating a much better route. This is not the first alignment and this definitely does minimize the impact to the state's conservation easements.

In addition, the original motion had mentioned identifying suitable substitute lands required, but I have heard from state property office that that will not be required in this instance. Instead, we will just receive the prorated value of Clean Water's investment in that 1.7 acres and we do have a settlement that is currently being negotiated and I'm confident that all parties' interests will be reimbursed accordingly. So happy to take any questions

1	on that.
2	MR. WALSER: And Marissa, I think for those who
3	may not know, PNG is Piedmont Natural Gas.
4	MS. HARTZLER: Thank you, sorry.
5	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: All right, all in favor?
6	MEMBERS: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed? Great.
8	MR. WALSER: I abstain.
9	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: We'll move onto 2E.
10	MS. GUTHRIE: This is a reduction of scope request
11	that has been submitted by Wildlife Resources
12	Commission and the background and larger
13	picture on this request is that in 2017 the
14	board approved two awards for conservation
15	projects in the Yadkin Basin, one was to
16	Three Rivers Land Trust and one was to
17	Wildlife Resources Commission. These two
18	projects play together because they are each
19	part of a larger opportunity for the state to
20	purchase lands available through an Alcoa
21	relicensing process. The two organizations
22	have worked closely together.
23	One of the consent items today earlier
24	was to have the contract written to Three
25	Rivers Land Trust switched and administered

2.

by Wildlife Resources Commission and it's part of they're trying to bring this whole large project together. The two contacts together would protect over 60 miles of shoreline and protect over 5,000 acres along High Rock Lake and Tuckertown Lake. This is an opportunity to the state that is only available for two years at this point. If one of the properties can be purchased by September of this year there will be opportunity to purchase the second property with, I believe, it's two more years.

So while Three Rivers and Wildlife
Resources Commission were putting their
applications together in 2017 and
coordinating a large purchase, they were a
bit aggressive perhaps on their ask and they
were trying to take this -- these properties
in two contracts, one for each and just do a
lot of fund-raising on the -- in addition to
Clean Water's funds, and try to make this
project happen all within this year. But
after they received the awards and in working
through the project, it's become apparent
that they need to refine that strategy.

What will happen, what the plan is for both organizations to focus on the High Rock acquisition for this year and that means that Wildlife Resources Commission needs to move a lot of their matching funds from the Tuckertown contract to High Rock and just focus on that purchase. So they have asked if Clean Water would then consider allowing a reduction in scope of their 2017-068 contract from purchasing 2400 acres to reducing that to purchase about 1200 and then also to come back in 2020 with an application to pick up the remaining 1200 acres.

We are supportive of that for a few reasons. One is the organizations that are working closely together, they are really trying to take advantage of this one-time opportunity that the state has for these lands. When Wildlife Resources Commission applied to Clean Water they had requested \$2 million for contract, they were awarded just over \$1 million. At that point they could have worked to reduce their scope of work in the contract; they could have said, we requested 2 million for 2400 acres; we

received a million, we'd like to already reduce that to 1200. They were optimistic that they could meet the fund-raising and go ahead and work through their budgets with the reduced award from Clean Water, but that has not come through for them and you all will recognize that there are many projects that are phased at Clean Water. We have a few that, you know, come to mind immediately, the Headwaters project, but there are a lot of others that just need two or three awards and two or three phases before the project can be completed.

And another reason of being supportive of this is between the two contracts, Clean Water will be -- is currently committed to about 13 percent of the total cost to the state of getting almost 5,000 acres. Even with another application next year, if that were to be awarded, Clean Water would be about 20 percent of the total cost so there is significant match here. There is a lot of fund-raising and this is a large acquisition in the middle of the state on a lake that would provide access for fishing, a lot of

2.

hunting, just the lakefront would not be developed and we feel it is a very solid project at the end of the day.

Wildlife Resources Commission and The day is the solution of the day.

Wildlife Resources Commission and Three Rivers have been very easy to work with, but they just came and said that think they have made a miscalculation. They don't want another application coming in and feeling like that catches the board by surprise because you thought you were finished with this. So they're being very open with the strategy and asking for the reduction in scope for their current project as well as letting you all know they'll be returning in 2020 for another application. Any questions on that?

CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: And in our committee discussion

I think the transparency was a big factor in
them being very forthright with staff and
letting them know and keeping you up-to-date
with the situation. Had a lot to do with our
decision to recommend that we approve this
change of scope, so that's from the
committee. Any questions?

MR. TOOLE: Just one, so the change in scope is to

1	reduce the number of acres but retain the
2	funding though, is that correct?
3	MS. GUTHRIE: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Yes.
5	MR. TOOLE: So half as much for the same?
6	MS. GUTHRIE: Which follows exactly what
7	Headwaters did. They would ask for 10
8	million and then they'd get one so we would,
9	you know, work out a scope of work that was
10	ten percent. Wildlife just at the time of
11	the contract didn't recognize that they
12	needed that kind of negotiation.
13	MR. TOOLE: Would it have impacted the scoring if
14	it had been presented originally the way it's
15	proposed to be amended?
16	MR. WILSON: Physical check of the acreage I don't
17	think.
18	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: On our call we thought about the
19	same, but
20	MR. TOOLE: Is it just the match component that
21	would change, potentially impact the scoring?
22	MS. GUTHRIE: Yes. That is what I am thinking
23	right now is I'm sorry, I don't have this
24	right now, though, I do feel like I have
25	worked through it. I don't have my numbers
	•

1 on that. 2 MR. MERCER: I think we looked at it at one point. I don't remember the specifics but I think if 3 there was a change it was minimal. That's to 4 the best of my recollection. 5 MS. GUTHRIE: As you know this project scored a 75 6 7 so it was, in fact, funded on the original 8 list but it is a pretty solid project. 9 Justin, I think we also went through like if 10 the application came in now and were scored that we would be right at that same level. 11 12 And I just apologize; I don't have those 13 notes where we had worked through that. MR. TOOLE: I think that's always the issue when 14 15 we're doing a major revision, I mean, major acreage revision, is when the funding is 16 17 granted in cycle, it's on the basis of information in front of us at that time and 18 the question then comes while we want to be 19 20 flexible and recognize that things change, do we retain fairness to all the other 21 applicants as we deal with this kind of 22 23 change and that's always the concern. MS. GUTHRIE: Yes, and I apologize for my stumble 24 there, but I -- in a situation where, as with 25

1	Headwaters, when a project scope is reduced,
2	I hold them to the same math percentage in
3	the contract and that's why the score doesn't
4	change. I'm sorry, I just didn't think of
5	that.
6	MR. TOOLE: We're there, we're behind you.
7	MS. GUTHRIE: So therefore the score does not
8	change because we are committed to that
9	percentage match that they were scored.
10	MR. WILSON: What does the sentence that says,
11	"Committee recommendation will be provided at
12	the May 21st Board of Trustees Meeting," what
13	does that mean?
14	MS. GUTHRIE: That means that the board packet was
15	sent out to you all before the committee met
16	to talk about this particular item because
17	this item was on a phone call last Friday.
18	MR. TOOLE: You were out of the country, John.
19	MR. WILSON: I was out of the country?
20	MR. TOOLE: You weren't there.
21	MR. WILSON: I have no memory of this.
22	CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Other questions? Okay. Since
23	it comes from committee, doesn't need a
24	second, so all in favor?
25	MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed? Then we have our extension. Great. And I will turn it back over to you.

MR. WALSER: Madame Chairwoman, I would like to have the ability for a second, I am really pleased with the vote that just took place and I think Nancy did a great job setting that up. Hydroelectric relicensing process took 15 years. The state of North Carolina negotiated that agreement and they also fought it. It was ugly and bitter and I just want to say in 2008, the Land Trust for Central North Carolina applied for and received a grant from the Clean Water Management Trust Fund to purchase this property 11 years ago and we reverted that grant because we knew that we were not going to be able to fulfill the terms.

But 6,200 acres are supposed to be preserved, some of it's going to state parks, some of it's going to the National Forest and I think while we got bogged down in all the details of this, it is a great project, and I commend you and I wanted to you to know that it's within 90 miles of 5.6 million people.

It's right in the middle of the state. 1 2 will never get a chance to do something like this again for another 40 years, which is a -3 - so thank you. I am so glad that we did what 4 we just did even though I abstain. I really 5 did, I was sitting here like chewing on my 6 7 fingernails. Hank appreciates that. Should I have said what I just said, Hank? Is that 8 9 okay? 10 MR. FORDHAM: Now is fine. MR. WALSER: So Stewardship Endowment report and 11 12 any annual deposit, Marissa? Is that right? 13 Is that where we are? CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Yes. 14 15 MS. HARTZLER: Thank you so much. So this is the annual report that we make to the board 16 17 regarding the Stewardship Program and decisions that need to made regarding the 18 19 endowment and so I just want to preface this 20 in saying that I was prepared to present this 21 had you not approved the preceding policies; 22 however, now that you have it does take those 23 into consideration. So my objective for this section is to 24 25 go over three main things. First of all,

2.

give you an overview of the Stewardship

Program so that you have a little bit more of
an understanding of what we are spending

funds on in stewardship. And then move into
the endowment report, including fiscal year

'19-'20 spending recommendation as well as
the annual endowment principal deposit and
withdrawal requests which the board does need
to approve every year.

So the Stewardship Program is here to maintain the conservation values protected by state-held conservation easements so these are typically coming from the acquisition program and they are held by the state and monitored by our partners, so this does not include easements that our partners hold and they monitor. This does not include declarations of restrictive covenants; this does not include properties that go directly to the state ownership; this is just those with conservation easements.

And as I mentioned each site is monitored at least annually and that's either through a memorandum of understanding. That really happened when the conservation

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

easements were recorded prior to the endowment and so they're unfunded or there were funds that were provided directly to the partner and they hold those funds. But later on once the endowment was created, we deposited funds into the endowment and so we are able to contract with those monitoring partners to do that monitoring on behalf of the state. So this includes a total of 311 easements at 88,075 acres, currently enrolled in the Stewardship Program as of fiscal year '18-'19. In addition to monitoring with these funds we also would use endowment funds to fund some management activities. These are typically small but very meaningful projects that help protect the conservation values of the property, things like gates, preventing trespass, usually very minor expenses.

And we also are able to work with our partners to address any easement issues, any violations that may come up so I do work directly on those as well. But all of these stewardship tasks are funded by the investment income that is generated from the Stewardship Endowment.

25

So just to give you a little bit more insight on what we're spending these funds on, as I mentioned, every year the land trust partners go out and they monitor each of these 311 easements. And this entails actually going to the property, although some of the will do drone or helicopter or airplane monitoring, but they go to the property; they walk the property lines; they make sure that there's been no encroachments, no trees taken, no trash dumped, anything like that. And they take photo-points and so you can see those on the right. These allow us to get a sense of the current condition of the easement that can be compared to the baseline documentation report, which is a report that is actually produced when the conservation easement is recorded. It shows that snapshot in time; this is what was protected and then that way we can compare current conditions to the condition when the easement was recorded. They submit a written This has a wealth of information report. including whether or not the landowner has any plans to exercise any of their reserved

2.

rights, so will there be any new trails built, any harvesting operations, any restorations, anything like that happening; does the landowner plan on selling the land; are there any existing violations, either by third parties or by the landowner.

All of this information comes to me and we are able to follow up on it annually to make sure that these conservation easements are still protecting what they were intended to. And I do go out with the monitors and have really been blown away by the work that they're doing on behalf of the state. Many of our land trusts are accredited and so they are by the Land Trust Accreditation

Commission and so they are reaching a wonderful standard of monitoring and they're doing a fantastic job on this work on behalf of the state.

In addition I mentioned some of the management funds and I just wanted to highlight two of the ones that were actually funded sort of out of cycle because they were issues that came up. But again, these management awards are outside of the scope of

normal monitoring and they involve some activity on the ground that will help further protect the conservation easement.

So the photos up here, thank you by the way, Damon, for the one on the right, this is from 2013-005, Society of the Transfiguration, this is out in Bat Cave. And if you recall there was, I think back in June, there was a landslide that shut Highway 9, you can see on the left, there is a lot of soil from both the state-held easement and the surrounding easements held by Conserving Carolina and also non-easement land, that ended up on the road. And so we were able to provide funding to Conserving Carolina to really be our eyes and ears on the ground and help get this site cleaned up so that it was not impacting the conservation values of the easement any further.

So on the right you can see the progress, just a lot of soil removed from the road. But this is just one example of how our partners with the local relationships and the ability to be onsite frequently, it's a really great investment for us to have them

25

1

2.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

follow up on these kind of issues. Next is 2010-102 which is Springers Point Preserve, which is Three Rivers Land Trust from, formerly Land Trust for Central North Carolina.

This property has had a huge influx of an invasive species, feral hogs, which have really impacted the easement area. They are big, they breed quickly, they eat a lot and they can have a definite impact on water quality and so Three Rivers had reached out to us for assistance in purchasing corral traps to be able to control the population of these feral hogs on the property. They had been hunting for years but hadn't really seen a big impact and so with two individual contributions to these traps for a total of \$5,000, they were able to put these traps in and then spent countless hours running this program, managing it, hunting, and then they took a step further and partnered with Wake Forest University to come up with a monitoring protocol to see how effective their strategy has been. So a number of us actually got to go out and see the a

demonstration. They used drones down in the bottom to create thermal maps of the property to understand how many feral hogs are located on the property at any given time which was a completely new -- they knew the strategy would work with deer, weren't sure if it would work with feral hogs but they found that it does.

March 2018 they had 198 individuals,

March 2019 they had 48. And this aligns

really well. They know that they harvested

155 individuals from that population at the

same time, so this is great. With a small

investment from the stewardship program, they

were able to make a major impact to the

property and also really develop this

technology and partner with Wake Forest for

others to use and it's been a great example

of a definite success story in stewardship.

So those are two very different examples but a little bit of a follow-up, some nice successes and some good pictures to give you a sense of the source of management fund projects from stewardship. So with that, I'd like to sort of transition into the actual

Stewardship Endowment.

Now that you have a sense of sort of the things that we've funded in the past, what we'd like to fund in fiscal year '19-'20, I do want to say that when I talk about the Stewardship Endowment, I'm referring to the fact that we have three main investment funds. We have the bond investment fund, a short-term investment fund and an equity investment fund. And so all three of those funds together constitute the endowment, so just know that when I talk about the endowment, I'm talking about all three of those. These images are all in your packet but they will also be up on the screen. I just wanted to first step through how we're doing on the year.

So this chart shows the monthly total value of the Stewardship Endowment, fiscal year '18-'19 to date. On the bottom I apologize, that's hard to see, starts in June of '18 and runs through March 31st, 2019. As you can see from the green line, the Stewardship Endowment has fluctuated a lot over the past year, which is to be expected.

1

2.

3

2.

The gray line shows the trend of the endowment, so you can see it's slightly negative, mostly flat. We had \$103,000 in earnings to date in the Stewardship Endowment, which takes us to a total of 1.4 million in investment income.

Just as a reminder, this is a true endowment, so when we deposit funds into the principal of the endowment, those cannot be spent, only the investment income can be spent. And so that's how we ended up with a question of how much investment can be spent, can \$1.4 million be spent. No. Because we need that money for monitoring in future years.

So the recommendation was to determine how much could be spent in a given year sustainably. And so in order to do that, this is the 36-month total value. So it's similar to the last chart, of course, it goes back further in time to April of '15-'16 through again March 31st of this year. And this paints a very different picture.

You can see that the endowment has actually been earning quite well, definite

regarding the endowment. I will note that you may see some definite peaks in values, and that is oftentimes due to deposits or withdrawals from the endowment, but I will say that looking at the 36-month total value really evens all of that out and it doesn't -- when you're adding 200,000 and subtracting \$100,000, it doesn't make a huge impact on something with a 36-month total average value of almost 4.2 million. But so overall the endowment looks great.

It's important to note that this window will shift so next year we'll be looking at, next 36-month to come up with that total value of the endowment. So taking it one step further, the green pie at the top, again, represents that 36-month total average value of the Stewardship Endowment so just under \$4.2 million. And it was recommended that we develop a spending cap which was a part of the Stewardship Endowment spending policy. And so the recommended spending cap for '19'-'20 is four percent, which is \$166,724. Very small fraction of that pie,

800.955.0541

but that ensures that we will have the money for the future because these easements must be monitored in perpetuity.

So I'll go over this in more detail but how does that break down? 142,000 of that will be spent on monitoring of those 311 easements and we're setting aside 20,000 for management expenses. I have actually more than 20,000 in proposals from our partners on fantastic projects that will help improve the conservation values, but we're setting aside 20,000. And then that leaves 4,280 in contingency so we can maybe fund another project or have a little bit of funds available if there is something unexpected that comes up that's really better to address now than later. So that's how that spending breaks down.

On this table just going into the breakdown a little bit more, we currently in our stewardship operating account, have a cash reserve of \$62,277 and part of that is because our land trusts do not always spend what they -- their allotment on their contract. This is also projected through the

end of the fiscal year so through the end of 1 2 I do anticipate that probably we will receive more money back. Land trusts will 3 not spend all of that so it could actually be 4 a little bit higher than that but that is the 5 projection to date. We know that the 6 7 monitoring expenses in '19-'20 will be 8 142,000. We also know that the management funds will be 20,000. I'm not holding that 9 10 contingency out because, again, I expect that we'll have a little bit more cash reserve on-11 12 hand after the end of this year. So that 13 makes it that we'll need \$100,166 withdrawn from the Stewardship Endowment in order to 14 15 fund our Stewardship operations in '19-'20. At the same time we also need to add 16 17 principal to the endowment for all of the closed projects from this past year. 18 that is a total of \$358,831 which is high. 19 20 This one on the right actually shows 22 state-held conservation easements that closed 21 22 this year and I think I would be remiss if I 23 didn't mention the fact that this is only a portion of the projects closing. Again, this 24

doesn't include anything that's transferred

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the state or has restrictive covenants or any of the easements in the restoration program. So this is a lot to have closed this year. It will take us up to 333 easements and 92,405 acres monitored through the Stewardship Program.

This page is very colorful. I apologize for that. But there's method here, this is a look at how we will transfer the funds to and from the Stewardship Endowment and each section is color-coded to the type of the investment so blue is the bond investment fund, gray is the short-term investment fund and orange is the equity investment fund. Part of our transfer process also allows us to reallocate funds between those three accounts to get them toward the target that was set by the board per the deposit agreement with the treasurer's office. the recommendation here is that we deposit \$46,000 to the principal of the bond investment fund, a little bit over 84,000 in principal to the short-term investment fund and 228,600, rounded, to the equity investment fund. The total after transfers

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we will have just under 3.7 million in principal in the Stewardship Endowment. And again, all of that transferred to principal is for those 22 closed projects to get their projects enrolled in stewardship.

For the investment income column, we need to, as I mentioned previously, withdraw \$100,000, a little over \$100,000, in order to fund our stewardship commitments for '19-'20 and so the recommendation is to withdraw that from the investment income of the equity investment fund and so we will after transfer have about 1.3 million in investment income for a total value of the Stewardship Endowment at just over \$5 million. And I'm very pleased because this strategy here gets us very well in line with the deposit agreement. We will end rounded EIF at 70 percent, the short-term at 22 percent and the bond investment at eight percent, which is right where we need to be with our allocation through those funds.

So there's two action items associated with this report and I'm happy to answer any questions since this is a special -- it's the

first time that we've approached it this way. 1 2. But first our policy allows for a cap of up to four percent, it's the recommendation to 3 set it at four percent. Certainly in future 4 years the board could vote to have a lower 5 spending cap if it felt necessary, but so 6 7 that would be four percent of the 36-month total average value of the Stewardship 8 Endowment as of March 31st. And then to 9 10 approve or amend the staff recommendation to deposit the 358,000 to the endowment 11 12 principal, withdraw 100,000 from the 13 investment income and to structure that transaction so that we hit those previously 14 15 agreed upon fund allocations. So happy to answer any questions about either of these 16 17 pieces. MR. TOOLE: I thought it was very good, thank you 18 19 very much, plain, direct and sound, sound 20 financially. 21 MS. HARTZLER: That's absolutely what we're 22 looking for, not spending the money that we 23 need tomorrow today. MR. WASLER: So we need a motion to approve, staff 24 25 recommendation, I'll make such a motion.

MR. TOOLE: I'll second. 1 2. CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: All in favor? 3 MEMBERS: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: Any opposed. Great. Nice work, Marissa. 5 6 MR. WALSER: NCCF Lux Farms Restoration, Steve. 7 MR. BEVINGTON: Sure. I'm going to hand this to Justin in just a second. Under Walter's 8 direction staff handles many small 9 10 adjustments to contracts, including extending contracts for when there's cause given that a 11 12 project was not able to be completed under 13 its original intent and we have the power to do this just as a routine measure of 14 15 administering contracts; however, we're bringing one to you today that Justin is 16 17 going to talk to you about. It's really an ambitious and wonderful project that was 18 19 begun ten years ago and you can hear the 20 story of environmental issues that are 21 related. We intend to go ahead and process 22 one final extension to this but really wanted 23 you to be aware of it because any time a project begins to look unfamiliar to the 24 25 conditions in which you funded it, we really

MR. MERCER: Thank you, Steve. As Steve

mentioned, this was a 2010 project and if my
estimate is correct that means only three
people in this room were present when this
project was originally (laughter). So the
original award was for just over \$970,000 out
of \$1.5 million project and it's worth noting
here that during that cycle we had a \$50
million budget so a \$1 million grant for a

restoration project was not out of the

ordinary for that time. As such they are now

requesting their fifth contract extension.

want you to know about that, so with that,

Just a little familiarity here, the project is located in Hyde County out here on the coast. See it a little bit better down here, we've got Lake Mattamuskeet, Engelhard down here and our project was at this red star right here. This is an illustration of the current flow of water on this property. If any of you know anything about eastern North Carolina, there is no natural hydrology anymore. It's all been ditched and drained and it flows in all sorts of different

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

directions so we can see that illustrated here. Based on a 2008 planning grant, researchers from NC State were able to determine that the natural flow across this entire parcel would it have been this direction here towards Swan Lake and Alligator River out here.

What we're looking at specifically with this project is the little corner right here. So we're not looking at the whole property, we're looking at this little corner of 1400 acres right here. So the proposed scope was to restore hydrology to 1400 acres of land, including over 24,000 feet of constructed berms and sloughs. This was in effort to restore natural topography that would aid in proper drainage. Five flood plain culverts, five fords and two pump stations as can be seen in this illustration here. We've got culverts, you can barely see here in the red along what we call Fifth Avenue. They've got fords along here and across this area and this is the primary wetland, slough restoration right down here.

So just a quick run-through of the

2.

timeline here. It was a 2010 project so the grant became effective with contract in 2011. They had landowner agreements in place.

Permitting was done in 2012, culverts were ordered in 2013, so everything was moving appropriately towards completion. Then 2014 happened January, they had a catastrophic fire where the contractor had 100 percent of his equipment damaged. So that was the first major stumbling block. In 2015 they had the design and notice to proceed from NRCS, which is the major contributor for match here.

They continued with their preconstruction meetings. They began
construction and in between September of 2015
and April of 2016 they had 37 inches of
rainfall. The big problem with this as you
can see it's very difficult to work in those
conditions. One of the problems was when
they would go in and try to work in similar
conditions or in wet conditions, they
compacted the ground so much that it became
impossible for them to achieve the specs
outlined by the NRCS agreement.

2016, unfortunately they had another

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fire, a wildfire this time, followed by
Tropical Storm Bonnie, Tropical Storm Colin,
Tropical Storms Hermine and Julia and then in
November, Hurricane Matthew. So we can see
here this is that wildfire, as a result of
that wildfire these farmers had to flood
their land to help control it and put it out
so now you're working in wet conditions
again.

Photos from Hurricane Matthew, I can't remember the exact numbers but they had to run the pumps for something like 35 consecutive days in an attempt to dig in this drain so that the land was workable again. 2017 they began recovering from the storm. They tried to get into construction again, but things were still just so wet at that point. They originally had a site identified for a borrow pit so that they could take fill to use for this project, but found that the site was -- didn't have enough material to facilitate the project so they found a second That site didn't have enough. one. finally found a third site that looks like it's going to work but again continued wet

2.

conditions. They have gotten equipment stuck.

This picture is not from two weeks ago, but when I was out there two weeks ago they had just gotten another bulldozer stuck then.

2018, they had all their permits extended.

The contractor in July was attempting construction again then Florence came. So you can start to see here there are a lot of factors that are out of the applicant's and out of the contractor's control with getting this project done.

So that brings us up to current. In March the contractor remobilized. Over the last two months, they've really gotten more done in the last two months than they have the last five or six years because conditions are finally right. They finally gotten NRCS to relax a little bit on their specs so they can work in the wet and still achieve some appropriate outcomes.

So this is part of what they've done in the last several weeks. We've got I think five or six culverts installed at this point. You see right here, this is immediately after

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

installation before they remove the plug and allow water to start to flow. It looked more like this afterwards with a couple of feet of water on top of all this riprap. So they really have made great progress, especially within the last two weeks to a month.

So just a quick summary here, the physical project itself remains largely the same as what was funded or agreed upon in The timeline has shifted largely due to factors outside of the applicant's control. The current extension request would bring it consistent with the NRCS timeline for the first time in the life of this project, so that's been part of the problem as well is the agreement with NRCS was on a different timeline than the agreement with Clean Water and we require match to be extended at the same rate as Clean Water funds. So this extension will bring this two programs in line for the first time since it started in 2010. They have made significant progress in recent months and ultimately staff does support contract extension through 2020.

CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: I don't know if others -- do we have a tenacity award? (Laughter)

MR. MERCER: I will say that both the landowner and the contractor all remain very committed to seeing this project through.

MR. MERCER: Because that's an item that we could normally improve in-house but because it's so unusual we wanted you all to know about the tenacity of this project situation.

MR. BEVINGTON: And I'll just say from a staff point of view too, it's important for us to have you hear it because we do get requests sometimes that stress our ability to continue to serve in a customer service way and to be able to say, sure you can ask but at some point we have to go to the board. It's a very important thing for us to do. Again, honestly Justin has spent a lot of time in the field visiting and I think that's helped light the fire. They knew he was coming and they needed to make a showing of progress and so I appreciate the fact that the board provides to us by allowing us to present it here today. It's really the best thing that's been accomplished with this so far.

25

1

2

3

1 Thank you. 2 MR. TOOLE: Is the expectation they'll be finished a year assuming no more natural disasters? 3 MR. MERCER: Assuming no more major storm events 4 or record rainfall, they do anticipate being 5 finished within the extent of this extension. 6 7 MR. BEVINGTON: We got an estimate from them if it didn't get extended the permit would be --8 the contract expires July 31st. They may be 9 10 able to get half of the work done by then if weather permits. So they're going from sort 11 12 of zero to 20 and 50 by July so we're very 13 optimistic for the first time. MR. WILSON: Is it necessary to say staff intends 14 15 to grant this final request for project extension? I mean, given the fact that the 16 17 gods seem to have it out for this one, do we even need to say this is a final request? 18 Because we're talking about it being finished 19 20 by the end of 2020 and there's a lot that 21 could happen. 22 MR. BEVINGTON: Right. They were under -- Justin, 23 maybe will help me. They were under other constraints, other loss of matching funding 24 if they don't get it done by 2020. So the 25

federal money will extend it to the farthest 1 2 reaches also, so I think it would even -even if we granted a further extension, I 3 know what you're saying, but it would 4 probably not help the project at that point. 5 6 So we're taking it to the max. It might be a 7 little petty if we put the word "final" in there because I did want -- we told them -- I 8 think we told them final once before so --9 10 MS. MURRAY: And then Florence happened. MR. BEVINGTON: Yeah, and then Florence. I think 11 12 it was my second day on the job maybe or 13 something. Saying final, right, it's not enforceable since it's Walter's will that 14 15 will determine how far he can be pushed. But this project is running up to a real deadline 16 17 for federally matched funds and the permitting is expiring as well which would 18 just cause -- it would be a huge setback so 19 20 we have been -- and they understand that, 21 that -- because the Fed has been very good 22 about understanding and having their window 23 with the weather and taking advantage of that 24 success so far this year. 25 MR. WALSER: So next up, Will Summer is going to

give us an overview of the Conservation 1 2. Agreement, a conversation that we've been having for some time now. Will. 3 MR. SUMMER: Thank you very much. So what I'd 4 like to talk about as we often do in June 5 once we kind of get all of the official 6 7 business out of the way is cover an item that we need to -- or we'd like to refresh on some 8 9 years, it's the Stream Restoration and the 10 Stream Restoration process, if you remember from when we went to Mt. Airy a few years ago 11 12 and this time I'd like to kind of get into 13 conservation agreements. It's a critical part of our program and we do really, every 14 15 aspect. And I just want to kind of talk a little bit about that, how they fit with our 16 17 purpose, talk specifically about our conservation agreements and that will inform 18 19 both some of the work that the acquisition 20 committee is doing and some of the things that the whole board may see back from the 21 22 committee in future meetings. And kind of to 23 round out, just talk a little bit about conservation agreements used by other similar 24 25 agencies.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I use the word "conservation agreement" in general rather than the word "conservation easement," because I'm using the umbrella to talk about both a conservation easement, deed restrictions, the declaration of covenants and restrictions, dedication under the Nature Preserves Act, all things that fit under this larger term of conservation agreements. So a conservation agreement is a voluntary, legal agreement in which a landowner "gives up certain property rights that benefit the conservation values of interest," and that the latter part really comes from the agency that's requesting the entity to which that agreement is made, with whom that agreement is made. They can be perpetual or term limited, I put an asterisk by term limited. Most of the folks in conservation community will consider it a perpetual only. In fact, the Land Trust Alliance definition defines it as a perpetual agreement, conservation agreement. But there are some instances where there are term-limited conservation agreements.

They do have a real value to be approximated

2.

by an appraisal when you're giving up certain rights and that has value. As Marissa has already said, they should be monitored regularly to ensure they're being managed in accordance with the restrictions and they are difficult to amend or terminate by design.

Two of the most important parts of a conservation agreement are the reserve rights, that is, the things that the landowner that's giving up rights can still or is still allowed to do and the prohibited, restricted activities. These are the things which they most certainly cannot do. And I'll talk more about both of those because those are really the meat and potatoes of the conservation agreements in a little bit.

The rights have value that they give up, those are either sold or donated or some combination thereof. And the typical rights given up by a conservation agreement, and this is sort of roughly in the order they tend to have them, so development and subdivision are really the biggest two. Like every, almost every conservation agreement someone will give up the right to develop the

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

property, give up the right to take 100 acre property and carve it up into 100 one-acre pieces so it stays intact, and it's not developed. Kind of why I wanted to get to the two things.

Mining, commercial, industrial use are often removed, grading and land disturbance as well. If you get to that point down the list, you still have a piece of property that you can harvest timber on, you could do agricultural activities on farming. If you buy or purchase or take more rights, you take away the right to do agriculture and right to do forestry which is essentially moving into a property where you're just leaving the natural forest, the natural landscape untouched as it is, getting more specifically into prohibiting disturbance of any plants or animals and going further down into the rights of mitigation of open space. So for reference our typical conservation easement takes all these rights. We get just about the whole ball game here, whereas obviously an agricultural or working forest or working land easement would leave some of those

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rights intact. This is one of the very first things in our statute, our purpose, I'm not going to read it out loud but I have picked from that paragraph, these top six things.

So we restore degraded surface waters, that's what the restoration program does essentially. And then the remaining five are essentially things that are acquisition functions. We protect surface waters, buffers, natural communities, historic sites and provide buffers around -- and greenways and provide buffers around military bases. So in looking at essentially the six things that we do by statute, we use the conservation easement indirectly in the restoration program to protect our investment in the construction, the design and construction on the property. So when we spend money to restore a stream we put an easement around that to keep anyone from going in and cutting the plantings that we have paid for and thereby destabilizing the system that we've spent money to protect.

So the easement is a very important part

of the restoration program for protecting our investment in construction. And for the other six, the easement is the actual generally -- or I'm sorry, the agreement is the thing we -- our aim for to protect our directing this. We protect the land and the conservation agreement via dedication of the Nature Preserves Act or state agencies or a conservation easement or privately owned land or deed restrictions. It's essentially the thing we buy, the thing we spend the money on, so it's essential to everything that we do, almost everything we do through our grant funds. So the conservation agreements are critically important to our organization and what we do.

Backing down from the -- drilling down a little bit from the general talk about conservation agreements, I want to talk a little bit about ours. So they protect our investment in a project. Ours are perpetual with a few limited exceptions, being mainly the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program and the projects we have partnered with the Natural Resource Conservation Service in kind

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of leveraging our funds against theirs, they have specific term-limited or certain practices and we, in order to work better with them we do have some limited term easements in those cases. But generally speaking mostly all we do is perpetual.

In the community, our easement will be considered a forever-wild easement, that's kind of general term for an easement that essentially preserves the natural condition with as little human manipulation as possible. The types of agreements that we use when we spend our money directly on something is a state-owned conservation easement and Marissa is obviously talked a lot about that with the Stewardship Program, dedication of the Nature Preserves Act which is a similar perpetual protection that actually Misty's program, the Natural Heritage Program, administers and it is passed through the counsel of states. It is very high level agreement. Declaration of covenants and restrictions which we use in some limited situations with certain partners, other agreements, other

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

conservation agreement, these would be used on matching property or property that would be donated through a donated mini-grant program, would be a land trust held conservation easement or again a declaration of covenants and restrictions.

So on the matching property, the donated property is not the state-held easement which we set aside money and enroll it the endowment program. It's one of the bigger differences where we spend our money we steward it to a kind of a very high level and set the money aside to protect our direct investment; when it's matched and when it's donated slightly less so. And if we're taking from this particular slide, is that the rights restrictions and activities are similar across the various legal tools, so we don't have to get bogged down whether it's a deed restriction or a conservation agreement, the activities that we allow and the restrictions that we impose are largely the same so I'll continue to talk more generally about our reserve rights and prohibited activities from that perspective.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our conservation agreement is focused on water quality protection, that's obviously where the Clean Water Management Trust Fund got its start in '96 and until 2013 that was really all we did, water quality protection. So our efforts are focused on riparian areas which we've defined as within 300 feet of a water body, a wetland or a flood plain. And for most of history that is kind of where our easements were. For the areas that were not close to water we would use different tools, sometimes the Land Trust would place their easement on the upland area that we often refer to as a match. And in some cases if it suited the property well and suited the landowner well, we would just extend our riparian easement to the borders of the property to simplify things and just have one easement on the entire property. But it's maintained the typical forever-wild language that we have set in place for riparian protection.

There's been some adjustments to our easements over the years but the reserve rights 15 or 20 years ago are largely the

2.

reserve rights that we have in our easement today, easement template today. So a conservation agreement has several parts. The first part is the recitals and the conservation pertinent purposes. It really establishes the circumstances upon which the agreement is based and why the easement exists. The duration establishes the term, again, generally perpetual for ours. Reserve rights talk about what the landowner will be committed to continue doing. It kind of protects them so that they can, you know, see in writing, these are the things you will be able to do with this property.

The prohibitive and restrictive activities talks about the things that are enumerated they absolutely cannot do. It gets into enforcement remedies, title, miscellaneous other things, but the things that really define the can's and can't's of an easement, are right here, reserve rights and prohibitive and restricted activities. And for our purposes the reserve rights is the part that is up for discussion or debate when someone asks for an exception to an

easement. So I'll get into a little bit more of that in a moment. But these are the two things we are going to focus on in a conservation agreement.

So I'm going to run through our standard reserve rights that we have in an easement.

One, to make you folks familiar so that when

-- in the fall when we talk about or Damon or

Justin talk about an applicant requesting a statement of reserved rights, what they mean, what it is that they can and can't do. To give you a frame of reference and also kind of lay the foundation so that when they ask for something that's not standard you kind of realize how it fits and how close it might be to what the typical is.

So passive recreational use is a standard right, this is hunting, fishing, hiking, minimal surface alterations, basically things you can do on the land without altering it in any meaningful way, just quiet enjoyment as some folks refer to it. You're allowed to maintain existing roads and associated structures, but not create new roads, not pave any existing

800.955.0541

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gravel roads, just kind of the status quo at the time that the easement is recorded.

Standard easements allow for greenway trails to be constructed and the necessary pertinences, the boardwalks, handrails, benches, et cetera. They can be paved. They should be greater than 30 feet away from the stream wherever practical and subject to our written approval. Observation, viewing platforms, admitted on the land, on the bank of a water body, you might recognize a viewing platform on a bank of a stream as a dock or a deck rather, a fishing deck. those are permitted. Natural surface trails, this is one that we've kind of spent some time in the last two years with this board putting a finer point on this and I think really making this more robust in terms of the protections that we get from these when they're allowed. Again, these are subject to our written approval, excluding certain cases where it's a private landowner's private trail for their own enjoyment, not a public trail that's going to see a lot of visitation.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Stream crossings are a standard right when they're connected to trails that are otherwise permitted. Vegetation management, and this looks like a big list of stuff but when you think about vegetation management as cutting a tree or a bush or a branch or anything, this is a pretty limited list of rights. You can manage vegetation for boundary lines. You know, acting a sight line for a surveyor, you can do selective cutting prescribed, burning for fire containment, insect and disease control, restoration of hydrology, wetlands enhancement or control of the basics, but by and large, there's not a lot of reasons you can go in there and manipulate the forest as it stands.

You can also remove damaged trees that are threatening life and property and if there was a dead tree on our easement that was threatening someone's house, obviously you would want to be able to have the landowner have the right to cut that and remove that threat, not be liable for a fallen limb. (Inaudible) restoration, this

2.

picture on the right is kind of the prototypical example, if we had, say, a stand of 20-year-old Loblolly in our -- within our easement and the owner wanted to convert it to Long Leaf we would allow them to both harvest trees, do planting, do site prep as well as manage fire breaks and run fires through there periodically. Occasionally I've had folks tell me that Loblolly is a native tree in the Southeast which it is, but even an aged Loblolly stand is not (inaudible) restoration, so this is really meant to target a specific natural community. Hunting and fishing, of course, probably goes without saying.

So prohibitive restricted activities, these are the kinds of things that go in there that you cannot do that largely do not change. This is not the part of the easement that is negotiated. It just exists.

Industrial and commercial use, agricultural grazing and horticultural use, disturbance of natural features, plants and animals, pretty much everything that I didn't mention when I talked about the reserve rights for

vegetation management is prohibited, no cutting of trees, no constructing of pretty much anything in the easement, not explicitly mentioned in reserve rights.

Signs, you can put up boundary marking signs and interpretive signs, but really nothing else outside of those types of signs.

No mineral use, no excavation, no dredging, no changing of the topography of the land, no grading, no dumping. Some of this goes without saying, no pollution of the wetlands or water quality, pretty much leave it all alone.

Conveyance and subdivision, this is kind of a big one in the conservation easement world, you know, if it's 100 acre property now then you put an easement on it, it will be 100 acres on into perpetuity. It will not be cut into smaller and smaller pieces that are harder to manage and more expensive to steward that exponentially increase the number of landowners that you have to communicate with and talk about explaining all these reserve rights for instance. So that's an important one in the conservation

CaseWorks 800.955.0541

community.

Mitigation, this one comes right out of our statute, we are not permitted to use our funds for any compensatory mitigation and that's stream or wetland mitigation. So that language comes from our statute, ends up in our easement that basically says you may not use any of this easement for mitigation. And the -- I think that the logic or reason behind that is mitigation is to impact for some sort of -- or to mitigate for an impact that's occurring on another property and our funds should be above and beyond that. a landowner wrecks 100 feet of stream or two acres of wetland, it's incumbent upon them to pay for that mitigation and our funds are prohibited from mingling with that at all. So that one is in there per statute.

The next one is in there, this is really, I think, came from the board's interest probably 11 or 12 years ago if not further, kind of following the same notion.

If you are -- if you have 100 acres of land and you are developing 80 of it and the local ordinances require that you set aside 20

1

2.

percent of that land, we won't pay you for the land that you have to set aside by rule anyway. I mean, my favorite expression for that is, we won't buy the sleeves out of your vest with taxpayer funds, so that's what this is meant to kind of get at but this one is not tied to anything statutory. It's basically per practice and policy that it's been an easement, I think -- I want to say 12 years. I think the interest are for that it be there.

So I said all that to kind of set the table for special reserve rights and I really want to make sure everybody hears me on this, so we don't have a policy that says you shall not deviate from the easement template. In fact, we routinely have to negotiate small things in the easement template when we close on a project each time.

The way that easement rights or special reserve rights are requested is through the application process. So if someone puts in it the application, there's a specific question, it goes in the project summary that Nancy prepares for acquisition projects, the

CaseWorks 800.955.0541

2.

field reps put it in the presentation and by virtue of approving the project, when you review it at the September or the fall meeting, that's when those special reserve rights are blessed by the board as it were. So that's a really important part of what happens in September when you see that they have requested a special reserve right or a non-standard right, to make sure that that you kind of take that in and consider it and I'll give a few examples.

rights. These are things that they're not in the easement template but they're very standard. We do them all the time and they're really just there for the asking to be included in the easement template. New early habitat, camping platforms, boat launches, parking areas to access trails, things that would very understandably be needed to enjoy their passive recreational features on the property.

Less typical reserve rights, and these are the ones that be looking for so that they could thoughtful debate and discussion is,

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cattle grazing, timber management, some limited subdivision, construction of homes or construction of recreation facilities, soccer fields, et cetera and these -- applicants will ask for these from time to time depending on certain situations and it's really up to the board to say, we understand that this property can't happen without this facility on some part of it or we just don't feel this is a good fit, but when you hear non-standard rights or special reserve rights in the presentation from the field reps, kind of really evaluate that and make sure it fits with what you're comfortable occurring within the bounds of the conservation agreement. So I wave my flag there, thank you for paying attention on that one.

Just cover two more really quick things. Having said that our easement is largely the forever-wild type of easement, we do have a working forest easement that was created or boundaries were set forth by the board in 2003 in response to a very specific situation. So the USDA has funds that are federal funds that are used to protect

forest, to some degree there are natural components and some other degree there are commercial benefits. And in order to fully leverage those funds in 2003, the board not wanting to let those opportunities go by the wayside, but realizing that our existing easement would be incompatible with those funds, because those funds say some of this property has to be managed for commercial timber, has to have an option and our fund saying can't, there was a working group put together and the board came up with some working forest guidelines that can only be used if the project has those other federal funds matched, so we don't use it often but this is the only time when -- in history to date when this is -- the working forest easement is used.

The guiding principles are first to protect water quality so it doesn't stray away from kind of what Clean Water is initial purpose was. Second, to maintain natural conditions and third, to allow some economic benefit to the landowner through limited timber management. It's fairly specific but

25

generally it says that the first 100 feet away from the stream will be no touch, forever-wild just the same as our existing easements, but as you move from 100 out to 300 feet it would be permitted to do selective thinning, basically take out up to half of the trees or up to half of the canopy and do that not more than every ten years. So nothing in the first 100 feet, 50 percent thinning in the outer 200 feet and those are the conditions in the limited times when we use these easements.

I do want to talk about, kind of having talked about what our easement has looked like from conception to now and when we made the exceptions, I do want to talk about the new purposes that have been added in 2013. I say new now. It's been going on six years, but as you know, what we were focused primarily on all things were, riparian and buffer and water quality until 2013 when things were added that the former Natural Heritage Trust Fund used to do and one of those was acquire land that represents the ecological diversity. This might be near a

2.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stream, it might be on the uplands, it could be anywhere on the landscape. Properties that contribute to the development of historic properties, the low aim, for example here is battlefield protection. We've done quite a bit of that.

And finally to provide buffers around military bases. I bring these up because our traditional riparian, forever-wild, no-touch easement which it does very effective in protecting water quality and maintaining mature forests which is the best land use for water quality, maximum water quality protection, there could be cases where, for instance, in a historic property the historic landscape for this particular battle might have been a cotton field and it might be perfectly acceptable for -- if there's no riparian buffers that need protection that the cotton field continue to be a cotton field for its purpose of contributing to a balanced program of historic properties.

Likewise, with a military buffer-type project, if there are no sensitive species, no streams, no natural communities of any

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

import, it might be fine and well for what's a Loblolly plantation to continue to be a Loblolly plantation and still protect buffers around military bases. I say that only to say that this is what the acquisition committee is wrestling with, whether or not these -- whether or not other reserve rights might be considered for the future and I do want to say that because conservation agreements are central to what the trust fund does, Greer did ask me to say that when these committee meetings come up in the future, don't be surprised to get an invitation even if you're not on the acquisition committee, because this is kind of one of those important central things that probably the whole board might want to be involved in.

So as these committee meetings go forward for the acquisition committee, I think you all will be extended an invite to participate and be part of that discussion. Is that -- so that said, I really just have one more slide just to talk a little bit about other programs and their conservation agreements. Conservation easements, other

2.

programs that use similar ones, the Division of Mitigation Services, which is the state agency in North Carolina that does protect their mitigation projects with easements has almost the exact same template as us. In fact, I think both of these templates diverged from the same template probably 15 or so years ago, so it's a very similar document to what we have.

The Agriculture Development & Farmland

Preservation Trust Fund uses an easement.

Obviously it has reserved rights for

agriculture and working lands. The Navy and

other -- Department of Defense has similar

conservation easements that protect largely

the same rights although they're more focused

on structures that are -- conflict or usually

they conflict with the military mission, less

so with the land use.

Some of the other tools we use, deed restrictions. The Environmental Enhancement Program, I believe uses a form of deed restriction and the Upper Neuse Clean Water Initiative which is the City of Raleigh's watershed protection program, also uses --

2.

has a deed of restriction template they use when they're not being paired with Clean Water funds and using our tools.

And there are also other programs that record a notice of funding when they invest money in a conservation and recreation type project. The Parks and Recreation Trust Fund, the (inaudible) Conservation Fund, North America Wetlands Conservation Act and the Army Compatible Use Buffer Program. So the tools we use and even other tools outside of our typical scope are being used by similar programs out there.

So finally, just to wrap up, our agreement is to generally considered again the forever-wild easements. The thing I really wanted to make everyone aware of is that the special reserve rights are approved at the time of the award so just be looking out for those when we meet in the fall. And stay tuned for anybody to join the acquisition committee and considering changes to the standard reserve rights for some situations. That is all, thank you very much.

800.955.0541

Questions for Will? Thank you, 1 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: 2. Will, for walking us through that. there are just a couple of additional things 3 before I call for adjournment. We had a 4 couple of additional quests join us since we 5 did our introduction, Katherine Skinner with 6 7 the Nature Conservancy is here and Tim Gupton, who I understand is a board member of 8 the Blue Ridge Conservancy. Also, we will 9 10 next be going to the Middle Fork Greenway and following that I want to thank Trustee Wilson 11 12 for having us at his home this evening for a 13 bite to eat and there's going to be a special presentation there that I wanted to give 14 15 people a heads-up about. The governor kindly has awarded the Order of the Long Leaf Pine 16 to Frank Bragg and it's going to be a 17 surprise. And another thank you to Trustee 18 Wilson -- for the Bragg family are all so 19 20 close-knit and wonderful. His children and 21 grandchildren are coming to surprise him so 22 thank you for having them for dinner. 23 MR. WILSON: Twenty-one children and 24 grandchildren. 25 CHAIRMAN CAWOOD: To extend the part of our dinner

group but Frank and his wife are going come 1 2 in about 20, 30 minutes after we get there and so Frank will see all of them there. And 3 so that's another reason that the First Lady 4 so nicely is here with us is to present that 5 in the governor's honor which means a lot to 6 7 So it will be a very celebratory 8 evening, so want to make sure everybody knew 9 about that. Perfect. Anything else? 10 MR. WILSON: Say one thing, I think I read 11 somewhere that said the driveway is gravel. 12 It's not; it's paved. So any kind of car can 13 get up there, but to the degree that people can carpool, that would be appreciated to 14 15 reduce the number of cars so the driveway is really long. The house is at the very end so 16 17 just keep going, you'll get there. Down, down, down, up, up, up, curve, curve curve. 18 And if you arrive at the same time at the 19 20 Blowing Rock tourist attraction parking lot 21 and you see someone who looks friendly, maybe one of you could leave your car at the 22 23 Blowing Rock attraction parking lot and you could jump in the car and ride together. And 24 the gate will be open, the security gate will 25

```
be open. If not, just call me.
 1
                (WHEREUPON, this proceeding was concluded at 4:12
 2
 3
                     p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RUTHERFORD

CERTIFICATE

I, Marianne S. Aguirre, Verbatim Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the aforesaid testimony was transcribed by me and that the foregoing pages constitute a verbatim and complete transcription of the testimony conducted herein as can be obtained from the audio record thereof.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of any parties to this action, nor do I have any interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name, this the 3rd day of June, 2019.

Marianne S. Aguirre

Notary No.: 19961490099