# Board of Trustees Clean Water Management Trust Fund

# **Minutes of Meeting**

Monday, November 15, 2010

## 2728 Capital Boulevard

**Room 1A224** 

Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

9:10 A.M.



#### APPEARANCE OF CWMTF BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Philip A. Baddour, Jr., Chairman Honorable Ron Beane Dr. Yevonne Brannon Dr. Norman Camp, III Karen Cragnolin John Garrou Rance Henderson Joseph Hester, Jr. William Hollan Jr. Charles Johnson Charles McGrady John McMillan Preston Pate Peter Rascoe Betty Chaffin Rash Aaron Thomas Stan Vaughan Jerry Wright

#### APPEARANCE OF STAFF

Richard Rogers Beth McGee Tom Jones Nancy Guthrie Penny Adams Robin Hammond Kevin Boyer Everette Moore Cheryl Johnson Larry Horton Cherri Smith Christopher Fipps Tom Massie Bern Schumak Will Summer Sarah King Damon Tatem

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Frank Crawley, Esq.

### Board of Trustees Clean Water Management Trust Fund 2728 Capital Blvd Room 1A224 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604 Monday, November 15, 2010

General Statute § 138A-15 mandates that the Chair inquire as to whether any Trustee knows of any conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to matters on the agenda. If any Trustee knows of a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest, please so state at this time.

#### Philip A. Baddour, Jr., Chairman, Presiding

<ol> <li>Call to Order – Chairman Baddour</li> <li>Welcome</li> <li>Roll Call – Penny Adams</li> <li>Compliance with General Statute § 138A-15</li> <li>Revisions, additions, and adoption of the Agenda (Action Item)</li> <li>Please put cell phones on vibrate or off</li> <li>Review and approval of the transcripts of the October 2010 meeting of the Board of Trustees (Action Item)</li> <li>Recognize CWMTF Advisory Committee Members</li> </ol>			
B. Public Comments (Three Minutes per Person) – Chairman Baddour			
C. Executive Director's Report – Richard Rogers			
D. Attorney General's Report – Frank Crawley			
E. Administrative Committee Report – Committee Co-Chair Vaughan (Action Items)			
F. Program Committee Report – Committee Co-Chairs Hollan and Markham (Action Item)			
G. Break			
H. Infrastructure/Wastewater Committee Report – Committee Co- Chairs Camp and Rascoe (Action Items)			
I. Acquisition Committee Report – Committee Co-Chairs McGrady and Wright (Action Items)			

11:30 am	J. Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway Committee Report – Committee Co-Chairs Brannon and Henderson (Action Items)			
11:45 am	K. Discussion			
12:00 pm	L. Adjourn			

#### 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: We'll come to order. Ι 3 was using my cell phone to see the time. I don't 4 know about y'all, but I'm amazed at things that 5 happen. And one of the amazing things of life is that when Daylight Savings Time changes, somehow in 6 7 the middle of the night every cell phone, you know, 8 automatically changes the time. 9 MS. RASH: It's like magic. 10 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: I want to welcome 11 everyone here today, and ask Penny if she would call 12 the roll. 13 MS. ADAMS: Mr. Baddour? 14 MR. BADDOUR: Here. 15 MS. ADAMS: Mr. Bass? 16 (No response.) 17 MS. ADAMS: Mr. Beane? 18 MR. BEANE: Here. 19 MS. ADAMS: Ms. Brannon? 20 DR. BRANNON: Here. 21 MS. ADAMS: Mr. Camp? 22 DR. CAMP: Here. 23 MS. ADAMS: Ms. Craqnolin? 24 (No response.) 25 MS. ADAMS: Mr. Garrou?

1	MR.	GARROU:	Here.
2	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Henderson?
3	MR.	HENDERSON:	Here.
4	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Hester?
5	MR.	HESTER:	Here.
6	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Hollan?
7	MR.	HOLLAN:	Here.
8	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Johnson?
9	MR.	JOHNSON:	Here.
10	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Markham?
11	(No	response.)	
12	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. McGrady?
13	MR.	McGRADY:	Here.
14	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. McMillan?
15	MR.	McMILLAN:	Here.
16	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Pate?
17	MR.	PATE:	Here.
18	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Rascoe?
19	MR.	RASCOE:	Here.
20	MS.	ADAMS:	Ms. Rash?
21	MS.	RASH:	Here.
22	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Thomas?
23	MR.	THOMAS:	Here.
24	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Vaughan?
25	MR.	VAUGHAN:	Here.

1 MS. ADAMS: Mr. Wright? 2 MR. WRIGHT: Here. 3 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: General Statute 138A-15 4 mandates that the Chair inquires whether any Trustee 5 knows of any conflict of interest or the appearance 6 of conflict of interest with respect to matters on 7 the agenda. If any Trustee knows of conflict of 8 interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest, 9 please so state at this time. 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: I'm going to ask you to 12 put your cell phones -- either turn them off or on 13 vibrate. And also, one special thing. I know that 14 sometimes you get a call during the meeting and step 15 outside. But we need to be really sensitive to the 16 fact that this building, that people are working. 17 And they have asked us not to stand in the hall and 18 talk on the cell phone. Go down to the lobby if you 19 need to do that. And generally, I think as before, 20 they ask that we not talk out in the hall. 21 You've received the transcript of the 22 October meeting of the Board. Do I hear a motion 23 that the minutes be approved? 24 MR. WRIGHT: So moved. 25 DR. CAMP: Second.

```
CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Discussion?
1
2
                    (No response.)
3
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       All in favor say,
4
         "Aye."
5
                   BOARD MEMBERS:
                                        Aye.
6
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Opposed, "No."
7
                    (No response.)
8
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
9
         Lisa Riegel here? Lisa, we recognize you as always.
10
         As always, glad to have Lisa with Natural Heritage,
11
         the executive director of Natural Heritage Trust
12
         Fund, our partner here with us today.
13
                   I want to do this at the beginning of the
14
         meeting rather than any particular time. And to give
15
         some recognition and thanks to our Representative-
16
         Elect Chuck McGrady, who is leaving us to go serve in
17
         the General Assembly in the North Carolina House.
18
         And Jerry, you're our resident historian, but I know
19
         that Charles and I were in the General Assembly, and
20
         we are -- we are now serving on this Board. But I
21
         don't think we've had a member, have we, in the
22
         history who was on this Board and then went to the
23
         General Assembly.
24
                   MR. WRIGHT:
                                   No, sir.
25
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So you're the first
```

with that. Chuck, you've been a terrific Board member. You brought a lot to the table when you came to this Board. You knew a lot about what we were doing, and you have used that to the benefit of the citizens of the mountains and all of North Carolina. And we really appreciate your hard work and what you've done.

I've told Chuck privately, just as he wasn't a freshman when he came here, he didn't act like a freshman. It's going to be that way in the General Assembly because of all the public service that he has done. I won't try to recount it. As county commissioner and everything, he's at least a redshirt freshman, and maybe -- maybe a lot more than that.

But you are really about to embark on a really exciting thing, and a difficult and exciting time. And no matter what else you've done in public service, I can tell you the service in the General Assembly is something that I consider to be at the -- at the very top. One of the interesting things, Chuck, is that on the street when you hear people say they did this, and they did that, and you realize that now they're really talking about you.

But I'll tell you, it is very, very

fortunate for us at this time that Chuck is in the General Assembly. Because as we know, leadership is going to change in the General Assembly and both Houses, and it's important that people from all parties, but in particular that the leadership and those hear what Chuck McGrady has to say. And at least we know that we will have a voice on the inside there. And I think it's just tremendously important. And we know it's a big job, and we know that you're going to do the very best you can. And I know every member of the Board joins me in congratulating you and wishing you the very best. Let's give Chuck a hand.

(Applause.)

MR. McGRADY: Mr. Chairman, with that I will comment. Thank you. I have really enjoyed serving on this Board. I've told any number of people that my only regret in going to the General Assembly is I'm going to have to step off working here. I would reflect on the fact that that wasn't my initial opinion of the Board four years ago. When I arrived it was at sort of a tumultuous time, and I didn't particularly enjoy, actually, my first few meetings.

But we -- Richard and Phil have been superb

leaders in the way they've worked together. Working with Richard and the staff, most recently these last few years co-chairing Acquisitions with Jerry, I've just been very impressed with the work that's done by our staff. Of course, Tom Massie always keeps me in line out west, and will probably continue to do that from afar.

And all I can say to you is I've learned a lot. I'm going to miss my friends around the table. But you can be assured that I will be an advocate. I've never had a problem being an advocate for the things I believed in. And I really -- I now understand sort of the Trust Fund better than I did four years ago, and I intend to be an advocate on our behalf.

And I would ask all of my friends here when you see me trip, which is inevitable for a freshman, give me a call and say, "You know, Chuck, you could have handled that better." I'd take it -- I'd take it much better from the folks here than perhaps others. But thank you for your kind words, Phil. And I'm going to miss serving on this Board.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Thank you, Chuck.

Okay. It's now the public comment time on the agenda. I believe you have something that you need

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to do with that; is that right?

MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. I did -- We had a communication, an advocate from the Northwest, the wastewater project we talked about briefly, and asked that we read her memo into the -- into the record in the public comments. So I will -- I will read that here. The memo is to Richard Rogers from Lorrie Rutledge, cc'ing Clean Water Management Trust Fund members. You do have a copy of this in your folders.

"Public Comment for Board meeting Nov. 14-15, 2010. Public Comment from Lorrie Rutledge on Behalf of the City of Northwest, Brunswick County, North Carolina. I would like to recap Northwest's immense need for the current funding by way of our Clean Water Management Trust Fund grant application. By receiving this funding along with the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Emergency Loan Funding, the City of Northwest will immediately begin the process to expand the current sanitation collection system; thereby decommissioning malfunctioning septic systems which currently pose a health and environmental hazard. With Northwest being a part of a regional wastewater system, it is imperative we continue to pursue the most up-to-date and highest standards of

sanitation collection for all residents and commercial businesses within our area.

The Northwest Sanitation Collection

Improvement Project falls well within the General

Assembly and Clean Water Management Trust Fund

mandate to protect state waters through cleaning up

of contaminated discharge and particularly in

Northwest's case the surface water runoff that's

designated toward the Hood Creek Floodplain, which is

a Significant Natural Heritage area. This project

will also help Northwest and the surrounding

Brunswick County area economically, as infrastructure

is vital to economic strategies on a local, county,

and state level.

Please keep these facts in mind during your selection process. By providing Northwest with the necessary funding the city would reach actualization of a greater sustainability for its residents and area businesses as well as the surrounding Brunswick County areas. Thank you, Lorrie Rutledge, Northwest Economic Support Administrator."

Just a note. You did talk about this, and I think we'll continue to work with Northwest.

It was not -- The project was not approved, but we'll continue to work with them to have a better

1 application in the coming cycle. 2 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Is there anyone else 3 who would like to be recognized to make a public 4 comment? 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: If not, we'll move to 7 our executive director's report. Richard? 8 MR. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 There's a few things. I don't know. All right. 10 I've got three or four pages of notes here that we'll 11 quickly go through this just to kind of give you some 12 of the numbers for Clean Water. First, I want to 13 recognize Christopher, and let him review the 14 financial statement. It's in your Board packet 15 under -- under Section E. And it's -- I'm sorry, 16 Section C. And it's just the basic summary of the 17 status of our -- it's our financial status currently. 18 So Christopher, if you'll run through that quickly. 19 Thank you. 20 MR. FIPPS: Thank you, Richard. Yes. 21 As seen in Agenda Item C, the current fund statement, 22 the total fund is at a 124.4 million. Then 23 accounting for the funds that are allocated to the 24 Stewardship Endowment brings the total cash balance 25 to a 122.5 million. Then adding in the projected

1 revenue for the remainder of the fiscal year, the 2 remainder of the appropriation and the projected 3 interest to the fund, then removing out the projected 4 expenditures and obligations through the rest of the 5 fiscal year including the potential reversions back to the state to help with the budget shortfall, the 6 7 rest of the administrative budget as well as the 8 encumbered grant contracts. Adding back the funds 9 that are up for consideration to be unencumbered and 10 brought back to the Board for re-awarding, and then 11 removing out, at the very bottom, the funds that were 12 already allocated to the funding committees at the 13 beginning of this meeting leaves the available 14 balance of the roughly 3.5 million, which is equal to 15 the amount that the Board is considering to 16 unencumber at this meeting. Thanks, Richard. 17 MR. ROGERS: Also a note at the very 18 bottom there, our remaining 2008 projects that we 19 have to fund as well. Also, just a little update. Ι

MR. ROGERS: Also a note at the very bottom there, our remaining 2008 projects that we have to fund as well. Also, just a little update. I tried to provide y'all an update on our contract status as we're moving through. And with regard -- We have kind of two sets of contracts that are moving through our process, the 2008 contracts which we began again funding in August. And that we have all of our contracts that we funded in '09 and '10 -- I'm

20

21

22

23

24

25

sorry -- on 9/10 with the exception of two contracts. So we're doing very well there. And we've got 98 percent of the projects that we funded this past August out the door and to our prospective clients. So the staff has worked extremely hard to get these out the door.

With regards to the 2010 projects that you have funded, 85 percent of those are out the door. That's 47 out of 55 contracts that they are in the hands of our clients under review. Some of them have been finalized, and we're sending them on over to DENR to get encumbered. I think the staff is going to focus to get these things out the door, get the money encumbered so we can get these projects moving forward. And I just want to say thank you to staff for keeping their eyes on the ball here and staying diligent in getting these contracts out the door and getting them signed.

Another statistic that we like to look at, and I know that the Trustees are interested in is kind of a distribution of funds on projects that we have across the state and how our process has worked on an annual basis. Traditionally, our funding -- And we look at just the three areas -- the east, the central, and the western part of the state.

Traditionally, about 48 percent of our projects have been going to the east, 21 to the central part of the state, 26 percent going to the western part of the state.

This cycle we've had a bit of a change.

We've got about 41 -- And that's including the

committee recommendations to the Board. We've got

about 41 percent of our application --- our projects

are in the western, in the mountains, 42 percent in

the Piedmont, and then 17 percent going to the

eastern part of the state. So there has been

somewhat of a flip with regards to where our projects

are being distributed on y'all's approval.

Also in your Board packet there's a couple letters that I wanted to bring to your attention. We made mention of this yesterday. One is from the Land Trust for Central North Carolina, and the other is from the Town of Benson. We don't normally put unencumbrances or withdrawn projects in the Board packet. We do unencumber the funds.

These are two special -- special releases of funds you might say. The fact is that neither one of the contracts on these projects were becoming close to ending. But for the goodness of the people that are -- that are our clients, they decided that

the projects were not viable. And they wanted to make available funds so that we could apply them to new projects. And I just wanted to make note of that in the public record. And I'd also like for direction from y'all that we respond to them thanking them for their stewardship with state funds, I think is what it is, allowing us and allowing you the opportunity to put these projects that will move forward.

With regards to Land Trust for Central

North Carolina, they were at a piece of property that
was connected to the Alcoa FERC Relicensing, and it
is tied up in litigation. It is not going to be
cleared up soon. Jason Walser and their Land Trust
decided that it would be best to -- And this was a
\$1.4 million grant. They decided it would be in the
best interest of them and us to return those funds.

The Town of Benson was an \$800,000 award from the Board on a reuse project specifically because some lack of development of active business that was going to use the reuse work, and their uncertainty of economic situation, they made a tough decision to return the funds as well.

Again, these contracts are not coming to an end. They were recent awards. And one thing, I

think -- And we heard this from both of them, I thought it was very interesting. They were concerned that returning the money would hurt their chances of getting money in the future. As staff, we assured them that that was not the case, that we appreciated their efforts. And I think it'd be appropriate for a letter to go back to them in thanking them for their stewardship. And we'll have a signature for myself and put Phil's name on it, too, if y'all see that as appropriate. But I did want to make mention of that, and thank them for their effort and their stewardship with state dollars.

One other issue that has come up, and I've spoke with Phil about it, and he didn't have a real great reaction to this. But there's a program, the Vendor Attachment Program that has been put in place by the Department of Revenue. Basically what this does is it garnishes funds to vendors that have not paid up on their taxes.

And it was interesting to note that we sent a check to one of our clients, a reimbursement check, and it got garnished. And the process for doing this is interesting. I mean, we approve the invoice and make -- submit the request for funds to be provided for a check cut. It goes to DENR. They process it,

and it goes on. And then after it gets out of DENR's hand, the controller will stop at revenue review, and they'll garnish the check, and then they'll reissue it out to our client. So I wanted to bring notice.

We were kind of confused about why they would garnish grant funds checks. I have talked with the head of collections over at the Department of Revenue. And his simple answer was unless you were explicitly -- explicitly excused in statute from this garnishment, and you're identified in statute that it does not apply to you, then you are subject to it. So we are not -- we are not in statute to be exempt from this.

The other interesting thing is that you -I was baffled about what in the world would a local
government have with regards to collection of taxes,
and what would they (unintelligible). But there
are -- there are instances of snack bars, things like
that, where they can (unintelligible) sales tax, and
owe it back to the state, and also someone holding
taxes as well. The garnishment was not an immense
amount, but I did want to make you aware of that.
Phil asked me to follow up on that. I don't know
whether he has any comment to it or not. But I
thought that was kind of interesting. But the

1 Department of Revenue is working hard at collecting 2 taxes, that's for sure. So I don't know if y'all 3 have any questions on that. Bafflement. 4 MR. RASCOE: 5 MR. ROGERS: Bafflement, yes. It's 6 rather -- rather interesting. 7 MR. RASCOE: It looks like a shell game 8 to me with the money. The state did not gain 9 anything from the garnishing of funds coming from the 10 state. 11 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Well, to remind you of 12 the Old Testament, "The Lord giveth, and the Lord 13 taketh away. Blessed be the name of the Lord." 14 Right? 15 DR. BRANNON: No comment. 16 MR. ROGERS: Well, we can -- we all -- we 17 do get notice on this, but it's totally after the 18 fact. So we can track this, but that's about all 19 we'll be able to do. My sense is that it will -- it 20 will happen very infrequently. It was a minimal 21 amount that was deducted, I think. So it's not a 22 major issue for us at this point, but I did want to 23 make y'all aware of this new and inspired program the 24 Revenue is participating in. 25 Next, I just want to begin, I guess, the

discussion with regards to -- And this is the normal time that we begin our discussion with regards to our legislative strategies, the upcoming legislature.

We're all aware there's a whole lot of change that's going on in the legislature. Both the House and the Senate will be controlled by the Republican Party.

And we need, I think, in our efforts to step back and start back from the basics, and start communicating our program as much as we can to the new leadership as well as the new majority in the House and Senate.

And I am looking to y'all and hoping y'all would have some ideas about how we can begin this process. This process needs to begin immediately, and we need to really engage ourselves on educating folks to what our program is about. I think the philosophies of our program and the work that we do mesh in with some of the priorities that the new majority will have, a couple of those being jobs.

When I've talked with some of the new majority, their answer is our number one interest is jobs. This program provides jobs. I think another interest of theirs is the addition of government, and I feel like we provide a program that performed great work in a very efficient and effective way.

So I think we have some things to tout, and

I think programs is a great benefit to the state.

Again, we'll have to redouble the efforts and get our clients out across the state, not only our local government, but our Land Trust. And I think the Land Trust are probably already talking and thinking about this as well to begin kind of a groundswell and a very grassroots effort on communicating the program to the public and the newly elected officials that are in a leadership role.

We have -- Again, we'll continue working on facts sheets and our postcards. Our number one wastewater project at this time was in the City of Eden, and I believe the president pro tem is from Eden. So I believe he'll probably receive a postcard no later than this afternoon. We do need to start looking and reaching out for these folks.

And I -- If we've got a minute or two, I'd like to get some feedback from you about some strategies and thoughts you have with regards to doing this. One thing I have done and we've been working on, we had a fact sheet for 2009. We reformulated it a bit, and it's at your desk. It's color copy. It's got draft all over it. The quality is not that great.

But the idea here is to integrate our

postcard into the fact sheet and bringing the connection we have of the general public and people across the state, and then the projects that we have with regards to those key elements that we see as where clean water is important from economic -- it's important from an economical perspective.

Economically a vital resource for local government, and also a healthier North Carolina by providing clean water.

So take a look at this. Again, we're in the process of redeveloping this as kind of a piece.

So take a look at this. Again, we're in the process of redeveloping this as kind of a piece that we'll get out and use in our communication to the legislature.

One other factor, and again I think this is something that we really need to discuss, is -- and I've heard this some from current legislators, is wondering about the allocation of funds and how much funds we put towards infrastructure as opposed to acquisition. And the fact that infrastructure from their perspective means jobs, and it might be important that we looked on focusing on some infrastructure needs that we have across the state as well.

So I think that's something else that we as a Board need to discuss and get out in front of that

1 issue a little bit. Because I have a feeling it will 2 be an issue discussed in the legislature. And I 3 think the notion of the Board in the past is y'all 4 need to be making these decisions and not the 5 legislature. So that's just one little note that 6 I've been hearing when I've talked to some of the 7 fellow legislatures. 8 I have already gotten notes out to the 9 leadership, and proposed leadership at the 10 legislature, and then some others. So we're starting 11 a personal communication. And then from there, you 12 know, we're looking to meet new members, introduce 13 ourselves to them. And looking through a letter, a 14 fact sheet and those types of things, but also 15 interested in hearing y'all's thoughts. Mr. Chairman, if y'all --16 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: I think it's 18 appropriate. You've set the stage. And so we'd like 19 to hear from any of the Trustees who would like to 20 weigh in on this. 21 Mr. Chair? DR. CAMP: 22 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Dr. Camp? 23 DR. CAMP: Looking at the bulleted 24 items, Richard --25 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir.

1 DR. CAMP: -- if we could capture the 2 number of construction projects that are a result of 3 our grants. 4 MR. ROGERS: Okay. 5 DR. CAMP: That initially would give an 6 indication of putting people to work in a physical 7 way. 8 MR. ROGERS: All right. 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Karen? 10 MS. CRAGNOLIN: I think we have to try 11 to look at this as an opportunity to really get out 12 and talk to everybody on every street corner and 13 every school and every place across the state, and 14 engage people like the Council of Governments, all 15 the cogs, the sanitary sewer folks, not just the Land Trust. I think that's an important component, but I 16 17 think we need to reach beyond that. 18 municipalities, local governments, that's probably 19 the best way to get to those is through the cogs. 20 And have programs all across the state. 21 I've already talked to Richard about coming 22 up to western North Carolina. We'd love to have him 23 there. And I think that's something we can help our 24 staff go, you know, just all across the state and --

one-on-one meetings. And make sure when we're

25

having -- we invite people to introduce our staff and the program that we're cognizant of the fact that water is not a partisan issue. Water is a bipartisan issue. We all drink it; we all shower with it; we all -- It's an important, important issue.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Anyone else? Chuck?

MR. McGRADY: One strategy, I think, in terms of reaching out to new legislators, I think it would be important is really to work through local government officials who have been impacted by the grants that we made. I think they have, you know, credibility. They can speak. They're not on this Board, but they know of the good work that has been done by this Board. And I just would say I think there's a lot of credibility there.

Just again to touch on what -- I mean, regardless -- putting aside the partisan sets of issues -- Republican, Democrat -- there's just a large number of new people in both the Senate and the House. There are 23 freshman Republicans in there. Add a group of freshman Democrats to it, and you're looking at one-quarter of that chamber being new people. And regardless of what their politics are, they've got a lot to learn very quickly.

And again, I just think trying to make our

projects things that are in their districts and local officials they know and already have relationships with, is a really good way to make what this Trust Fund does real for a new legislature that is, you know, suddenly confronted with budgets and departments and a wide range of things he or she may not know much about.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. John?

MR. GARROU: It's my experience with other organizations that it's difficult to ask the staff, who's already overburdened, to travel all across the state to speak to folks. They intend to do it, and they want to do it, but it's just tough to make the time to do it. It might be appropriate to have a presentation developed that could be given by Trustees without staff involvement. They would have to be vetted and prepared and rehearsed, but that might be a possibility that could spread the resources a little bit.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Well, I happen to agree with you. And a couple years ago we did that. And we have a presentation. It would certainly have to be updated. To be honest with you, Mr. Garrou, we didn't get a lot of Trustee participation in it. So I would just -- I agree with John. I think this is

the time to do it. So I'm sure our staff can get that together for you. If we're ever going to do it, folks, this is the time to do it.

Anybody else? Karen?

MS. CRAGNOLIN: We also did some editorials before, and that might be another -- guest editorials for papers from Trustees around the state. I think that's another good strategy.

Work cut out for us. And, Chuck, we know that you have your -- Well, I didn't mean it that way. But I hope you'll have -- He will have his ear to the ground. And I am sure that you will alert us in particular to things that you do. And, of course, we -- you know, John McMillan is the highest rated lobbyist over there, and he's on our Board, and he is chair of our Legislative Committee. And anyhow, he's our eyes and ears over there, too. So I'm sure that you'll be getting good advice from him. John, please weigh in.

MR. McMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, let's not forget that the first step in this process is the governor has got to propose a budget, and she's working on that. And we are in the -- in the statutes to have a continuing appropriation of \$100

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

million a year. So if we were to offer up our 15 percent cut, our appropriation would be 85 million. We've been somewhat less than that. But we need to remind the budget office and those folks that we have done this. We've met with them. We have been part of the team and have been a team player the last few years when we've had all these budget issues.

To Chuck's point, there are 44 new legislators in the 170 that make up the General Assembly. There will be all new appropriations chairs in both the House and the Senate. And so there is ample opportunity for us to make our case to these folks, and remind them of the projects in their districts, to bring it home to them because that's important to a lot of folks.

And we as Trustees just have to -- just have to talk to all of the people that -- in that process that we can. And we do have to rely on our grantees. They're good advocates for us, and that includes the League and the county commissioners and the Land Trust. We need to work with them, continue to work with them through this process. It's going to be very, very difficult.

> Mr. Chairman? MR. VAUGHAN:

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Thank you, John. Stan?

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VAUGHAN: I think everything Mr. McMillan said is very important, but I think timing is also important. And one of the concerns I have is particularly with freshmen legislators. Their hands are going to be full for the next 30 to 45 days getting ready to report. And they're going to hear so many people that I'm questioning whether -- I mean, you don't want to miss any opportunity to talk to them if you have that. But from an organized point of view, when is it -- let them get in and be there, and then try to see them, or before when they're trying to -- You know, they're learning every day, and are they going to get an oversaturation early, and we may miss our point if we try to get in too early. I don't know. I'm asking Chuck or other people who served in the legislature, what is the right timing?

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: John?

MR. McMILLAN: One of the things that's happening right now is that the House and the Senate are -- the Republicans are going to be selecting their leadership. Members are going to be approaching those folks. And we already know who most of them are, about what particular part they would like to play in the General Assembly for the

coming two years. And so committee chairs are being considered.

It would be helpful for us to identify

those people who we think are understanding of our mission and supportive of that, and suggest to them that they ask the new leadership to put them in positions where they can be of help to us. I don't know whether these decisions are going to be made this year. In the past they've been made at the chair level and at the corner-office level.

But it's certainly helpful to have people in the appropriations (unintelligible) committee, and the leadership positions on that committee and the House and the Senate who understand our program and support our mission. So if we identify people like Chuck who are supportive and understand us, and say to them, "Go to your newly-designated speaker and majority leader and ask that you be put on that committee," that's one thing that we can do now that will be helpful in the future.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Dr. Camp? Thank you, John.

DR. CAMP: One short point here, with new people coming in, it's an opportunity for us to seize upon that moment when they are absorbing

1 information, you know, like a new kid. And we need 2 to get them that information. This will be a good 3 idea to put on the desk of every one of these new 4 people coming in with whatever revisions we make. 5 They're going to need information. They're going to 6 listen to a lot of people, and you don't want them to 7 get the wrong information on us. We have an 8 opportunity. 9 MR. ROGERS: My sense, Stan, on freshmen, I 10 think it would be important for us to get an 11 introductory letter out from me to get them 12 accustomed, or just understanding the program, 13 probably identify the money that we put into their 14 districts. And then look to follow up with him once 15 they get in session and get an office assigned, which 16 is always a precarious process, and we find 17 everybody. Then we can stop in and start with 18 personal communication, and getting some feedback. Ι 19 think Dr. Camp makes a good point as well. 20 MR. HESTER: Do we have our latest 21 report? 22 Yeah. MR. ROGERS: The -- Our annual 23 report is due December 1. And I do think that that 24 will be a priority, and it will be in on time. 25 There's been some discussion, and Will came up with

an idea that we might want to translate in the annual report along with the facts sheet information, which is about a four-page, you know, almost kind of overviews the program, but also gives some examples of our projects and connect the folks with what we've been doing across the state. I think that's another thing that we'll be looking at as well.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Karen?

MS. CRAGNOLIN: You know, one of the things that we might think about is identifying some key people across this great state, and getting testimonies from them about what the long-term implications are for the state of North Carolina, the (unintelligible) to be one of the best places to do business, to be one of the best places to retire, et cetera, et cetera. And most, you know, key testimonials from key people that are highly respected across the Board. Like a Bill Friday. And I don't know, I think we can probably come up with a list. And I bet they would do that.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Aaron?

MR. THOMAS: Do we track with our projects jobs created or jobs saved? Because I know that's going to be something similar to the stimulus because that's going to be -- You know, down in our

1 area, every representative, every senator that's been 2 calling in the last two months, that's all they talk 3 about is jobs. That's going to continue for sure. 4 Yeah. I think we can -- we MR. ROGERS: 5 have applied a formula to our infrastructure jobs. And I know that there's been a lot of work been done 6 7 with regards to accounting for jobs and acquisition as well. And we can actually put numbers to that. 8 Ι 9 think it's the backup and justification on those 10 numbers that some folks question. But I think we can 11 look at it and look at that and see if that's an 12 opportunity for us to show the jobs we created. can definitely get numbers out of there. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Stan? 15 MR. VAUGHAN: Just one follow-up comment 16 for Dr. Camp. I really agree brevity is very 17 important. They're going to be getting so much 18 materials, so a series of these put out at different 19 times is better than a five-page document. 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Anyone else? All great 21 comments and suggestions, and I can assure you that 22 our staff and that we will try to do the best we can 23 in implementing all of the good suggestions. Is that 24 it, Richard? 25 MR. ROGERS: One last thing, and I

1 appreciate your indulgence. At your table we have a 2 schedule for next year. We discussed this a bit at 3 our last meeting, and we were looking at this meeting 4 to adopt a schedule. At this moment, not that things 5 won't change, but I think it's important that we do 6 get our schedule together. 7 And I don't know whether there's specific 8 comments. I know that this is not the perfect 9 schedule that provides everybody an opportunity where 10 they don't have some conflict with a meeting or some 11 time on the schedule. But it would be good for us to 12 get this adopted so we can start our planning efforts 13 as far as next year, especially the next three 14 meetings and what happens before the end of the 15 fiscal year. 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Get it on the 17 table. Do I have a motion to adopt the --18 DR. CAMP: So moved. 19 MR. BEANE: Second. 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Moved and seconded that 21 we adopt the calendar for 2011. Is there discussion? 22 Karen? 23 MS. CRAGNOLIN: Is it -- Would there be 24 any -- Is there any problem with us traveling at all? 25 I notice that we're in the Piedmont and Boone.

Should we -- I mean, I don't know what the perception of that is.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Yeah. We don't have any travel restrictions. We have really restricted our traveling --

MS. CRAGNOLIN: Oh, I know.

Years. So the Piedmont meeting, we're talking maybe Chapel Hill, so not much different. We can -- You know, we can rethink the Boone trip as we see how things go. It's been a long time since we have gone out and looked at projects. And I just think it's a very important part of our mission. But I certainly don't want to do anything that is giving the wrong impression. So whatever the Board thinks.

MR. ROGERS: One of the justifications is that we were scheduled six meetings a year. We did have an October meeting. The Boone meeting was supposed to kind of take the place of that meeting. We skipped -- I'm sorry, September. And it will be more of a meeting time frame in -- I think that just from an administrative budget perspective, it just will -- these funds that were allocated earlier for an earlier meeting for the most part. It will be somewhat more expensive. You know, to date, where we

1 are right now, Phil is going to be getting out and 2 seeing these projects is critically important to the 3 Trustees, and it's a great opportunity for us. 4 Again, we're always flexible. And as time goes, 5 we'll see what the field is outside. CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Karen, I'd like to 6 7 mention the -- I said Chapel Hill is not far. 8 staff basically will be able to -- This is our 9 retreat. And I think it's real important that we be 10 there, and that we stay there, and that we be 11 together and have the kind of conversation that we 12 need to have. And it may be that this is one of the 13 most important retreats that we -- that we will have. 14 MS. CRAGNOLIN: I agree 100 percent. 15 just -- The perception --16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: My point is the staff 17 will not have to travel. 18 MS. CRAGNOLIN: Okay. 19 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: They'll be able to stay 20 at home. So the cost there will be actually minimum, 21 maybe not any significant difference. So -- And you 22 get to stay in Chapel Hill. My God. 23 MR. ROGERS: Better for some than others. 24 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Any other 25 comments?

```
1
                   MS. CRAGNOLIN: Just one more thing. We
2
         really need to engage the tourism industry. And I'm
3
         not sure that they've really stepped up to the plate
4
         and acknowledged what the impact of some of the
5
         things that this Board has done to tourism in North
         Carolina. There's a huge industry, and I think we
6
7
         really need to put them on our target list and do
8
         some CBB convention and bureau presentations that
9
         are, you know, pretty factual about what is the
10
         impact of a Chimney Rock and a Grandfather Mountain
11
         and a DuPont State Forest, et cetera, et cetera.
12
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. The motion's on
13
         the floor. Is there further discussion?
14
                   (No response.)
15
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       So many as favor the
16
         adoption of the motion to adopt the schedule for next
17
         year signify by saying "Aye."
18
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
19
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       Opposed, "No."
20
                   (No response.)
21
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
22
         Okay.
23
                   MR. ROGERS:
                                  Mr. Chairman, that concludes
24
         my report.
25
                   MR. HOLLAN:
                                  Richard, were you looking
```

1 for any action by the Board on this Land Trust for 2 Central North Carolina and Benson, or are you just 3 simply --4 My intention is to draft a MR. ROGERS: 5 letter from Phil with a signature that we'll get out 6 to people. 7 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Our attorney has 8 advised me that he does not have a report today. He 9 will make a report as part of a committee meeting, 10 one of the committee meetings. So we'll now move to 11 the report from our Administrative Committee. 12 Chairman Vaughan? 13 Thank you, Phil. We had a MR. VAUGHAN: 14 brief meeting yesterday afternoon, and had the great 15 pleasure of recommending to the Board that we unencumber -- I think it's \$3,551,400.83 which was in 16 17 your handout, Item E-5. It's the recommendation of 18 our committee that these be unencumbered and go back 19 to their original committee. 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. You've heard the 21 motion of the committee. It does not require a 22 second. Is there any discussion? 23 (No response.) 24 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 25 adoption of the committee recommendation signify by

```
saying "Aye."
1
2
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No."
4
                   (No response.)
5
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        The "ayes" have it.
6
                   MR. VAUGHAN: That's our report, Mr.
7
         Chairman.
8
                   CHARIMAN BADDOUR:
                                       Thank you very much.
9
         And now we go to the Program Committee for its
10
         report. Chairman Hollan, I think, is going to make
11
         that report.
12
                   MR. HOLLAN:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13
         The Program Committee met yesterday afternoon.
14
                   MR. McGRADY: Chairman, am I mistaken,
15
         aside from the unencumbering, didn't we pass some
         motion in the Administrative Committee related to the
16
17
         unencumbered funds, that -- how they would be used
18
         between this meeting and the next meeting? I believe
19
         I offered that motion, and it was passed by the
20
         Administrative Committee.
21
                   MR. VAUGHAN: Mr. Chairman, he is
22
         absolutely right. That's my oversight.
23
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Bill, will you hold off
24
         just for a minute? We're going to go back to the
25
         report from the Administrative Committee.
```

1 MR. VAUGHAN: Do you want to restate that 2 for the Board? 3 MR. ROGERS: We also note there's a pink 4 sheet that you have at your desk that identifies the 5 remaining 2008 projects. 6 MR. VAUGHAN: I quess the recommendation 7 that we approved was that (unintelligible) has the 8 ability on any unencumbered funds prior to our next 9 meeting, to use those funds toward the 2008 projects. 10 They're all, I think, acquisition projects. 11 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the report 12 of the committee. Is there any discussion? 13 Mr. Chairman, on the pink MR. HOLLAN: 14 sheet we have a certain order. Is that the order in 15 which the staff would propose to fund these as funds 16 come in? 17 MR. ROGERS: Currently, this is the order 18 that was publicized, and it was approved by the 19 Board. I think that it's incumbent upon staff to get 20 back with these grantees to make sure that the status 21 of the projects are the same. And after this meeting 22 we will get on that to make sure that the status 23 remains the same. And we plan to report back to you 24 in February the status of the projects. 25 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: But the point, I think,

1 Bill is making is you're going to follow this order 2 if it makes sense to do so --3 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. 4 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: -- in terms of if the 5 projects being ready to go? MR. ROGERS: That's correct. 6 7 MR. HOLLAN: Does that mean that the 8 small -- the three last projects 24,000, 24,000 and 34,000 at the end of the line would not be likely 10 funded? 11 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. Well, and -- Yes. 12 And I think that those are some of the ones that we 13 really need to check in. A lot of those planning 14 projects have been done across the state, and we need 15 to look into those and make sure that they're in a 16 place where we can still fund those. 17 MR. McGRADY: Mr. Chairman? 18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Chuck? 19 MR. McGRADY: The motion -- Originally my 20 intent was to allow discretion with staff. 21 expectation would be we would move through in this 22 order, everything else being equal. But everything 23 else is never equal as best I can tell. And my hope 24 would be that, you know, again as we've done with the 25 acquisition projects up to this point, that we would

be opportunistic and pick up projects that are capable of being closed, that are ready to go. And that may mean that they would take something out of order because we're capable of getting it done.

We've approved -- In my view, we've approved all these projects. They're all approved projects. And so I just want to -- my -- The intention of the motion was to clear through whatever number of these we can clear through with the available monies that we have.

MR. HOLLAN: I guess my thought was that we could do more -- if we had \$400,000, we could do four projects. We might do four rather than applying \$400,000 to the first one on your list here, or wait until we got 600.

MR. McGRADY: And that's what my thought was, too, that depending on the amount of money -Staff has been really good in the time that I've worked with them as co-chair of Acquisitions of really helping Jerry and I to -- And they've signed off with us in terms of we're recommending going here and moving these projects. And I'm just very happy with the same -- using the same process with respect to these projects.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: And I hope I didn't say

1 anything --2 MR. McGRADY: No. 3 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: -- that made you think 4 I disagreed with you. I absolutely agree. So I 5 think the staff has listened to this discussion, and has a sense of what the Board's wish is. And also, 6 7 part of that motion was that they would check with 8 the chairs of the Acquisition Committee in making 9 these expenditures. So --10 MR. GARROU: One quick question. 11 that discussion imply therefore that once these 12 projects have been approved, the scores in the next 13 to the last column become essentially irrelevant? 14 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Richard? 16 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. We just put the 17 scores there as a note. And I think Chuck made the 18 point, they've all been approved, and it's just a 19 matter of getting them out the door. And money 20 doesn't normally come back to us in \$600,000 chunks. 21 So we'll make every effort to -- As soon as we've 22 gathered enough money, we'll get ones we can out the 23 door, we'll start marking them off the list. I think 24 that's the most important thing.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Further discussion?

So

25

1 many as favor the adoption of the recommendation of 2 the committee signify by saying "Aye." 3 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 7 Okay. I think that's it now with the Administrative 8 Committee report. We'll go, Bill, again to the 9 Program Committee. 10 MR. HOLLAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 The Program Committee met yesterday afternoon. At 12 our October committee meeting and the October Board 13 meeting, we talked about the efforts by the staff to 14 amend our Common Criteria to reflect certain 15 conditions that were mandated by actions of the 2010 16 legislative session. And we have pretty much agreed 17 on where the changes needed to be. 18 You have a handout, F-B, that came to you 19 in the mail, and that was what was reviewed in 20 October. It was decided that we ought to get a 21 review of our proposed action by the Attorney 22 General's Office by our legal advisor to let us know 23 that it was consistent with the (unintelligible) 24 legislature's actions. 25 Yesterday, Frank Crawley gave a very

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

detailed report analyzing the statutory language and the terms on which it is to be interpreted, and came up with a conclusion. And I was just going to ask that he summarize that conclusion for the record today.

MR. CRAWLEY: Thank you, Mr. Hollan. requested by the Chairman, I did look at the statute concerning the Common Criteria in addition to the Clean Water statutes, and found several rules of statutory construction, in addition to the principle that deference is given to the Agency in its interpretation of a statute that it applies. But it is my opinion that the point systems that this Board has developed in its own criteria and the Common Criteria and their application are consistent and in accordance with the General Assembly. And the overall purpose is -- of the (unintelligible) of the legislature, to award those projects that incorporate the Common Criteria with a better chance of being funded than those that do not address it.

MR. HOLLAN: Mr. Chairman, based on that recommendation, the committee would recommend to the Board that we approve the revised Common Criteria and new Common Criteria as detailed on Exhibit F-B.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the

recommendations of the committee. Is there 1 2 discussion? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 5 adoption of the committee recommendation signify by saying "Aye." 6 7 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 11 MR. HOLLAN: Mr. Chairman, that concludes 12 the Program Committee. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Thank you very much. 14 We'll move right along now to the report of the 15 Infrastructure Wastewater Committee. And I think co-16 chairman Rascoe is going to make that report. 17 MR. RASCOE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 did have our Wastewater -- Infrastructure Wastewater 19 Committee meeting yesterday. The first order of 20 business was Item H-B on the agenda. It was a 21 request by Tyrell County for additional funds on 22 Project 2002-B608 due to cost overrun. And they also 23 requested a time extension. After discussion, staff 24 recommended that the Board provide Tyrell County the 25 additional \$500,000 for the project, and to extend

1 the contract until June 30th, 2011, and require 2 Tyrell County to be under construction no later than 3 June 1st, 2011. If the county is not under 4 construction by that June 1st, 2011, the funds for 5 this project would be considered unencumbered and returned to Clean Water Management Trust Fund. And 6 7 this was the recommendation of the committee to the 8 Board. 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 10 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 13 adoption of the committee recommendation signify by 14 saying "Aye." 15 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Those opposed, "No." 17 (No response.) 18 The "ayes" have it. CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: 19 MR. RASCOE: The next item that was 20 considered by the committee was a discussion of 21 construction projects not under construction contract 22 within one year. There was one application for an 23 extension for good cause by the Maple Hill District, 24 Project No. 2008-602. They requested a construction 25 contract deadline of March the 1st, 2011. Staff

1 concurring with that, and approved that that 2 proposal -- recommended that that proposal date be 3 established. And that was also the recommendation of 4 the committee. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 6 recommendations of the committee. Is there any 7 discussion? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Hearing none, so many 10 as favor the adoption of the committee recommendation 11 signify by saying "Aye." 12 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. Mr. Chairman? 16 17 MR. RASCOE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 The committee next considered the wastewater 19 allocation and committee -- excuse me -- applications 20 for grants for the 2010 cycle. I believe after the 21 obligation of funds and reallocation, and after the 22 granting of the 500,000 to Tyrell County, the 23 committee had \$8,761,147 to allocate out the project. The committee did consider the staff 24 25 recommendations of projects that scored 100 or higher

1 in the first category. And the staff, with the 2 exception of two other changes and recommendation in 3 the amounts, the committee did adopt that 4 recommendation -- staff recommendation as its own 5 recommendation. 6 And, Mr. Chairman, I would like to go ahead 7 and read the individual projects into the record as 8 recommended by staff. And I believe there is one 9 project, if I could recommend -- the committee recommended, and I believe there is discussion about 10 11 one of them being amended after I give the full 12 recommendation. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. 14 May I ask -- Mr. Chairman, MR. HESTER: 15 could I ask that we consider separately 2010-616, the WaDE Program, and 2010-533, the City of Newton 16 17 application, and ask that those be -- I'd like to 18 make a motion as to those two --19 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. 20 MR. HESTER: -- at the appropriate time, 21 and ask if you'd consider those separately? 22 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Was that your intent, 23 Peter? 24 MR. RASCOE: We can do it any way. 25 don't want to lose the order, but that's fine if you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to throw them out as long as they're reinserted in the same order. They're funded and reestablished already. That's fine.

MR. HESTER: I have no problem with the order. It's just a matter of --

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Then let's go ahead with your presentation, and leave those two out. When we come back, we'll be sure that the order is maintained.

MR. RASCOE: Thank you. The first project on the recommended list was 2010-515, City of The committee recommended \$742,183. The Eden. second project was the Town of Troy, Project 2010-548. The committee did not recommend any funding for this project due to its using reuse or apply reuse in a non-failing system. I want to say if I misspeak on any reasoning behind, if the staff could correct me, I would appreciate it. The third project was 2010-554, City of Wilson. Staff recommended 500,000, and committee concurred with that recommendation as well. The fourth project, 2010-519, Gaston County. committee recommends \$1,750,000. We'll skip the next project, 2010-616, which is Division of Environmental Health, and bring that back in. The next project is 2010-532, Morehead City. The committee recommended

no funding for that project due to its applicability for reuse in a non-failing system. The next project, 2010-609, was Mitchell County. The committee recommends \$1 million award on that project. The next project, 2010-524, the City of Kannapolis, the committee does not recommend funding that project due to its use of -- reuse in a non-failing system. Next project, 2010-527, was Town of Lake Lure. The committee recommends no funding due to that project not being construction-ready. The next project was -- the application was 2010-512A, Town of Cramerton. The committee recommends an award of 710,000.

The next project, 2010-539, Town of Rhodhiss. I hope I got that right this time. The committee recommends an award of \$700,000. The next project was Project No. 2010-522, Hoke County. The committee does not recommend funding that project due to its use and reuse in a non-failing system. The next project, 2010-536, City of Oxford. The committee recommends a \$210,000 award. Next project, 2010-541, Town of Rutherfordton. The committee recommends no funding of that project due to it not being construction-ready. The next project, 2010-613, South Camden Water & Sewer District. The

committee recommends an award of 860 -- \$864,100. 1 2 The next project, 2010-523, is Hyde County. And the 3 committee recommends an award of \$609,590. The next 4 project, 2010-612, is the Village of Simpson. 5 committee recommends no funding due to that project not being construction-ready. We will skip 2010-533 6 7 and go to 2010-537, which is Town of Parkton. And 8 the committee recommends no award in that project due 9 to lack of funds. We'll match. 10 Mr. Chairman, those are the recommended 11 projects from the Wastewater Committee absent the two 12 that Trustee Hester will address in that order. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 14 recommendations of the committee with regard to the 15 projects that have been read by Chairman Rascoe. 16 there any discussion? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Hearing none, so many 19 as favor the adoption of the committee recommendation 20 signify by saying "Aye." 21 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 22 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 23 (No response.) 24 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. The "ayes" 25 have it. Now, I think the way to do it, Peter, will

1 you present those other two projects, and then if 2 there's an amendment, we'll take it. 3 MR. RASCOE: Okay. The committee 4 recommended originally Project No. 2010-616, North 5 Carolina Division of Environmental Health, an adjusted amount of 650,000. And also, for the City 6 7 of Newton, Project No. 2010-533, the committee 8 recommendation -- recommended amount as an adjusted 9 amount was \$1,025,274. 10 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. You've heard the 11 committee recommendation. I'll recognize Mr. Joe 12 Hester. 13 MR. HESTER: Mr. Chairman, it's my 14 understanding on Project 2010-616, the WaDE Program, 15 that that is assigned a \$750,000 request. It was for three years at \$250,000 a year. Already \$100,000 of 16 that has been used. The award recommended was 17 \$650,000. I'd like to make a motion to further 18 19 reduce that by \$150,000 to, in effect, make that a 20 two-year award to that program, and apply that 21 \$150,000 to Project 2010-533, Newton. That will be 22 my motion. 23 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard first of 24 all the committee recommendation, and you've heard

the amendment. Is there a second to the amendment?

25

```
MR. HENDERSON: Second.
1
2
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Rance Henderson.
                                                           Is
3
         there any discussion on the amendment?
4
                                  Mr. Chairman, I would just
                   MR. WRIGHT:
5
         like to make a statement. Kevin Markham was here
         yesterday, and unfortunately is not here today. And
6
7
         I would like to put in the record that his comments
8
         yesterday reflected that he would be in favor of this
9
         funding.
10
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Further discussion?
11
                    (No response.)
12
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Hearing none, so many
13
         as favor the adoption of the amendment put forth by
14
         Mr. Hester signify by saying "Aye."
15
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Opposed, "No."
17
                    (No response.)
18
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        The "ayes" have it.
19
         And now you have before you the committee
20
         recommendation as amended. Is there further
21
         discussion?
22
                    (No response.)
23
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Hearing none, so many
24
         as favor the committee recommendation as amended,
25
         signify by saying "Aye."
```

```
1
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
2
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Opposed, "No."
3
                    (No response.)
4
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
5
         Mr. Chairman?
6
                   MR. RASCOE:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7
         The committee also considered withdrawal requests
8
         from three grant applicants, and approved the
9
         following withdrawal requests. 2010-506, Town of
10
         Beulaville; 2010-517, Town of Farmville; and 2010-
11
         610, Town of Peachland. And that is the committee
12
         recommendation.
13
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the
14
         committee recommendation. Is there any discussion?
15
                    (No response.)
16
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Hearing none, so many
17
         as favor the adoption of the committee recommendation
18
         signify by saying "Aye."
19
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Opposed, "No."
21
                    (No response.)
22
                                        The "ayes" have it.
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
23
                   MR. RASCOE:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24
         The committee also recommended that all remaining
25
         2010 grant applications be considered as disapproved,
```

and that is the committee's recommendation. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 3 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 6 adoption of the committee recommendation signify by 7 saying "Aye." 8 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 12 MR. RASCOE: And finally, Mr. Chairman, I 13 do want to recognize for the record that there was 14 discussion by staff with the committee on two other 15 grant applications, one from the Town of Northwest in 16 Brunswick County, and the other from the Town of St. 17 Pauls in Robeson County. And staff elaborated on its 18 efforts to keep those two applicants in the loop and 19 interested in the program. And that's the committee 20 report. 21 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Thank you for the fine 22 We appreciate your work, and the committee's 23 work on that. We will now move to the report of the 24 Acquisition Committee. Chairman McGrady? 25 MR. McGRADY: Mr. Chairman, the primary

work of the Acquisition Committee was to allocate monies that have been unencumbered that relate to acquisition projects. And on the yellow sheet that's at your place, on the back side of that all of the various projects that are listed, there were a number of motions made with respect to these. And I'm going to try and, Mr. Chairman, to just combine them all in one and take them in a block.

The committee recommended that the 017 project, Humpback Mountain, be funded in the amount of \$780,000. The committee recommended that the 024 project, Wildcat Mountain, be funded in the amount of \$941,000. The committee recommended that Project 109, Olivia West Tract, Marks Creek be funded in the amount of \$377,675. The committee recommended that Project 094, Southview Park, Lick Creek, be funded in the amount of \$500,000. And finally, the committee recommended that the Backbone Ridge Tract project, Project 054, be funded in the amount of \$380,000.

The committee provides -- is recommending no additional funds to Project 096, East Fork of the French Broad Headwaters, and that there -- The committee is also recommending no funding for Wilson Creek, Project 023; the Johnson Tract, Project 086; and the Thompson River Headwaters project, Project

1 Finally, the remaining monies that we have 097. 2 after assuming these projects are approved as 3 recommended. The amount of \$80,000 would then be 4 allocated to the donated mini-grant program. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 6 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Hearing none, so many 9 as favor the adoption of the committee recommendation 10 signify by saying "Aye." 11 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 13 (No response.) 14 The "ayes" have it. CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: 15 MR. McGRADY: Mr. Chairman, we still have 16 one other matter, rather a housekeeping matter. It 17 related to an earlier acquisition done in connection 18 with the Land Trust of Central Carolina, Project 19 2008-025. And because of miscommunication regarding 20 certain transactional costs to complete the project, 21 we underfunded that project by \$75,000. 22 committee is recommending that we now allocate 23 \$75,000 to cover the transactional costs which were 24 accidentally left out of the monies that we approved 25 for that project.

```
1
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       Okay. You've heard the
2
         committee recommendation. Is there any discussion?
3
                   (No response.)
4
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Where will those funds
5
         come from?
                   MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, we knew --
6
7
         we're working on a contract closely with a Durham
         project. It appears to have money coming back out of
8
9
         it, so our intention is to use those to fulfill our
10
         obligation to the Land Trust for Central North
11
         Carolina.
12
                   MR. McGRADY: That was the understanding
13
         of the committee when it was discussed.
14
                                       I just wanted to be
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
15
         sure the Board understands that. Is there any
         discussion?
16
17
                   (No response.)
18
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the
19
         adoption of the committee recommendation signify by
20
         saying "Aye."
21
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       Opposed, "No."
23
                   (No response.)
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
24
25
                   MR. McGRADY: Mr. Chairman, that completes
```

1 our report. 2 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Thank you very much for 3 that report and the committee's work. We move now to 4 the report of the Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway 5 Committee. Dr. Brannon? Thank you very much. 6 DR. BRANNON: 7 have a few actions that we want to record in the 8 committee today. The first is High Country Council 9 prevalence, which is Project 2008-817. They have 10 written to ask us to withdraw their planning grant. 11 They have been given a planning grant for up to 12 \$50,000 in September. They were able in the meantime 13 to get alternative funding, and they wrote to us to 14 withdraw this project. And the committee that met 15 yesterday recommends that the grant be withdrawn. And that's the recommendation of the committee. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 18 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 21 adoption of the committee recommendation signify by 22 saying "Aye." 23 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 25 (No response.)

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 1 2 DR. BRANNON: The next item we had 3 discussed was Craven County, which was Project No. There's a request to transfer this grant 4 2008-1004. 5 and revise the project's scope of work. Kevin, I think it would be great if you maybe just take a few 6 7 seconds to explain that transfer since it's a little 8 different scope of work. 9 I'd be happy to. MR. BOYER: This is an 10 award that was made under our Innovative Stormwater 11 Initiative in February 2009 to Craven County. 12 county has had to reduce staff, is no longer able to 13 take on the project. They're still very interested 14 in it. They would have contracted with North 15 Carolina State's Bio-Ag Department through Dr. Bill Hunt to implement the project. They're asking that 16 17 we transfer the grant to North Carolina State, and 18 basically cut out the middle man as the grant 19 recipient. 20 N.C. State has identified some -- a 21 replacement site for one of the three sites that 22 originally was included in the scope of work. 23 good site. They've also identified some ways of 24 improving the project in terms of testing some

innovative approaches for stormwater management.

The

25

1 grant amount and the matching fund amount remains the 2 same. 3 DR. BRANNON: Mr. Chairman, the committee 4 recommends this request to transfer the project to 5 N.C. State. CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 6 7 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 10 adoption of the committee recommendation signify by 11 saying "Aye." 12 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. The next item for discussion 16 DR. BRANNON: 17 is that -- as you know, subject to statute in --18 North Carolina General Statute 113A-254, if grant 19 recipients are not able to get their contract in order in the appropriate time, they have to come to 20 21 the Board and ask permission to extend the contract 22 There are two projects that the committee does 23 recommend that extend the contract date. 24 One is for the City of Goldsboro. This is 25 Project 2006A-403. Their current contract deadline

1 was October the 29th. They're proposing to move the 2 construction contract deadline to 12/31/2010. Along 3 with the Town of Kure Beach, 2008-704, which is a 4 stormwater project. Their current contract date was 5 for 10/27, and they're asking to move it to February the 27th, 2011. We do believe that there was good 6 7 cause based on the examination and discussion of 8 these two requests by the staff. So the committee 9 does recommend that we delay their end to the 10 construction contract to these dates. 11 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the 12 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 15 adoption of the committee recommendation signify by saying "Aye." 16 17 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "No." 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 21 The last item on -- for the DR. BRANNON: 22 committee is if you'll look at the yellow sheet of 23 paper here, at the very top on page 1, and that's the 24 Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway Committee 25 recommendation. At the end of our meeting in October

we had \$117,866 that was left that we did not allocate. Through the actions of the funds that have been unencumbered as well as the 50,000 that was returned today in our vote, the committee for Stormwater/Restoration/Greenway now has a total of \$409,366 to reallocate.

As you recall at our last meeting in October, we did leave some projects eligible for consideration that had already been reviewed by the staff and by the committee and voted on. And these projects are listed before you. I would like to enter all these at the same time as Chuck did. I think that was really efficient.

We looked at the Restoration/Stormwater projects, the two that were remaining eligible.

They're 2010-431, Surry. And if you look at this project, we were recommending -- they requested \$125,000, and we're recommending \$125,000 out of our remaining balance. Also 2010-709, Town of Colerain.

They had requested \$204,400. We're also recommending that they be awarded that amount. That would bring the two new projects for a total of \$329,400.

In addition, we had two Greenway projects that were partially funded. So we would like to recommend to the Board taking some of our remaining

```
1
         balance and putting it towards enhancing these two
2
         projects, and making the work a little bit more
3
         effective. One would be 2010-207, Jackson County,
4
         giving them an additional $22,000, which allows them
5
         to move forward one more parcel down the way. And
         the other would be to 2010-210, City of Raleigh, the
6
7
         Walnut and Crabtree Creek project, and giving them an
8
         additional 57,966. These two (unintelligible)
9
         projects are more additional enhancements. We'd
10
         spend our total amount of $409,366. This is the
11
         recommendation of the committee.
12
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the
13
         committee recommendation. Is there any discussion?
14
                   (No response.)
15
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Hearing none, so many
16
         as favor the adoption of the committee recommendation
17
         signify by saying "Aye."
18
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
19
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Opposed, "No."
20
                   (No response.)
21
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        The "ayes" have it.
22
                   DR. BRANNON:
                                  That concludes our report,
23
         Mr. Chairman.
24
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                        Okay.
                                               The next item on
25
         the agenda is a discussion by any Board members or
```

1 staff. And I want to tell y'all about something that 2 happened with me and Karen Craqnolin. I was in --3 MS. CRAGNOLIN: Phil, I didn't think you 4 were going to tell anybody. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: I was in Asheville for a meeting with NCHA, a CLE meeting. And I told Karen 6 7 that I wanted to see her projects there, and go down 8 and see the work at (unintelligible). And the only 9 time you could go was 6 o'clock in the morning. And 10 y'all know Karen, right? I mean, you know Karen. 11 And she said, "If that's when you can go, I'll be 12 there." 13 Well, she was there with coffee at quarter 14 to 6:00, and I was actually early. So it was dark 15 when we started out. But let me tell you, it was 16 light when finished. And let me tell you that that 17 is absolutely an amazing piece of work that they have 18 done over the past 25 -- how many years? I mean, it 19 was a wonderful, wonderful thing. And you would be 20 proud of some of the funds that we've done to help 21 the French Broad River, and the confluence of the 22 French Broad and the --23 DR. BRANNON: Swannanoa. 24 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: -- the Swannanoa River 25 right there. We walked to that very spot and looked

1 over that as the sun was rising. And it was -- it 2 was a really special morning. Karen, I really 3 appreciate you taking the time to do that for me. 4 And I went back to several hundred people 5 and told them what we had done, and had a number of 6 people come up and want to go down and see the river. 7 It's amazing. I think the people who are visitors to 8 Asheville probably don't get down to the river as 9 much as they should. So we're glad to be able to 10 spread that word a little bit. 11 MS. CRAGNOLIN: Well, I really, really 12 appreciate you doing that. And that was a wonderful 13 morning because the steam was rising out of the 14 river, and the sun was coming up. It was --15 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Right. MS. CRAGNOLIN: -- frost, that first frost. 16 17 It was a wonderful day, and thank you so much. 18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Well, it just -- it 19 does show that, you know, when you get out -- And I heard last night Betty talking. She went to see one 20 21 of our projects. And it just shows you how much --22 how enthusiastic you can get when we get out there 23 and see some of the great things that have taken 24 place in this state as a result of our funds and 25 funds from other sources. Chuck?

MR. McGRADY: I just wanted to report, in our last -- at our last meeting on an acquisition project we had put a significant amount of money into post-acquisition of the so-called East Fork of the French Broad River. With Richard's help and others, we went to -- since the money was allocated, we went to the Wildlife Resources Commission, and they have passed a resolution which basically puts them as the land manager for this tract.

It's a very large project, and I suspect it.

It's a very large project, and I suspect it will be coming back to this Board in the future. But that was a very positive development. And I'm hoping by the end of the year we'll be announcing that, you know, incrementally lands including the significant trail corridor passage at the south end of the tract will come into state ownership. And again, I appreciate the help and lift that Richard gave me in making a push before the Wildlife Resources Commission.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Richard, do you want to tell us about Harold Bass?

MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. We've got a card circulating that we want to have the Trustees sign, and staff, and give to Mr. Bass. Trustee Bass this past Tuesday went for his second knee replacement

1 surgery. I talked to him on Thursday morning. He is 2 in good spirits, or was until his physical therapist 3 walked in the room. He said that he was getting 4 ready to be tortured. But he's in good spirits, and 5 he passed along his regards to everybody. This is just a little note to him to help 6 7 him keep moving on in his rehabilitation of his knee. 8 So thank you. It should be coming around, and 9 it's -- I think Dr. Camp -- Just make sure you sign that before you leave, we would appreciate it. 10 11 you. 12 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Does any other Trustee 13 have anything they want to bring to the Board's 14 attention? 15 MS. CRAGNOLIN: Can we apply for a grant? 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Probably so. 17 MS. CRAGNOLIN: You know, I wonder if we 18 shouldn't try to go talk to the Z. Smith Reynolds 19 Foundation and ask for some money to do some, you 20 know, promotional materials and give us a little leg 21 up with some money, and show that we're, you know, 22 that we're really creative. 23 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Well, you can look into 24 that. I do think they have a grant cycle. But they 25 may have some -- Anything else any Trustee would like

```
1
         to bring to the Board's attention?
2
                    (No response.)
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: If not, do I hear a
4
         motion to adjourn?
5
                                   So moved.
                    MR. BEANE:
6
                    DR. CAMP:
                                   So moved.
7
                    CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All in favor, signify
8
         by saying "Aye."
9
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
10
                    CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" clearly have
11
         it. We stand adjourned.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
                       (Meeting adjourned at 10:36 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY - COURT REPORTER

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF WAKE

I, Jennifer D. Critcher, Court Reporter, Notary
Public in and for the above county and state, do hereby
certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
transcription, to the best of my skill and ability, of the
meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Clean Water
Management Trust Fund held in Raleigh, North Carolina on
Monday, November 15, 2010.

Jennifer D. Critcher, Court Reporter Notary Public, Wake County, North Carolina Notary Number: 200625800155