BOARD OF TRUSTEES

CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND

MINUTES OF MEETING

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2011 10:10 A.M.

SHERATON CHAPEL HILL
ONE EUROPA DRIVE
CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA



APPEARANCES

CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES:

PHILIP A. BADDOUR, JR., CHAIRMAN, GOLDSBORO

HONORABLE HAROLD A. BASS, SR., RUFFIN

HONORABLE RONALD R. BEANE, LENOIR

DR. YEVONNE BRANNON, RALEIGH

DR. NORMAN C. CAMP, III, RALEIGH

KAREN CRAGNOLIN, ASHEVILLE

JOHN GARROU, WINSTON-SALEM

C.L. "RANCE" HENDERSON, MORGANTON

JOSEPH M. HESTER, JR., ROCKY MOUNT

WILLIAM E. HOLLAN, JR., WINSTON-SALEM

CHARLES JOHNSON, GREENVILLE

RENEE KUMOR, HENDERSONVILLE

KEVIN MARKHAM, RALEIGH

JOHN McMILLAN, RALEIGH

PRESTON PATE, NEWPORT

PETER RASCOE, III, SOUTHERN SHORES

BETTY CHAFIN RASH, CHARLOTTE

AARON THOMAS, PEMBROKE

STAN VAUGHAN, CHARLOTTE

JERRY W. WRIGHT, JARVISBURG

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE:

FRANK CRAWLEY, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND STAFF:

RICHARD ROGERS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

BETH McGEE, DEPUTY DIRECTOR - INFRASTRUCTURE

TOM JONES, DEPUTY DIRECTOR - ACQUISITIONS

NANCY GUTHRIE, WATER QUALITY ADVISOR

CHRISTOPHER FIPPS, BUSINESS OFFICER

PENNY ADAMS, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT

ROBIN HAMMOND, REAL PROPERTY COUNSEL

CHERRI SMITH, STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM COORDINATOR

KEVIN BOYER, RESTORATION/STORMWATER PROJECT MANAGER

LARRY HORTON, WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT MANAGER

CHERYL JOHNSON, PARALEGAL

EVERETTE MOORE, STATE PROPERTY OFFICE PROPERTY AGENT

CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND FIELD REPRESENTATIVES:

TOM MASSIE, MOUNTAINS

SARAH KING, SOUTHERN COASTAL PLAIN

WILL SUMMER, EASTERN PIEDMONT

DAMON TATEM, NORTHERN COASTAL PLAIN

BERN SCHUMAK, NORTHERN MOUNTAINS/WESTERN PIEDMONT

A G E N D A

- 10:10 a.m. A. Call to Order Chairman Baddour
 - 1) Role Call Penny Adams
 - 2) Compliance with General Statute
 § 138A-15 Chairman Baddour
 - 3) Revisions, Additions, and Adoption of the Agenda - Chairman Baddour (Action Item)
 - 4) Please Put Cell Phones on Vibrate or Off Chairman Baddour
 - 5) Review and Approval of the Transcripts of the November 2010 Meeting of the Board of Trustees (Action Items)
- 10:20 a.m. B. Public Comments (Three Minutes per Person) Chairman Baddour
- 10:30 a.m. C. Executive Director's Report Richard Rogers
- 10:45 a.m. D. Overview of 2011 Project Applications Tom Jones (Potential Action Item)
- 11:00 a.m. E. Break
- 11:15 a.m. F. Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway Committee
 Report Committee Co-Chairs Brannon and
 Henderson (Action Items)
- 11:30 a.m. G. Infrastructure/Wastewater Committee
 Report Committee Co-Chairs Camp and
 Rascoe (Action Items)
- 11:45 a.m. H. Follow-Up on CWMTF Committee of the Whole Discussion Chairman Baddour
- 12:00 p.m. I. Discussion
- 12:15 p.m. J. Adjourn

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: We're going to start,
3	and we will not take any action items up until 10
4	after 10:00. And our court reporter has requested
5	that, if you would state your name, and I'll try to
6	help with that, before you speak, so she can have
7	the name of the person who is speaking.
8	Penny, I'll ask you to call the role.
9	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Baddour?
10	MR. BADDOUR: Here.
11	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Bass?
12	MR. BASS: Here.
13	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Beane?
14	MR. BEANE: Here.
15	MS. ADAMS: Ms. Brannon?
16	MS. BRANNON: Here.
17	MS. ADAMS: Dr. Camp?
18	MR. CAMP: Here.
19	MS. ADAMS: Ms. Cragnolin?
20	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Here.
21	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Garrou?
22	MR. GARROU: Here.
23	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Henderson?
24	(No response.)
25	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Hester?

1	(No response.)
2	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Hollan?
3	MR. HOLLAN: Here.
4	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Johnson?
5	MR. JOHNSON: Here.
6	MS. ADAMS: Ms. Kumor?
7	(No response.)
8	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Markham?
9	MR. MARKHAM: Here.
10	MS. ADAMS: Mr. McMillan?
11	(No response.)
12	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Pate?
13	MR. PATE: Here.
14	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Rascoe?
15	MR. RASCOE: Here.
16	MS. ADAMS: Ms. Rash?
17	MS. RASH: Here.
18	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Thomas?
19	MR. THOMAS: Here.
20	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Vaughan?
21	MR. VAUGHAN: Here.
22	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Wright?
23	MR. WRIGHT: Here.
24	CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. I know that Joe
25	Hester and John McMillan and Renee Kumor are here

1 and they will come in. They're all here right now, 2 so let the record show, Penny, that they are all 3 present. 4 MS. ADAMS: Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: General Statute 138A-15 6 mandates that the Chair inquire as to whether any 7 Trustee knows of any conflict of interest or 8 appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to 9 matters on the agenda. If any Trustee knows of a 10 conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict 11 of interest, please so state at this time. 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Are there any revisions, 14 additions, to the agenda? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: If not, without 17 objection, the -- the agenda will be considered 18 adopted. I would ask you to put your cell phones on 19 vibrate or off if you would, please. And okay, 20 that's an action item so we'll hold off on that. 21 Public comment, and I'll revisit the public 22 comment, too, to be sure no one who wants to make a 23 comment comes late. But is there anyone here who 24 would like to make a -- a comment to the Board? 25 (No response.)

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. We'll move on to our Executive Director's Report. Richard?

MR. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This will be brief, I hope. We had a good floor discussion on our -- our legislature yesterday and our administrative activities with the -- with the staff, so one thing we do want to do, and it was passed out this morning, is if you look at Agenda Item C, and this is our -- our one-page summary of our cash balance and activities around the cash balance and encumbrances that we want to walk through with you.

One comment I will make, and I want to thank Christopher in -- in his efforts in keeping us up to speed and providing a summary of the activities from a fiscal perspective of our Board. This is a page that probably saved our necks with regards to being placed in Senate Bill 13. When we provided this to fiscal research at the legislature, it was very easy to explain where our money was, how it was obligated, and it was really a great summary that the fiscal research engaged and understood and -- and helped make the case for our obligation of our funds.

So -- so Christopher, if you'll go through

this for us. Thank you.

MR. FIPPS: Sure. Thank you, Richard. As Richard said, it was passed out this morning at your tables. It's actually a full three pages this time. We'll start with page 1. That is the typical summary page that the Trustees see at each Board meeting.

Just to summarize, this is as of January 31st, 2011. The total fund was roughly 116.6 million dollars. When you account for the funds obligated to the stewardship endowment, it brings the cash, available cash balance, to 114.7 million dollars. Pulling in the projected revenue for the remainder of the year, the allotment towards the appropriation for the year, and the remaining projected interest, a cash and revenue balance of 136.8 million dollars on line 16.

Then removing out the obligations that the Trust Fund has against those dollars for the remainder of the year, again, the potential reversions being held to revert back to the state to help the state's budget shortfall for the year. The original one percent that has been allocated since the beginning of the year, which is \$500,000, since the last meeting, there has been — the Governor has

1 directed agencies to hold an additional 2.5 percent 2 of their appropriation to potentially revert back to 3 the state's budget shortfall. So that's accounted 4 for on line 20 as 1,250,000 dollars. 5 Again, still thinking that there's the potential for the interest that has been earned this 6 7 year to revert back to the state, and then accounting for the remainder of the administrative 8 9 budget, the debt service on the COPs funds. 10 Then just moving down the list, the -- the 11 grants that are encumbered against the funds at the 12 moment and the remaining contracts still to -- to 13 encumber, leaving a balance on line 36 of 143,000 14 dollars. 15 And then the note at the very bottom of the 16 page, there -- there are still these 7.8 million 17 dollars of grants that are -- that are still out 18 there as 2008 projects that have not been funded 19 yet.

MR. ROGERS: Chris, if I -- if I might, one thing and one reason this -- this information is typically in the Board packet. And if you go back to lines 19, 20, and 21, these are the reversion numbers. There's a rumor around that the Governor is going to take another percent and a half for

20

21

22

23

24

reversion, and we were trying to hold out to give you all the latest and most up-to-date reversion numbers that we have.

The one percent and the interest are reversions that were identified in the budget last year, and the additional two -- two and a half percent is an additional reversion that the Governor has put on agencies that we're accounting for there. Our hope is that there won't be more reversions, and we hope that they're in a good place and we don't have to -- have to deal with that.

And again, the balance that we have is we're working on all balances to roll into our 2008 projects. And Christopher is going to give us a summary of -- of that on page 2, I think.

MR. FIPPS: Thank you, Richard. We pulled out a little bit of information and sort of detailed it a little bit more for the Board at this meeting, since there has been some time since the last Board meeting was November. So this report really covers November, December, and January.

So page 2 is a snapshot of funds that have become available, essentially from unencumbrances of projects that have come in under budget, and then how we've sort of allocated those funds. If the

Board recalls, in November of last year, the Board directed staff to go ahead and move forward with unencumbering funds as projects close out so we could more quickly put those dollars towards those remaining 2008 projects that still hadn't been funded.

So this is just sort of a snapshot of what that's looked like since November. There have been 1.6 million dollars, basically, that have come back from these projects that have come in under budget, that were made available to -- to utilize.

Then if we look down the allocation and obligation of those available funds, again, though, we have this additional reversion come, as directed by the Governor, to state agencies. So that's sort of the first thing that had to be targeted with these available funds. Again, that's the 1.25 million dollars.

With that that remained, there -- that -the remaining funds have been utilized in the
following manner. Additional funds were put towards
2008-025. That was directed and approved by the
Board in November, 75,000 dollars as funds became
available.

And then just below that, we have been able

1 to move forward on a few of those remaining '08's. 2 Most of them were the smaller greenway planning 3 grants for Troy, Walnut Cove, and Candor. So we 4 were able to move forward and get those processed, 5 as well as 70,000 dollars towards transaction costs 6 on one of the Carolina Mountain Land Conservancy 7 remaining grants, in order that they could move 8 forward with some of the Stanback funded matching 9 part of that grant. 10 So again, that's where we end up with the 11 143,000 dollars that still remains, again, 12 basically, to be applied to those remaining 2008 13 grants that are then detailed at the bottom. And as 14 you can see, we just don't quite have enough yet 15 to -- to move forward encumbering any of those other 16 grants. 17 Page 3 then, for information --18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Hold on one minute, 19 Christopher. Any questions from the Board? 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Who -- John. 22 sorry, John? 23 MR. McMILLAN: Do you need a motion to unencumber these 1.620 million dollars in funds? 24 25 MR. ROGERS: I believe you all gave us

1 authority in November to unencumber those and move 2 those forward into our 2008 projects, and that's 3 what we're -- we're doing, with the exception of the 4 reversion numbers that we've had to pull out. 5 MR. McMILLAN: Even -- even those that were 6 in January of 2011? 7 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. 8 MR. McMILLAN: Okay. 9 MR. ROGERS: We've -- it's rolling 10 authority for the remainder of the -- of the fiscal 11 year, to work down the 2008 projects so that we 12 don't have delay in getting those under contract. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Yeah, I think that was the motion that we gave the staff the authority to 14 15 do that back in November. 16 Okay. Christopher, go ahead. 17 MR. FIPPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 Those -- those projects are detailed, though, we did 19 want to bring that, since staff had sort of been given that authority to go ahead and move and 20 21 unencumber those funds. We did want to provide the 22 Trustees a detailed list that you are used to seeing 23 so, for information, that is on page 3, which is 24 that typical detailed list of what -- of those 25 projects that did have funds to be unencumbered and

1 which staff has processed, which equates to that 1.6 2 million dollars. And that is the report, if there 3 are any other questions. 4 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Any other questions? 5 MR. VAUGHAN: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Stan? 6 7 MR. VAUGHAN: I'd just like to point out on 8 Exhibit C, you know, some questions came up awhile 9 ago about whether we should share, when -- when 10 contracts come out towards the end, that's what we 11 do all the time. And that's proven by this list. 12 We make a commitment. It's a partnership. And if 13 the contract comes in under, we share in those 14 benefits, and we've always done that. 15 MR. HOLLAN: I quess my question was, do --16 in each one of these, can we say that -- that their 17 match has stayed the same percentage at the end of 18 the time as it was, or is this just a savings on the 19 overall -- on the amount that we spent and we didn't 20 look -- I'm guessing on every one of these projects, 21 we didn't look and reduce the funding even more to 22 the extent of their match. 23 In other words, in the project, Division of 24 Parks and Rec came in at 117 -- 118,000 dollars, and

that was the total amount of savings on that

1 contract; is that right? We didn't -- we didn't add
2 to it by taking away some of their credits for their
3 match?
4 MR. FIPPS: We actually are trying to

really look at this continually through all the grants. Nancy could probably speak to memory on that particular one more clearly, but if my memory services, you know, that -- that was another case where the actual project savings were more than that, and that's just our portion of those savings. So it was a proportionate sharing in -- in the cost savings.

So -- so, you know, most of these should be -- we're paying as close of attention as we can to that and trying to keep tabs and -- and sharing on those savings as -- as Mr. Vaughan, Trustee Vaughan, had mentioned.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Christopher, on the -on the endowment funds, talk to us a little bit
about that. Where -- tell us where the endowment
funds are. I think they're in a long-term -- are
they all in long-term investment with the State?
And just the interest and the unrealized gain, if
you could explain it in some more detail.

MR. FIPPS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The

stewardship endowment funds are invested with the Office of State Treasurer in the long-term investment fund, and the endowment at this point is line 4, and that's 1,665,000 dollars. The interest that that has made to date as of January 31st, it's actual realized interest that the Trustees would have access to, is the 66,000, almost 67,000-dollar number.

The -- the line 6, the unrealized gain and loss, is just -- is the number that is reported on this investment account. They basically report on the value of the long-term investment fund at any given time. So that shows, you know, an increase in value but it's not from the actual sale of investments, so it's not interest that you actually have access to. The interest that would -- you have access to is the 66,000 dollars at this time.

All of that is in the long-term investment fund. The dollars listed on line 7 are -- are the funds that are being used at this time that the Board has set aside to actually encumber the stewardship monitoring contracts, so that is in the general short-term investment fund that the rest of the trust fund's dollars are in at this time. And the stewardship contracts are encumbered against

that.

And I would say it's -- you know, it's doing very well. I think we've tried to sort of keep an eye on -- on what -- what it's doing. I think this was equating to roughly a six-point APR. The -- the state short-term funds right now are not even getting one percent return on an annual basis, so it's -- it's at least doing a lot better than the short-term money is right now.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. All right. Any questions or comments? Richard?

MR. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just recapping, we are in the midst of a legislative session and I hope we've given you all a list of some of the leadership committee members. Please feel free to communicate with them if you have any questions or need any information with regards to the trust fund. I am more than available to get that information to you and will be glad to do that.

I do want to state, at this time, we're in a great place, and acknowledging Trustee McMillan that we've been reduced by 50 percent, which is a huge reduction, we need to carry that message to the legislature. However, funds are extremely tight and I think that there's going to be a whole lot of --

1 of different recommendations and -- and other 2 discussion as we move through this session. 3 I don't think there's any appropriate time 4 to communicate. I think with the new leadership in 5 place, every time and all the time is a good time to communicate with the leadership. And we will get 6 7 about meeting with the chairman of the committees 8 and communicating to them about the program. 9 challenge is great and I -- you know, we're working 10 11 12 we'll continue to work it. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 MR. VAUGHAN: Mr. Chairman? 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Stan? 21 22 23 24

diligently to make the best of this situation. there is a lot of -- a lot of need out there and a lot of priority in education and other areas, and And my intention is to communicate with you all on a weekly basis, but if you have any questions in the meantime in between those communications, please feel free to give me a buzz and we will be about trying to get the Trust Fund some funds. MR. VAUGHAN: You and I have had some discussion and I'd like to put it on record to the Trustees that we're on offense and we're going to, obviously, try to keep what's in the Governor's budget and work hard at it, but also, we need to (919) 676-1502 GARRETT REPORTING SERVICES, INC. Raleigh, North Carolina 27624-8475

1 have a defensive plan. And at the risk that we may 2 get something less than the 50 million, I -- I would 3 like to go on record -- the Board to go on record in 4 supporting Richard to have a back-up budget plan 5 contingency based on what may occur. I don't think 6 he needs to necessarily share that with everybody, 7 but to let him know that -- that we support him 8 in -- in being foresight in what the emergencies may 9 be, and to be prepared in the case that we don't get 10 our 50 million dollars. 11 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Did you want to make 12 that in the form of a motion? 13 MR. VAUGHAN: I make that in the form of a 14 motion. 15 MR. CAMP: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Motion by Stan Vaughan, 17 second by -- is that you, Dr. Camp? 18 MR. CAMP: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Dr. -- Dr. Norman Camp. 20 Is there discussion? I think what -- okay, Mr. 21 McMillan? 22 MR. McMILLAN: Well, we worked for years 23 and years and years to get to the point where we're 24 in the continuation budget for 100 million dollars a 25 year. And the Governor proposes a budget, and her

proposal is to cut the budget for the next fiscal year and the year after that by 50 percent. And so her proposal is that -- that we -- that we be funded at half of what the continuation budget said.

As I said yesterday, there are some programs that are being eliminated by her budget, particularly non-profits. There are — there are those out there who have proposed, and the Governor rejected, merging all of these trust funds into one — one — one fund. One proposal was to put Heritage, Parks and Rec, and everything under the Clean Water Management Trust Fund Board and expand our duties. And the thinking — those were well—intentioned people who thought that that would give us more clout. We'd have more allies.

I really -- I really don't think we ought to be developing alternative budgets unknown as to what contingency or what might happen, because anything might happen. We -- we might get 100 million dollars. They might not cut us. They -- they might decide that we're -- we -- we have 167 million dollars in requests and we've done good work, and convince them that this is a -- a good thing, as we've been able to do in -- in past years. The odds of that are not great, but it's a

possibility.

So I would not come up with any alternative budget. Let's wait and see what happens. We're not going to spend any money until -- until they adopt a budget, and we won't be issuing any grants until they appropriate money to us. So I would steer the course straight ahead as opposed to adopting something that we don't know what it's going to be, whether it's going to be 50 or whether it's going to be 60, or whether it's going to be 40 or whether it's going to be 25, or whether -- you know, what it's going to be.

I don't know -- I don't know what kind of budget you would -- you would put together when you don't know what the -- the number is going to be.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: I think Stan was talking about asking Richard to take a look at our administrative budget just to begin thinking about it, in case it -- it was felt that that needed to be trimmed. Is that what you were talking about, Stan?

MR. VAUGHAN: Yes. And I -- I'm not saying that -- I think it's just supporting Richard and telling him, in his own mind, that he's got to have a contingency plan and it's not -- he doesn't share it. It's not public. But just to help him be

1 prepared to deal with whatever situation -- whatever 2 deck of cards is dealt to him. 3 MR. CAMP: That was my understanding. 4 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman? 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. 6 MR. WRIGHT: I'd like to say this, if I 7 I guess what I would like to hear is from 8 Richard and from you, Mr. Baddour, is whether you 9 all think that, from a timing standpoint for us, 10 whether Richard can accomplish this task in a -- in 11 a shorter timeframe and maybe delay that process 12 further back, or whether really he needs this amount 13 of time to even be looking at that. I -- I agree 14 with John about, from a timing standpoint, at least 15 from our legislative goals, that I'm not sure we 16 need to be putting all of our cards down on the 17 table and that we might be better off waiting and 18 seeing how our fate unfolds. 19 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Other 20 Trustee comments? Bill? Bill Hollan? 21 MR. HOLLAN: Based on my experience with 22 Richard, he's got these things in his mind, and 23 he's -- it's probably at the top of his mind, and 24 there's nothing else that he's worried about. 25 doesn't need any encouragement from us to be

1 worrying about what may happen. He's a good 2 manager, and he's already had to worry about these 3 things, so I wouldn't want to go on record as doing 4 anything other than saying we recognize what he's 5 having to think about in this case. CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Stan, do you think the 6 7 discussion we've had today satisfies what you wanted to accomplish without having to have a formal motion 8 9 on it? 10 MR. VAUGHAN: I'm fine with that. My whole 11 intention is -- is to just go on record to Richard 12 that we know these are tough times, you may have 13 hard decisions, and the Board is behind you. 14 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Do I take that as a 15 withdrawal of the formal motion? 16 MR. VAUGHAN: Sure. 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Well, and let me say, 18 does any other Trustee want to comment on this issue 19 at this time? You're certainly welcome to do so and it would be appropriate for you to do so. 20 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Well, hearing 23 that and with what Bill Hollan had to say, we know 24 that it's -- it's like I tell my friends who want me 25 to tell my brother to tell Roy Williams how to

```
1
          coach. And I say to them, "How much time in the day
2
          do you think about Carolina basketball?" And they
3
          say, "Well, 15, 20 minutes." And I say, "Well,
4
          Coach Williams thinks about it every waking hour, so
5
          I'm sure he's thought about that." So, Richard, I'm
          sure you think about all of these things all of the
6
7
          time, so --
8
                   MR. ROGERS: Right.
9
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: -- anyhow.
10
                   MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, that ends my
11
          report.
12
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Anything
          further on that?
13
14
                   (No response.)
15
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Let me do this.
16
          Let's go back to the approval of minutes of the
17
          November meeting. Do I have a motion that they be
18
          approved as presented?
19
                   MR. BEANE: So moved.
20
                   MR. JOHNSON: Second.
21
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Moved by Trustee
22
          Beane and seconded by Trustee Johnson. All in
23
          favor, signify by saying "aye."
24
                   TRUSTEES: Aye.
25
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Opposed, "no."
```

```
1
                    (No response.)
2
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
3
          I'll come back to you, Richard.
4
                   Is there anybody in -- in the audience who
5
          would like to address the Board? It's past 10:10,
6
          which I want to be sure that anybody had an
7
          opportunity for public comment.
8
                    (No response.)
9
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Richard, you had
10
          something else?
11
                   MR. ROGERS: Karen.
12
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Karen?
13
                   MS. CRAGNOLIN: I have a recusal item that
14
          I'd like to get on the record.
15
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay.
16
                   MS. CRAGNOLIN: I will not be voting or
17
          discussing Item 1007-419. Thank you.
18
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay.
19
                   MR. ROGERS: This -- this is a follow-up
20
          item on the discussion we had yesterday with regards
21
          to our Board meetings. We didn't have a place on
22
          the agenda, but we're going to bring it up under the
23
          Executive Director's Report so that we can take
          action on that.
24
25
                   I believe yesterday, we talked about
```

1 eliminating the April meeting and the September 2 meeting, given the -- the course of action that we 3 took last year. And what that will do will put the 4 staff on a -- on a pace for reviewing applications 5 and getting those done. And the idea is that we 6 will bring wastewater to the Trustees first in 7 August, and then we will do restoration in October 8 and acquisition in November. And that's kind of the 9 summary of the discussion and the -- and the end 10 result and the impact it'll have with regards to our 11 process for reviewing applications next year. 12 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Do I have a 13 motion that we approve or revise the schedule for 14 our meetings for the year as Richard has outlined? 15 MR. THOMAS: So moved. 16 MR. CAMP: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Moved and seconded. 18 MR. ROGERS: By Aaron Thomas. 19 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Aaron Thomas, seconded by Dr. Camp. Thank you for helping me. 20 21 Discussion? 22 MR. ROGERS: Ron. 23 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Ron. Oh, yeah, Ron? 24 MR. BEANE: I have asked Chairman Baddour 25 if it would be possible with this Board to change

1 our June meeting from June 12-13 to June 5-6. 2 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You had asked me that, 3 and I -- and it slipped my mind. What I think I'd 4 like to do is I know we're here and maybe you don't 5 have your calendars, and that's a request that two of our Trustees have made that would allow them to 6 7 be here. I don't want to change it if it's going to 8 mean two others can't be here, but I wonder if you 9 would look at your calendars as soon as you get back 10 home, and I'll have Richard send out an email 11 immediately. 12 MR. ROGERS: Please respond. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Does anybody know that 14 they have a conflict, by the way? We can just ask 15 now, for that June 1st weekend? What -- what day is 16 that in June? 17 MR. BEANE: 5 and 6. 18 MR. ROGERS: The 5th and 6th. 19 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: If -- I don't even know 20 my own calendar, but if that works out, is there any 21 objection to switching it to the first weekend, 22 unless we hear from some Trustees that that's a 23 problem for them? 24 (No response.) 25 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: We'll take that as part

```
1
          of the motion then, Ron, and confirm that by email
2
          within the next day or two.
3
                   Okay. You've heard the motion and the
4
                   Further discussion?
          second.
5
                    (No response.)
6
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All those in favor,
7
          signify by saying "aye."
8
                   TRUSTEES: Aye.
9
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       Opposed, "no."
10
                   (No response.)
11
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. The "ayes" have
12
          it.
13
                   All right. We -- we now go to Item D,
14
          which is overview of the 2011 project applications.
15
          Tom Jones?
16
                   MR. JONES: You all were given a handout
17
          this morning, among many, that's a single sheet. It
18
          just has a -- has three groups of -- of tables at
19
          the top, and it is labeled "Agenda Item D: 2011
20
          CWMTF Cycle Applications: Draft 2-1-11." And in
21
          the agenda, it's a potential action item, and I'll
22
          save that till the end. Let's first do an overview
23
          of the applications that we've gotten in so far.
24
                   We have 158 applications for a total of
25
          156,802,321 dollars. And you'll see, that's the --
```

that's the total for all three of these tables. The first table you'll see is the regional distribution number of applications, as well as dollars, requested dollars, and their relative percentage and distribution across the state. And you've got 37 percent from the coastal plain, 27 percent in the mountains, and 34 percent of requests coming from the piedmont area.

The second table are the -- the categories, acquisition, greenway, restoration/stormwater, and wastewater. And you'll see that 30 percent -- 30 and 30 percent are infrastructure projects, restoration/stormwater and wastewater. Interesting to note that the -- that the -- the total requested for wastewater, almost 67 million dollars, and about 60 million dollars for acquisition, and the rest -- the rest towards restoration/stormwater.

Another interesting table is the third one, is the applicant type. And you'll see that 53 percent of our applications come from local governments, and that also represents 52 of the requested amount.

Excuse me. I just -- I just lost power.

Anyway -- somebody -- do you have power at yours,

Richard?

MR. ROGERS: I do.

MR. JONES: Okay. It's just the -- just the connection between the two. All right. Thank you, Richard.

So you've got 81 million dollars being requested from local governments, which is 52 percent of the total requested amounts.

In any event, I don't know -- it was really neat. The -- the staff is -- in terms of processing these applications, is a well-oiled machine.

It's -- it's really neat. Just about got these things ready to go to the printer now and, in fact, we have a -- okay.

Got it. Got it. Thank you.

And I think Terry and I are going over to the printers Wednesday morning, are ready to get them -- get them started on -- on this job. And the project managers are -- are making sure all of the applications are ready to go into the notebook. And then we'll be asking everyone what -- what they want. Certainly, everyone will be getting a CD of these notebooks and -- and trying to keep costs down. If the Trustees want a hard copy -- a lot of people like hard copies. They can make notes on it. And you don't have to have a hard copy of the entire

notebook, because we're talking at least 10 or 11 binders. And you may even have some old binders that you want to recycle, so we could just send the notebook without the binders themselves.

But that's the status. A little kink in -in this is that, for the first time in a long time,
we had two -- two applications that we received
late, actually three, but one is withdrawn. Two of
them were received late was -- was one was from
Mecklenburg, the Soil and Water Conservation
District. And they sent their application to the
wrong address, basically, and it got sent back to
them.

And the North Carolina Coastal Federation sent one in, and it was received a day late. It was postmarked the -- the right date, but they didn't get it to us within the close of business, and we just -- we don't have those in the totals, but they would be easy enough to insert if you all wished. Just wanted to let you know that we had two late applications and that's you all's call as far as what you want to do with those.

Richard, I don't know if you have any comment you'd like to add.

MR. ROGERS: Yeah. Typically, the close of

1 business on the 1st of February, staff stops taking 2 these applications. Tom explained a couple of 3 situations where there was confusion with where it 4 was mailed. The intent was to get the application 5 in. And we felt like we need to brief you, to 6 accept those applications. We don't want to start 7 allowing those types of applications, because then 8 we start allowing the deadline to slip and slip, and 9 we think bringing it to the Board -- and we're more 10 than willing to -- to take on these applications and 11 process them and would want to, but felt like that 12 we needed to get the Board's approval in a motion to 13 accept those applications outside the -- the date of 14 application. 15 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Let's talk about them 16 one at a time because they could be factually 17 different. 18 MR. ROGERS: Yeah, they are. But in 19 general terms, they are applications, people that 20 were requesting application for -- but we can --21 MR. HOLLAN: Well, just for the purpose of 22 a motion, I'll move that we accept both Mecklenburg 23 County and the Coastal Federation applications 24 that -- that you have --

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Motion from Bill

1 Hollan. Second --2 MR. McMILLAN: Second. 3 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: -- by John McMillan. 4 Peter, did you want to speak on the motion? 5 MR. RASCOE: Yes. I -- I -- I just have a 6 query. Have any of these applicants formally 7 requested that we reconsider this themselves? 8 MR. JONES: I don't know. In fact, when we 9 talked to them, their biggest -- the biggest issue 10 was thorough embarrassment and not really wanting 11 to -- to ask any special favors. 12 MR. RASCOE: For that reason, just a 13 comment. I'm wondering if there's a reason why --14 another reason or the fact that they just don't want 15 any special favors and have a problem --16 MR. JONES: No, we -- we spoke to them and 17 they said they would love, you know, this to work 18 and they would love to have their applications 19 accepted, and -- and -- but they -- they weren't --20 they weren't willing to ask for special 21 consideration from you all, just basically throwing 22 themselves on your mercy. 23 MR. ROGERS: I do think that's a good point 24 in the future. We did have communication and, in 25 fact, with Conover, who ended up not applying.

1 were working on it, but then said that they were not 2 interested in -- in bringing their application 3 forward. So we probably do need a formal process 4 for this exception. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: My question -- were both 6 of these an issue of the mail? I mean, one was put 7 in the mail by the deadline and the other was mailed 8 to the wrong place? 9 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. 10 MR. HENDERSON: These are applicants that 11 have we're used to dealing with --12 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. 13 MR. HENDERSON: -- and I think we have a 14 great history with both Mecklenburg County and with 15 the Coastal Federation. CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Yeah. 16 Kevin? 17 MR. MARKHAM: Yes. Could you refresh the 18 Board on exactly what the terms are for that deadline? 19 20 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. I'm sorry. The 21 deadline is -- is that all applications must be in 22 the Raleigh office by the close of business on 23 February 1 or the first business day thereafter. 24 used to be -- about four years ago, it used to be 25 that it had to be postmarked by February 1, and --

```
1
          and so -- but that was changed about -- I think this
2
          is our fourth year of close of business.
3
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. You've heard
4
          the motion. Is there further discussion?
5
                    (No response.)
6
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the
7
          motion to accept both of these applications, signify
8
          by saying "aye."
9
                   TRUSTEES:
                              Aye.
10
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "no."
11
                   TRUSTEES: No.
12
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
13
                   MR. ROGERS: Now I'm done with my report,
14
          Mr. Chairman. I'm backing up on you.
15
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: It was part of the
16
          same -- part of the same D.
17
                   I'm just kind of curious. It looks like
18
          the wastewater and restoration/stormwater
19
          applications are up a little bit. Is there --
20
          what's your feeling about the reason for that?
21
          we now about the only game in town on the
22
          wastewater -- our fund in the Rule Center? Is
23
          everything else dried up?
24
                   MR. ROGERS: I think that part of it is
25
          just, over the past two years, we hadn't gotten our
```

1 100 million dollars. We've got -- the need is still 2 out there with regards to the wastewater projects, 3 and we're seeing some -- some repeat -- repeat 4 applicants. I think that there's a strong showing 5 in acquisition as well and, you know, it's a -- I 6 think it's a good -- a good case, and we're going to 7 use this information to make sure folks understand 8 that there's a -- a large demand for our funding, 9 and that we need to be -- you know, we need to get 10 as much money out of legislature as we can. 11 MR. CAMP: Richard, are most of these in 12 wastewater from the eastern part of the state or 13 what section, do you know? 14 MR. ROGERS: I don't know if we've 15 calculated -- Larry or Tom? 16 MR. JONES: We haven't -- we haven't looked 17 within category what the distribution is across the 18 state. 19 MR. CAMP: I just wondered if we have more 20 from our rural areas where the problem does become 21 more acute. 22 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. On wastewater, 23 typically, our -- our -- the majority of ours does 24 come from rural communities and those -- those types

of applicants.

25

1 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Mr. Rascoe? 2 MR. RASCOE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I 3 just want to make an observation. Tom mentioned 4 under the last bullet under "Applicant Type," he mentioned local government. But also under "Non-5 Profit," the bill that Richard described yesterday 6 7 that's pending, if passed in its current form, would it not have an inherent effect on that -- those 8 9 numbers? 10 MR. ROGERS: Well, we'll have to --11 MR. RASCOE: The non-profits. 12 MR. ROGERS: Yeah, we'll have to see how 13 the non-profits respond because I don't think it --14 I think that the criteria will be met by some non-15 profits and not met by others. And, hopefully, that -- we won't have to be concerned with that. 16 17 But we may, if that -- that's just going to throw an 18 interesting ripple into our -- our review of the 19 applicants. 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Any -- any 21 other questions? 22 (No response.) 23 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: What -- can you tell, 24 with regard to acquisitions, one of the -- one of 25 the arguments that we have been making is that, with

1 real estate prices down, that -- that we hope 2 that -- expected that there would be a lot of 3 bargains out there. Is that -- can we tell? Is 4 that proving to be true? What are you hearing from 5 the land trust? Do we have any way of knowing that 6 in the application process? 7 MR. ROGERS: We will -- we will ferret that 8 out as we go through the process. I think that 9 there are a lot of opportunities out there with 10 regards to acquisition projects. And in talking 11 with Everette, and he can comment on this if he 12 likes, is that part of the problem is acquisitions 13 and transactions are moving at a very slow pace, so 14 there's not a whole lot of comps out there to really 15 verify a reduction in value and -- and potential 16 savings. But we're -- we'll be -- we'll be going 17 through that, getting our -- our cost per acre and 18 evaluating that as we move forward. 19 I would like just to welcome Tom Jones 20 If you all don't know, Tom has had an 21 operation just a little over a week -- two weeks ago 22 today. 23 MR. JONES: My IQ is no better. 24 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. And -- and he had --25 had some surgery on both his arm and leg, to help

1 those start healing. And we're extremely happy to 2 have him back, and his recovery seems to be going 3 extremely well. So welcome back, Tom. 4 MR. JONES: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Next on the item is 6 reported by our Restoration/Stormwater Committee. 7 It's my understanding that Mr. Markham is going to 8 make that. Is that right? 9 MS. CRAGNOLIN: I was thinking --10 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Who? 11 MS. CRAGNOLIN: -- Kevin. 12 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Kevin, do 13 you want to --14 MR. BOYER: I'd be happy to do that in Dr. 15 Brannon's absence. 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. 17 MR. BOYER: The first item that the 18 committee considered was a request from Pilot View 19 RC&D to make a substitution in their budget and 20 scope of work, to replace easements for six BMP's 21 with stormwater BMP O&M plans. The -- the committee 22 recommends to the Board that the staff 23 recommendation be approved subject to the additional 24 condition that the grant recipient provide 25 sufficient matching funds such that the -- the total

1 matching funds on the project will be the same 2 percent match as original, and that's 22 percent. I could read the entire staff 3 4 recommendation into the record, if you like. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: I think that's sufficient. You've heard the committee 6 7 recommendation. It does not require a motion. 8 going to assume this is coming from your committee, 9 Mr. -- Mr. Markham and Ms. Craqnolin. I think most 10 of the Board members were there for that discussion 11 yesterday, but is there further discussion on the 12 committee recommendation? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 15 adoption of the committee recommendation, signify by 16 saying "aye." 17 TRUSTEES: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "no." 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 21 MR. BOYER: The second agenda item for the 22 committee, the committee voted to defer that to a 23 later meeting. That was a request from the National 24 Committee for the New River, Agenda Item F-C. 25 The next item, Agenda Item F-D, the request

1 to clarify action taken by the Board in October 2 The Board then approved staff using tax card 3 value as a basis for determining the value of 4 donated easements on stormwater and restoration 5 The staff also intended for the Board and 6 requested that the Board approve delegation of 7 authority for reducing matching funds budgets for 8 easement value, to delegate that authority to the 9 Chairman and the co-chairs of the committee. 10 The wording of the motion approved by the 11 Board in October was not clear enough for our 12 comfort, so it is requested that the Board clarify, 13 make clear the delegation of authority to the 14 Chairman and the co-chairs of the committee, to 15 reduce matching funds budgets for easement value on 16 stormwater and restoration projects. 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Further 18 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 21 adoption of the committee recommendation, signify by 22 saying "aye." 23 TRUSTEES: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "no." 25 (No response.)

1	CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. Mr.
2	Boyer?
3	MR. BOYER: The next is Agenda Item F-E.
4	Beth, would you like to do that or should we come
5	back?
6	MS. McGEE: No, it was just it was just
7	two projects that requested an extension for the
8	construction their construction contract
9	execution date, and the committee recommended
10	approval of both of those requests for an extension.
11	CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: And that was Kure Beach
12	and North Carolina State University?
13	MS. McGEE: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: You've heard the
15	committee recommendation that those deadlines be
16	extended as indicated in the committee report, or in
17	the in the agenda item itself.
18	Do you need that in the record, when they
19	were extended to?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Anyhow, the deadline for
22	Kure Beach be extended to April 30, 2011, and for
23	State to 6-30-2011.
24	So many as favor the adoption of the
25	committee recommendation, signify by saying "aye."

```
1
                   TRUSTEES: Aye.
2
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       Opposed, "no."
3
                   (No response.)
4
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
5
          Mr. -- Mr. Boyer?
                               The next and last item is
6
                   MR. BOYER:
7
          Agenda Item F-F, a request from Ellerbe Creek
8
          Watershed Association to remove from the matching
9
          funds budget and scope of work design work being
10
          done by the City of Durham parallel to the stream
11
          restoration project because the work will not be
12
          completed within the time period of the grant.
13
          The -- the committee does not recommend going along
14
          with the staff recommendation and, instead, would
15
          like for the staff to extend the grant contract
16
          to -- to a sufficient time for the matching funds
17
          work to be completed.
18
                   So I believe this really just needs to be
19
          an information item, because the contract -- grant
20
          contract can be extended by staff decision.
21
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Well, we'll
22
          accept that as an information item. Is that the
23
          last item?
24
                   MR. BOYER: Yes, sir.
25
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. We'll move on to
```

1 the Infrastructure/Wastewater Committee report. 2 Chairman Rascoe? 3 MR. RASCOE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 on behalf of Co-Chair Dr. Camp and myself, I will 5 make this report. We met earlier today with all 6 members present. The first matter taken up on the 7 agenda was a Town of La Grange request, grant number 8 2008-522. The Town of LaGrange requested that a new 9 line item be added to their project budget, a new 10 lift station generator. Staff found that the 11 generator would improve reliability in the 12 collection system and would mitigate overflows, and 13 staff recommended approval of the request, and the committee did so. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. You've heard the 16 committee recommendation. Is there any discussion? 17 MR. MARKHAM: Mr. Chair? 18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Mr. Markham? 19 MR. MARKHAM: Yes. I -- I would like just 20 to make sure it's in the record that I -- I did vote 21 in the negative on this issue. My -- my concern is 22 that, while it's, understandably, a good project and 23 it's a good addition to the project, it was not run 24 through proper channels. The Town of La Grange did 25 not get the proper approvals for a contract

1 modification, and I think it sets a dangerous 2 precedent for the Board to allow grantees to 3 substantially change the terms of the contract and 4 then invoice us for that. I just want to make sure 5 that gets in the record. 6 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. You've heard the 7 motion. Is there further discussion? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 10 adoption of the committee recommendation, signify by 11 saying "aye." 12 TRUSTEES: Aye. 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "no." 14 TRUSTEES: No. 15 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 16 Okay. Next item? 17 MR. RASCOE: The next item, Mr. Chairman, 18 pertains to grant 2006A-529, City of Southport. The 19 City of Southport has made two requests. The first 20 request that was taken up was the city's request for 21 a reduction in matching funds in conjunction with 22 the reduction in the total project cost. Staff 23 recommended a denial of the city's request for a 24 reduction in matched funding percentages and, 25 instead, recommended following the proportionate --

1 a proportionate reduction in funds and matching --2 Clean Water funds and matching funds as monies are 3 paid out of the grant. This recommendation would retain Southport's matching percentage of 41 percent 4 5 of the total project cost. The committee did accept that 6 7 recommendation, and that is deferred to the full 8 Board. 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. You've heard 10 the -- you've heard the committee recommendation. 11 Is there any discussion? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 14 adoption of the committee recommendation, signify by 15 saying "aye." 16 TRUSTEES: Aye. 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "no." 18 TRUSTEES: No. 19 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. The "ayes" have 20 it. 21 MR. RASCOE: The second request by the City 22 of Southport in conjunction with this same grant, 23 the city requested that its grant agreement be 24 amended such that Clean Water Management Trust Fund 25 would not withhold grant funds until the

1 reimbursement for the land acquisition occurred, and 2 staff recommends modifying condition number one in 3 the current Exhibit A of the grant contract to 4 reflect that request. 5 And the committee accepted staff's recommendation, and that is proffered here. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Further committee 8 recommendations. Is there any further discussion? 9 MR. VAUGHAN: Question. 10 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Question, Mr. Vaughan? 11 MR. VAUGHAN: Was that actually a request 12 from the -- from the city or is it a staff 13 recommendation? 14 MR. RASCOE: That -- to my understanding, 15 that was an actual request by the City of Southport. 16 I'm sorry. 17 MR. HORTON: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I 18 don't believe -- I don't believe that was a request 19 by the city. It is something that is proposed by 20 staff in order that we could continue to process the 21 city's payment request and to mitigate their cash 22 flow issues with regard to paying the contractor. 23 MR. RASCOE: Mr. Chairman, if I could, 24 though, state for the record, this issue arose by 25 staff in the City of Southport talking about the

1 issue, and it came up that way. And this was the 2 staff's recommended resolution of the issue. 3 that correct? Is it fair to say it that way? 4 MR. HORTON: Yeah. 5 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. You've heard the 6 committee recommendation, which is to approve the 7 staff's recommendation. Any further discussion? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 10 motion, signify by saying "aye." TRUSTEES: Aye. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "no." 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it. 15 MR. RASCOE: The next item on the -- the 16 committee's agenda pertains to grant number 2008-17 514, which was a discussion of this construction 18 project not yet under construction within one year. 19 It was a request by the Town of Enfield to establish 20 a new construction contract deadline due to permit 21 delays of March 31, 2011, and staff recommended 22 approval of the proposed date by which they will 23 enter into a new -- excuse me -- into a construction 24 contract. 25 The committee did accept that staff

```
1
          recommendation and proffers that to the Board.
2
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Further committee
3
          recommendation. Is there any further discussion?
4
                    (No response.)
5
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All those in favor of
6
          adoption of the committee recommendation, signify by
7
          saying "aye."
8
                   TRUSTEES: Aye.
9
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR:
                                       Opposed, "no."
10
                   (No response.)
11
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: The "ayes" have it.
12
                   MR. RASCOE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13
          Also, the -- there was a staff report for an
14
          informational item on grant number 2007-537 and the
15
          Town of Shelby. We were given a staff report on
16
          that matter. And also, the director did brief us on
17
          the current status of a most recent request by
18
          Tyrrell County and project number 2002B-608, and
19
          staff will be keeping us up to date as that matter
20
          progresses.
21
                   And that was the report of the committee.
22
                   CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. That's not an
23
          action item, right?
                   MR. RASCOE: That is not an action item.
24
25
          That was just a briefing by staff.
```

1 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Anything 2 further from the committee? 3 MR. RASCOE: No, sir, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Thank you very much. 5 The next item on the agenda -- I lost my agenda here. Where's my agenda? I'm sorry. I've got it. 6 7 I've got so many papers here I can't find it. 8 Okay. Follow-up -- that's right -- follow-9 up on -- from yesterday. I guess what happened was 10 yesterday, we didn't allow quite enough time for all 11 of the items we wanted to discuss, and maybe we've 12 had a little bit more time today. But just to kind 13 of review those and what we did -- and I don't think 14 there are any action items that are necessary. 15 only action item was the one that was already taken 16 with regard to the meeting. 17 But we had a good discussion about signage, 18 and I think staff has gotten our input and is going 19 to come back to us perhaps with a refined 20 recommendation; is that right, Richard? You all 21 are --22 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. And just to 23 summarize where we're heading with this with regards 24 to the signage, I think we've got some good 25 feedback. The signs that we have seem to be

adequate, and that we'll continue that.

I think we got some good feedback about putting it in the contract. I think one thing that we will look to do is try to put together some requirements in the contract if they want to incorporate our information in coordination with project description and other partners, that we need to identify what we require with regards to that type of signage. I do think that that is a value. It identifies the total project and also provides description, whereas our common signs do not.

So that is something that we'll work -work towards and present back to you all in June.
And if there's any other things that we need to
focus our efforts on with regards to signage, just
please speak up.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. And Richard, the next item that we talked about yesterday, and I'm not exactly sure where we left it. As you said, we kind of got down to the weeds a little bit. We talked about this restoration/stormwater issues with regard to easement versus BMP, which -- and exactly how to -- to deal with requests. Do you want to comment on that, where you feel like we are with that and where we're going to go?

MR. ROGERS: I think staff is going to step back and, again, look at this and provide maybe a little more comprehensive overview for you in June and -- and take a look at this issue.

With item number two on the stewardship with regards to our restoration projects, again, I think we need to kind of take another look at this and see what kind of can of worms we're opening up for ourselves if we do allow for -- with regards to future projects as well as projects that we have already done and successfully completed. So we will -- we will line this one back up for you and provide a little more background and context to the situation and the possible ramifications about action from the Board on this.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. And the next item, and I -- I think, parliamentary-wise, yesterday, we were meeting as -- as the Committee of the Whole. And there was an action item with regard to minimum score, and I believe the motion -- was there a motion or not? Did we just say we'd leave it for today? I can't remember if we actually had a motion.

Let's -- let's say that we didn't have a motion yesterday, now that I think about it. I

(919) 676-1502

don't think we did. 1 2 Do I have a -- but I think the consensus 3 was yesterday, that we would, with regard to the 4 whole business of minimum score, that we would 5 approach it in the same manner that we did in 2010. Can I have a motion to that effect? 6 7 MR. JOHNSON: So moved. 8 MR. RASCOE: So moved. 9 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Moved by Mr. 10 Johnson, seconded by Mr. Rascoe. Any further 11 discussion on that issue? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: So many as favor the 14 motion, signify by saying "aye." 15 TRUSTEES: Aye. 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Opposed, "no." 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: And we, of course, did 19 not get to the land acquisition program issues and, 20 hopefully, we'll be able to revisit that -- revisit 21 that issue in June. 22 I would just comment -- maybe you all --23 you know, the fieldtrip out to the -- to the tracts 24 of lands that we saw yesterday was -- was just 25 delightful. We appreciate Triangle Land Trust for

arranging that. Beautiful tracts of land. It makes you realize and appreciate the work that we're able to do with the funds the legislature gives us.

Any comments anybody has about that?

MR. WRIGHT: I'd like to say this. For us older members that have been here a while, I think a really good thing and reassuring thing about where we're going is going out and seeing this projects after they've been on the ground eight or 10 years, and the impact they have on the communities where they're being done. I think it really motivates us to try and continue to push our purpose and try to do a good job.

And yesterday was a really good example, especially that first -- that first project we went to see was actually approved in 1997, one of the first projects that this Board ever did. And it made me feel yesterday, just walking around that property, that, you know, this thing does work and does do good things.

CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Well, one of the things that -- that we -- thank you, Jerry, and I could not agree with you more, and I'm disappointed that we were not able to make that April trip to the mountains, but we will ask staff to look and see if

1 it's possible for us perhaps to hold one of our fall 2 meetings in conjunction with our business meeting 3 perhaps in the mountain area, to give us a chance 4 not to do it as we had planned to with a tour, but 5 perhaps in a shortened version like we did 6 yesterday, see a couple of projects and kind of 7 crunch the numbers on what that would cost. 8 Maybe come in a day early like we used to 9 on that, and -- and see if it works. It might be 10 time to -- to look at that format, maybe for one 11 year anyhow. So they will look at that, and 12 that's -- that -- that's a possibility. 13 Ron? 14 MR. BEANE: I would like to recommend that 15 we consider probably October for such a meeting. 16 That's the best time to be there in the mountains. 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: That would be great. 18 MR. RASCOE: If we can get a state rate, 19 we'll be there. 20 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: It's always a beautiful 21 time to be in the mountains in -- actually, October 22 is best and November is -- is not bad. No time bad 23 in the mountains, right? 24 MS. KUMOR: That's correct. 25 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Okay. Well, Renee, I --

1 with -- with -- you know, at the beginning of the 2 meeting, we welcomed you yesterday when you were 3 sworn in, and I think all of the Board members were 4 here. But since this is the -- the Board meeting 5 itself, I want to officially welcome you to the Board. I should have done that at the beginning of 6 7 the meeting. We're just delighted to have you. You 8 come with a great background. You bring a lot to 9 the table. 10 So we'd be glad for you to make any remarks 11 you'd like to make. You've been here now for -- for 12 two days. Is it what you expected? 13 MR. ROGERS: Have you got it all figured 14 out? 15 MS. KUMOR: Kevin told me it would be an 16 adventure. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: All right. Is there 18 anything else -- anything else to come before the Board? Mr. Executive Director? 19 20 MR. ROGERS: We've got an hour. 21 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Don't want to rush you. 22 MR. ROGERS: I'm just joking. 23 MR. JOHNSON: I move we adjourn. 24 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Make a motion to 25 adjourn.

1 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Howard, is he 2 still here? 3 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: It's not -- it's not 4 debatable, so all in favor of -- I want to -- you 5 didn't get to speak, but I do want to recognize that Chairman Bob Howard here who preceded me as Chair 6 7 and brought me along, for which I'm very 8 appreciative, is here. 9 Bob, anything you want to say to this 10 We're glad to have you back visiting us. 11 MR. HOWARD: Nothing except I had it better 12 than you did because I followed Jerry. He had 13 everything in good shape. And you had to follow me. 14 But anyway, I appreciate -- I appreciate all the 15 work that this Board continues to do. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN BADDOUR: Thank you. The Mayor of 17 Southport. Okay. We stand adjourned. 18 (Meeting adjourned at 11:05 a.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY - COURT REPORTER

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM)

I, Brenda J. Thissen, Notary Public in and for the above county and state, do hereby certify that foregoing CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING was taken before me at the time and place hereinbefore and was duly recorded by me by means of stenomask and speech recognition; which is reduced to written form under my direction and supervision, and that this is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, a true and correct transcript of the meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Clean Water Management Trust Fund held at the Sheraton Chapel Hill, One Europa Drive, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, on the 21st day of February, 2011.

I further certify that I am neither of counsel to either party or interested in the event of this case.

This 7th day of March, 2011.

Brenda J. Thissen
Notary Public, Rockingham County,
North Carolina
Notary Number: 19982640138