BOARD OF TRUSTEES
CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND
MINUTES OF MEETING
MONDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2012 9:30 A.M.
ARCHDALE BUILDING 512 NORTH SALISBURY STREET, GROUND FLOOR HEARING ROOM RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA
Post Office Box 98475, Raleigh, North Carolina 27624-8475 Telephone (919) 676-1502 – Fax (919) 676-2277

A P P E A R A N C E S

CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES:

JOHN MCMILLAN, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE HAROLD A. BASS, SR.

HONORABLE RONALD R. BEANE

FRANK BRAGG

DR. YEVONNE BRANNON

KAREN CRAGNOLIN

JOHN GARROU

JOSEPH M. HESTER, JR.

WILLIAM HOLLAN

GEORGE HOWARD

CHARLES JOHNSON

KEVIN MARKHAM

BETTY CHAFIN RASH

AARON THOMAS

STAN VAUGHAN

CHARLES VINES

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE:

MARY L. LUCASSE, SPECIAL DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND STAFF:

RICHARD ROGERS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

BETH McGEE, DEPUTY DIRECTOR

NANCY GUTHRIE, PROJECT MANAGER GOVERNMENT ACQUISITIONS & DONATED MINIGRANTS

CHRISTOPHER FIPPS, BUSINESS OFFICER

PENNY ADAMS, EXECUTIVE/ACQUISITION ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

CHERRI SMITH, PROJECT MANAGER LAND TRUST ACQUISITIONS & STEWARDSHIP

KEVIN BOYER, RESTORATION/STORMWATER PROJECT MANAGER

LARRY HORTON, P.E., WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT MANAGER

EVERETTE MOORE, REAL PROPERTY AGENT, STATE PROPERTY OFFICE

CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND FIELD REPRESENTATIVES:

TOM MASSIE, WESTERN REGION

BERN SCHUMAK, CENTRAL REGION

WILL SUMMER, EASTERN REGION

AGENDA

9:30 a.m. A. Call to Order - Chairman McMillan

- 1) Welcome
- 2) Roll Call Penny Adams
- 3) Compliance with General Statute \$138A-15
- Revisions, Additions, and Adoption of the Agenda (Action Item)
- 5) Please put cell phones on vibrate or off
- Review and Approval of the transcripts of the August 2012 Meeting of the Board of Trustees (Action Item)
- 9:40 a.m. B. Public Comments (Three minutes per person) Chairman McMillan
- 9:50 a.m. C. Attorney General's Report Frank Crawley
- 10:00 a.m. D. Committee Assignments Chairman McMillan
- 10:05 a.m. E. Executive Director's Report Richard Rogers
- 10:30 a.m. F. Acquisition Committee Report Committee Co-Chairs Hester and Wright (Action Items)
- 11:00 a.m. G. Break
- 11:15 a.m. H. Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway Committee Report - Committee Co-Chairs Brannon and Pate (Action Items)
- 11:45 a.m. I. Infrastructure/Wastewater Committee Report -Committee Co-Chairs Markham and Thomas (Action Items)
- 12:00 p.m. J. Program Committee Committee Co-Chairs Hollan and Garrou (Action Items)

12:25 p.m. K. Discussion

12:30 p.m. L. Adjourn

81

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proceedings

Certificate of Reporter

GARRETT REPORTING SERVICES, INC. Post Office Box 98475 Raleigh, North Carolina 27624-8475

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Good morning everyone.
3	Welcome to the October Board of Trustees meeting of
4	the Clean Water Management Trust Fund.
5	I'll ask Penny to call the role.
6	MS. ADAMS: Chairman McMillan?
7	MR. MCMILLAN: Here.
8	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Bass?
9	MR. BASS: Here.
10	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Beane?
11	MR. BEANE: Here.
12	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Bragg?
13	MR. BRAGG: Here.
14	MS. ADAMS: Ms. Brannon?
15	MS. BRANNON: Here.
16	MS. ADAMS: Ms. Cragnolin?
17	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Here.
18	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Garrou?
19	MR. GARROU: Here.
20	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Hester?
21	MR. HESTER: Here.
22	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Hollan?
23	MR. HOLLAN: Here.
24	MS. ADAMS: Mr. Howard?
25	MR. HOWARD: Here.

Г

1	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Johnson?
2	MR.	JOHNSON:	Here.
3	MS.	ADAMS:	Ms. Kumor?
4	(No	response.)	
5	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Markham?
6	MR.	MARKHAM:	Here.
7	MS.	ADAMS:	Ms. Mele?
8	(No	response.)	
9	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Pate?
10	(No	response.)	
11	MS.	ADAMS:	Ms. Rash?
12	MS.	RASH:	Here.
13	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Thomas?
14	MR.	THOMAS:	Here.
15	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Vaughn?
16	MR.	VAUGHAN:	Here.
17	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Vines?
18	MR.	VINES:	Here.
19	MS.	ADAMS:	Ms. Weston?
20	(No	response.)	
21	MS.	ADAMS:	Mr. Wright?
22	(No	response.)	
23	СНА	IRMAN MCMILLAN:	Thank you. We have
24	quorum.		
25	I w	ill remind you o	f the provisions of

1	General Statute §138A-15. Anyone who has a conflict
2	with any matters on the agenda, or an appearance of
3	conflict, I would ask that you tell us about that at
4	this point.
5	MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman?
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: George?
7	MR. HOWARD: I would like to recuse
8	myself from the votes concerning the funding of the
9	applications of the Coastal Land Trust. My company
10	has a theoretical, potential small transaction with
11	them in the future, and, out of abundance of caution,
12	I'd like to recuse myself.
13	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much.
14	We'll make a note of that. Karen?
15	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like
16	to recuse myself from any discussion and/or vote for
17	2012-438, and should we get to it, 2012-719. Thank
18	you, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much.
20	We'll make a note of that. Kevin?
21	MR. MARKHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22	During the Program Committee discussion we'll be
23	discussing reservoirs. I wanted to bring to the
24	Board's attention that the department I my work for
25	does work on reservoirs. However, the reservoir I'm

1	currently working on in North Carolina is not a
2	drinking water supply reservoir, and the other
3	reservoirs are out of state. So I have no conflict of
4	interest on any discussions.
5	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much.
6	Are there others?
7	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. Are there
9	revisions or additions to the agenda?
10	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Hearing none, we will
12	adopt the agenda as submitted.
13	I will remind everyone to put their
14	electronic devices on some sort of silent position.
15	You have received transcripts of the August 2012
16	meeting of the Board of Trustees. Are there
17	corrections or additions to the minutes of that
18	meeting?
19	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Hearing none, is there a
21	motion that they be approved as submitted?
22	MR. BEANE: I move they be approved,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you, Ron. Is
25	there a second?

1	MR. VINE: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any discussion?
3	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
5	the motion, say, "Aye."
6	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
8	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
10	I want to thank the Board members, and particularly
11	the chairs, for the participation in the meetings that
12	went on between our Board meetings. We had a special
13	committee meeting that was very active. And the
14	chairs met. Thank you for your attendance here this
15	morning. We have good attendance. And we do a lot of
16	things between meetings, and it's important that
17	that you be available to do that. And we appreciate
18	everyone's participation in that, as well as the staff
19	who make those meetings possible.
20	Are there public comments; anyone from the
21	public wishing to make comments to the Board?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Hearing none, Mary tells
24	me we have no attorney general's report.
25	You received in your

1	MR. ROGERS: Folder.
2	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: folders, here, the
3	committee assignments. Every member of the Board
4	serves on one of the three funding committees and on
5	one of two administrative committees. If you don't
6	like your assignment, come see me about that. We have
7	an equal number on each of the committees, so if you
8	want to move from one committee to another, it would
9	be helpful if you could find somebody who would agree
10	to swap with you. If that happens, I'll be happy to
11	make those changes. But the committee assignments for
12	the coming year are in that folder. Anybody who wants
13	to change it please let me know.
14	Executive director's report, Richard?
15	MR. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	Let's see. I've only got two full pages of single-
17	spaced items, so it shouldn't take too long here.
18	We are today in the executive director's
19	report we're going to go over the Clean Water
20	review of our funding. We typically do this in the
21	Administration Committee, but there's no action on
22	this list, so we have put it into the executive
23	director's report. And, Christopher, if you'll run
24	through that for me? It should have been in your
25	board packet under Item E. And, I think, there's four

1 pages to it. 2 MR. FIPPS: Thank you, Richard. The 3 typical materials that are presented at each Board 4 meeting is in the Item E. 5 Page one is the cash report for the Trust Fund as of August 31st. The cash fund is at \$67.8 6 7 million. Moving down the list, we have remaining 8 revenue projected for the year, which is the remaining 9 amount of the allotments toward the appropriation at 10 \$8.8 million, and the remaining interest projection to 11 receive for the year. 12 Then moving further down the list shows the 13 "Obligation of Funds" being the rest of the administrative and COPs Debt Service funds for the 14 15 year, the grant contracts that are currently 16 encumbered, as well as the remaining grant contracts 17 that have been awarded still to encumber. 18 Moving further down the list, the funds set 19 aside for the stewardship operating side of the 20 Stewardship Program, which is the funding of the stewardship monitoring contracts for the year, as well 21 22 as the management funds in addition to about \$67,000 23 sitting in cash reserve for the Stewardship Program. 24 Just below that, the funds that are -- have 25 been made available to the committees from the

2

3

original allocation and unencumbered funds over the year. And we'll go over that in a little bit more detail.

4 If you flip over to page two -- just an update on each funding committees' money that you all 5 basically discussed yesterday -- the first part, an 6 7 update on Wastewater Infrastructure Committee had a 8 real -- an original allocation at the beginning of the 9 year of \$3.123 million, awarded 3.1 million in August, 10 leaving a little over \$23,000. The committee has seen 11 \$56,000-and-change come back from unencumbered 12 projects, leaving a current balance of \$79,000 in that 13 committee toward the next provisionally-funded award. 14 The Restoration/Stormwater/Greenways 15 Committee had an original allocation of not guite \$2.1 16 million; has seen \$92,000-and-change come back from 17 unencumbered projects, which totals \$2,174,054 that 18 the committee took up yesterday. 19 Down to the Acquisition Committee, it had an 20 original allocation of \$5.2 million from August,

original allocated \$200,000 to -- donated to the
Easement Minigrant Program. And, since that time, has
also seen \$500,000 come back in unencumbered seasonal
projects, which equates to the \$5.5 million that was
considered in yesterday's committee meeting.

1 Page three is just for your information, a 2 little more detail of actual project listing of those 3 projects that have closed and have been unencumbered 4 that make up those additional funds that went to those 5 funding committees. Page -- lastly, page four is an update, 6 7 basically since the beginning of the fiscal year, on 8 the Stewardship Endowment Funds. Starting with this 9 fiscal -- starting with this fiscal year, the monies 10 have been moved over into the different -- the three 11 different investment types had originally been just in 12 the long-term investment fund, and have since started, per the Board's decision, to move those over into 13 14 short-term as well as equities. At the beginning of 15 the fiscal year, it was about \$2.44 million. Funds 16 have been moved out into these short-term investment 17 funds and into equities. So as of August 31st, it 18 left a total of about 1.6 million in the long term, 19 610,0000 -- almost 611,000 in the short-term 20 investments, and about \$272,000, so far, in the equities fund as of August 31st. And the treasurer's 21 22 office is continuing to move over monthly allotments 23 of \$132,000 each month from the long-term into the 24 equity so that, by the end of the fiscal year, that 25 full 65 percent would have been moved over to the

1	equity fund, leaving a total value of the fund at
2	August 31st of not quite almost \$2.5 million.
3	And if you all have any other questions?
4	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
5	MR. ROGERS: Thank you, Christopher. Do
6	take a look at this is the first time that we've
7	really produced the Stewardship Endowment Report, so
8	if Chairman Garrou, if there's interest or anything
9	that needs to be added to this but our intention is
10	to provide this report to you all to keep you all
11	appraised of the Endowment Fund and how it's how
12	it's moving forward.
13	You've also, in your folder, got a proposed
14	schedule, a calendar a calendar with dates for next
15	year's meetings. If you all will pull that out and
16	look at that? Do they not have that?
17	MS. ADAMS: We did it on PowerPoint.
18	But I have
19	MR. ROGERS: Do we have the PowerPoint?
20	MS. ADAMS: No.
21	MR. ROGERS: We don't because
22	MS. ADAMS: We do not. But I do have
23	some copies of it.
24	MR. ROGERS: Yeah. If we could just get
25	those circulated, that would be great. While she's

1	getting those out, I'll move on.
2	Just an update on our 2012 projects, the
3	Wastewater Committee met last meeting in August and
4	approved grants. I had just a note that all those
5	contracts have been are out and being reviewed.
6	And we're we're moving forward with that. Also,
7	one thing to note, and we want to apprise you all
8	this, is that how many do you have?
9	MS. ADAMS: I only have four. I'm going
10	to go make some copies.
11	MR. ROGERS: Okay. That would be good.
12	MS. ADAMS: Okay.
13	MR. ROGERS: is that the provisionally-
14	funded grantees and this goes for also now our
15	restoration/stormwater, and also our acquisition
16	projects is that we will notice them in December,
17	if they have not been funded through provisional funds
18	that they will need to reapply for February. This is
19	just to keep their to keep it active and alive for
20	next year's cycle in case we do not get to them, and
21	that they have that option. And we'll encourage them
22	to make an application to for the 2013 cycle.
23	A little update on our contract status as
24	a whole for the Board, we currently have actively
25	encumbered 167 contracts, 15 that are out remaining to

1	be encumbered. Most of those are our wastewater
2	projects that were just approved in in August;
3	there's seven or eight out, or nine out for review.
4	We also have a couple of donated minigrants as well as
5	an acquisition in a restoration/stormwater project.
6	We currently have about \$65 million of encumbered
7	contracts to date.
8	MS. GUTHRIE: I can project the
9	MR. ROGERS: You can?
10	MS. GUTHRIE: dates, I believe.
11	MR. ROGERS: Okay. Great.
12	MS. GUTHRIE: That's it.
13	MR. ROGERS: There we go. Isn't that
14	nice? So these are the dates that we're proposing.
15	And, I want I mean, I think the key date is
16	February, obviously. And that we'd like to have, Mr.
17	Chairman, the Board to take action on it accepting
18	these dates for our schedule for next year. And we'll
19	give you all a minute, if you want to, to look at
20	calendars and see if there's any concern with the
21	dates that we have up there.
22	MR. HOWARD: There's a conflict on all
23	on the Mining and Energy Commission.
24	MR. ROGERS: Right.
25	MR. HOWARD: And there's a complex dating

1 dance going on now about our dates. 2 MR. ROGERS: Right. 3 MR. HOWARD: So I can't match these quite 4 up now. 5 MR. ROGERS: Okay. MR. HOWARD: But they might not, though, 6 7 conflict with any of the proposals that I've got right 8 now. 9 MR. ROGERS: Okay. 10 MR. HOWARD: And you all might just lose 11 me for one or two, so --12 MR. ROGERS: Well, we hope not. MR. HOWARD: 13 Yeah. 14 MR. ROGERS: Stan? 15 MR. VAUGHN: Mr. Chairman, we normally 16 meet on the second weekend with -- I notice February 17 is the first weekend. I will be out of the country on 18 that date. 19 MR. ROGERS: Tom, are these dates all 20 right with you? 21 I'm fine. MR. MASSIE: 22 MR. ROGERS: Okay. 23 MR. BEANE: Mr. Chairman, for the 24 record, I'll just make a motion that we adopt this 25 calendar.

1	MS. BRANNON: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: There's a motion and a
3	second to adopt the meeting schedule that's up on the
4	board. Any discussion? Any further discussion?
5	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
7	the motion, say, "Aye."
8	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
10	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
11	MR. ROGERS: The "Ayes" have it. We
12	also have copies for you all to take with you when
13	gone when Penny gets back.
14	So, another administrative issue that we
15	wanted to bring to you, as everyone is well aware, the
16	budget cycle last year took Clean Water funds out of
17	recurring funds and made them nonrecurring funds.
18	Because of that we've had to take a little different
19	approach as it relates to the budget development that
20	goes on in state government annually about this time.
21	The Department of Environment and Natural Resources is
22	putting together expansion budget requests. Because
23	of our of us not being recurring funds, we are now
24	in the position of being an expansion budget item in
25	the budget process. John McMillan, our Chairman, has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

written the secretary a letter. We've also filled out the appropriate forms to put in an expansion request to the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. The expansion request is \$40 million. This is unique, does not fall into the parameters that they accept expansion reports. But we've asked the secretary, and we've talked with the assistant secretary for natural resources to accept this and move this forward.

10 In the process, the department will submit 11 their budget and their proposed budget over to the 12 governor's office. We will then take the budget --13 the budget up. My understanding, in their process, is 14 that they will look at continuation budget items 15 first, and then look at the expansion items for the 16 next fiscal year. This budget document will be the 17 main document that the new administration will receive 18 when it comes into office. I think it's important 19 that we are a part of that expansion budget so that it can be on the plate when the new administration comes 20 21 So that's just an FYI. If you all have any in. 22 further questions, I'll be glad to take any questions 23 you have on that. 24

CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: I've asked Richard to put together a one- or two-page summary of -- of the

1	history of the Clean Water Management Trust Fund for
2	your use, what the impact of the budget cuts have had
3	on us. You saw yesterday where we limited
4	applications to one one application per applicant.
5	We limited the amount of the applications and
6	acquisitions to 600,000 and 400,000 for restoration/
7	stormwater. We we'll have information for you on
8	the total amounts requested for this cycle, what
9	impact that's had on our budget, our staffing and what
10	we have done.
11	We are going forward into this next budget
12	year without an appropriation so there has to be an
13	expansion appropriation approved by the General
14	Assembly for us to continue to exist. I would urge
15	you to to if you feel so inclined, to talk to
16	the people you know who are in that process, whether
17	that be in the new administration, whether that be in
18	the General Assembly, and and use this material,
19	this information that you have coming to you. We are
20	going to try to set up some some meetings. We
21	have, every year, met with the appropriations
22	leadership, and and there will be a new
23	administration in the executive office, so we will
24	need to to have meetings set up with with the
25	officials of that administration, the governor-elect,

1	hopefully, and some of his staff, key staff people,
2	and once the budget officer is appointed, that person.
3	So we will we will also be in contact with groups
4	like the League of Municipalities and the county
5	commissioners and the land trusts and the Nature
6	Conservancy and those organizations that rely on us
7	for funding, share with that with them that
8	information. Because without Clean Water, they don't
9	have any place to send their applications.
10	We've had a great record of accomplishments.
11	We've attracted hundreds of millions of dollars of
12	match that would not have, otherwise, been there. And
13	we need to tell that story if if we're to continue
14	to be able to provide this service to the state. They
15	keep expanding what they ask us to do, military bases,
16	water supply, and they keep cutting our funding. So
17	this is a crunch time coming up, and it's important
18	that all of us be engaged.
19	MR. ROGERS: Thank you for those words,
20	John. Other administrative issues have been going on.
21	It tends that during the election year the state
22	auditor gets on her horse and does a whole lot more
23	stuff, and it gets out there. A couple of audits that
24	are going on, and one that has been done that might
25	come back to us is the fact that the Gold [sic] LEAF

1	Foundation was audited, I think, in the spring. My
2	understanding is that the rule sent the auditors out
3	looking at the rural center as well. And John called
4	me one Sunday Monday morning after a Sunday article
5	on Golden LEAF, and said, "Richard, you need to look
6	at our processes and see how we're coming along as it
7	relates to what the Golden LEAF the audit found in
8	the Golden Golden LEAF program.
9	Basically, there were three areas in the
10	Golden LEAF program that the audit identified. One
11	was a lack of information to back up grant
12	expenditures within their contracts. Also, they did
13	not feel that that Gold [<i>sic</i>] LEAF assured that
14	they got the project outcomes that were written in the
15	scope of their projects and what they what they
16	paid for. And then, also, the fact that grantees
17	don't submit timely reports on the progress of their
18	grants while they're under contract.
19	We, the staff, are in the process of looking
20	at our procedures. We're already making some changes
21	to our procedures. And my intent is that we'll come
22	back to you all in February to give you a little more
23	detail about the changes we're making and things that
24	we're doing. As it relates to the findings that Gold
25	[<i>sic</i>] LEAF had, we get back-up material of all our

1	expenditures. I think we covered that issue very
2	well. We're very diligent not only to get it for the
3	expenditures we made, but also for the matching funds
4	that are applied to the grant.
5	We also are looking more in having close-out
6	site visits with the field reps going on site at the
7	end of the projects to make sure that the scopes of
8	the projects have been completed, and their
9	obligations fulfilled in that manner. The timely
10	reports, we require quarterly reports in our contract.
11	And I will tell you they are a bear to get out of
12	people, and oftentimes we have to repeatedly request
13	it. What we're doing now, and I think what will
14	resolve any audit issues, is the fact that we request
15	those via email, and we put those requests in our
16	files so that they make sure they know that we're
17	trying to get them as we move forward.
18	So, I think, we're in good shape. And
19	but we still are looking at different ways that we
20	will continue to, through our administrative process,
21	improve it. I think one of the things that we're
22	going to put in place now is just, once we get
23	contracts under the new projects under contract,
24	we're actually going to talk to the grantee, go
25	through the contract, the scope on the phone with

1 their -- with the contract -- with the grantee, their 2 engineer, or whoever is managing the contract, and 3 make sure that everything is understood, the 4 expectations we have on our end are understood from 5 the get-go on the contract. And I think that will help us get off to a good start as well. So we 6 7 continue to look at this. 8 We take the stewardship of our state funding 9 as a priority, and we work real hard to make sure we 10 fulfill our obligations. 11 Yes? 12 MS. CRAGNOLIN: You know, I think that you 13 all do such a wonderful job. Is there any benefit to 14 be gained from us asking for an audit that -- you 15 know, to say, "Listen, these are our processes. We 16 are always trying to make them better," and to be 17 proactive in that regard? 18 MR. ROGERS: I would say no. 19 MS. CRAGNOLIN: No? Okay. 20 MR. ROGERS: Don't -- don't ask for it. 21 I think -- I think if it comes, we'll be more than 22 prepared. I wouldn't ask for that kind of trouble. 23 But --24 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Do you -- do you 25 communicate regularly with IRS and say, "I did such a

1	good job with my tax return, I want you to come and
2	audit me"?
3	MS. CRAGNOLIN: No. But I'll tell you
4	there isn't a nonprofit in the state that's worth
5	their salt that doesn't get an audit every year.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: We get an audit.
7	That's that's I mean, that's what we need to
8	do is to document the things that we do, because we're
9	doing the follow-up stuff that
10	MS. CRAGNOLIN: We do it.
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: that needs to be
12	done. But we've got to make sure that it's
13	documented. And when do you have these? These
14	emails that go out, that there are copies of the
15	emails in the folder. When there's a telephone
16	conversation, that there's a notation in the folder
17	that we had this telephone conversation. I think that
18	the Golden LEAF audit was instructive to us on what
19	the auditor looks at when they do these audits, and we
20	just have to make sure that we have the documentation
21	to back up what we're doing.
22	MR. HOLLAN: John, I notice that we
23	got \$1.51 back in unencumbered funds for Project 2008-
24	40 [<i>sic</i>] 419. We got \$100 back on 2006A-529, so
25	somebody is paying attention to the to the money.

Г

1	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Yeah, we are.
2	MR. ROGERS: So, you know, I think
3	it's important. And we do a great job of paying
4	attention to the details. However, I think we still
5	have some room for improvement in our processes, and
6	we're going to work towards that. And we look forward
7	to reporting to you all in February.
8	I wanted to update you all a couple on a
9	couple past projects of some activities that are going
10	on there. One is North River Farms. And if you all
11	don't recall, it is a project that Clean Water started
12	back in 2001, I believe. Clean Water put a total of
13	\$4.8 million into this project. It is a watershed
14	restoration-type project, and it is one of the was,
15	and I think it probably still is one of the largest
16	restoration sites in North Carolina, if not the United
17	States. George, you know about it. Your your
18	group has worked down there, too.
19	When the Board approved funding in early
20	2003, there was a possibility that 2,100 of those
21	acres that was purchased would be would be subject
22	to the wetland restoration program, and that the
23	federal government would come in and pay Coastal Land
24	Trust for that property to be restored. That, in

fact, has happened.

25

What the Board did at that time to assure 1 2 that future funds or any funds they received from that 3 is they put in the contract that the Coastal 4 Federation would come back to the Board, reinvest 5 those funds and property close to and in proximity to the project, or for another worthwhile restoration 6 7 project. I've talked with Todd Miller several times on this matter. I believe, in October or late -- late 8 9 last month, the funds, they've settled. They're about 10 ready to close on it, if they have not already closed 11 on it. Our intention is, in February, to bring this 12 back to you and give you -- and let Todd present their 13 thoughts on where they want to go with these funds, 14 and what they want to do, and how they want to reapply 15 those funds. 16 So I thought it was important that we give 17 you just a brief update on the project, what's going 18 on. This North River Farms project is just a 19 fantastic project. They've leveraged about \$12 20 million for the project and the investment that Clean

21 Water has made on it. And they continue to do really 22 good work -- work there. So I, for one, look forward 23 to the proposal that Todd will bring forward to us 24 in -- in February. 25

The other project I wanted to mention is one

1 that we've discussed, pretty much, every meeting for 2 about a year and a half is the Shelby project, which 3 is a wastewater project that did not meet its 4 respective outcomes as taking discharges out of the water. Its main person to hook up PPG did not hook up 5 to the project while the project was already done. 6 At 7 your last meeting, the Board directed staff to come up 8 with a contract for repayment of the unmet scope in 9 that project. Mary has -- and staff has worked to 10 pull together a contract. We have that contract out 11 to Shelby now. The town manager, Rick Howell, has 12 received it. I talked to him Friday. And his 13 comments were that he was appreciative of what the 14 Board has done, the time that they've allowed -- that 15 you all have allowed them to repay the funds, and that 16 they are running it through their attorney. Their 17 finance person, he was meeting with his mayor on 18 Friday. So the point of all this, I think we're 19 moving forward with that, and we hope to have that 20 under contract, and then we'll have repayment starting in July of 2013. 21 22 Now, on to other matters under my outline, 23 several things are going on. We talked a little bit 24 about one of the matters yesterday in our 25 Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway Committee as it

10-15-2012 Page 30

1	relates to the NRS's looking to put \$3 to \$5 million
2	in the 30 western counties of North Carolina for
3	stream restoration through their EQIP program. I'm
4	not going to go into too much detail about this. I
5	think we are looking for opportunities to partner.
6	We're looking for opportunities to show what our
7	program does in the legislature, and this is a great
8	opportunity. And we will we will keep our eye on
9	this process, monitor it, and and through as it
10	processes through the legislature, if it does. And
11	we'll be reporting back to you in February as well.
12	The other one is there is a dealing with
13	military projects, there's a REPI 2013 Challenge
14	Grant. This is a \$5 million grant that DOD, the
15	Department of Defense, is putting out under REPI. And
16	they are selecting a single project out of all of the
17	military bases. They've identified priority bases and
18	installations that are subject to these funds in North
19	Carolina, and that is Fort Bragg and the Dare County
20	Bombing Range. The North Carolina State, the
21	Department of Ag, we have had input, the Clean Water
22	Management Trust Fund, the Natural Heritage Trust
23	Fund, Parks and Rec. And several other folks have
24	been working on getting this draft grant proposal
25	together. And, again, they are looking for one-to-one

1	match. But we are participating as a potential match
2	candidate for them. And, again, I you know, as
3	I've noted to them, we've put \$40 million into
4	military projects. I think we support that effort,
5	and we'll continue to support those efforts. The
6	draft proposal goes in October 15th. They will make
7	final decisions, or either a final grouping of folks
8	that move forward in December. But I just wanted to
9	put you all on notice that that's another activity
10	that's going on related to military projects.
11	Finally, we passed out, I think, in your
12	packets a draft newsletter. This is this comes out
13	of our last meeting. And I don't think I talked to
14	Karen or somebody. I thought it was in the meeting,
15	and somebody said it was offline. But I remember the
16	request coming in that we need to do some type of
17	newsletter to communicate our programs, and to provide
18	the opportunity to educate folks in a in an
19	organized, systematic way. Let's see if I can find my
20	copy.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: (Gives document to Mr.
22	Rogers.)
23	MR. ROGERS: Thank you, John. What
24	we've done and, again, this is a draft. And but
25	I did want to have some substance just to give you all

10-15-2012 Page 32

1	an idea of the type of stuff that we've envisioned
2	that we would communicate through this. Basically,
3	we're looking at a feature article. We've we
4	heisted an article out of the New River publication,
5	"Drinking Water Dilemma." There's a little executive
6	director's report, and then we're looking at a Clean
7	Water feature project or area. We also will have some
8	type of profile. That profile can be on an industry
9	or a person. We did not put anything in that. We
10	didn't quite have time to get that pulled together.
11	And then looking at local governments and issues in
12	local government that we can report on, related to our
13	projects, and those kinds of things.
14	What I would like and if you don't have
15	specific thoughts right now, please get us feedback.
16	My interest is to try to do this, probably, on a
17	quarterly basis. And I would we would like to get
18	one out in December, if at all possible, before the
19	end of the year just to begin and kick kick this
20	thing off. The idea is that we'll distribute this
21	electronically. We have list serves for all our
22	all of our grantees. We have we have the
23	legislative we legislature we can push this out
24	to, as well as others. So that's our plan for
25	circulating this. It also gives us a vehicle for

1	communicating the program and the value of the program
2	as it relates to the state and our natural resources.
3	I would greatly appreciate any comments,
4	thoughts that folks have with regards to organization,
5	highlighted topics that we need to cover in this.
6	This is a pretty basic format that we've that we
7	pull down. But I'd also like to thank Cherri for
8	pulling this together. She's been working on this.
9	And then Will, I think you had a little something
10	MR. SUMMER: Right.
11	MR. ROGERS: to do with it, too. But
12	what I think this is a good way for us, again, to
13	look at another way to push information out and
14	communicate the program. So welcome any thoughts,
15	comments on that.
16	MR. HOWARD: Richard, I'd like for the
17	staff to investigate it's a social media tool for
18	sharing documents called SCRIBD
19	MR. ROGERS: All right.
20	MR. HOWARD: S-C-R-I-B-D. Is this a
21	good time to talk about this?
22	MR. ROGERS: Yeah.
23	MR. HOWARD: Yeah. Yeah, yeah.
24	MR. ROGERS: I'm they're writing it
25	down. I'm not. I'm the

1	MR. HOWARD: Yeah. S-C-R-I-B-D. They
2	skip the "E" to be cool.
3	MR. ROGERS: Okay.
4	MR. HOWARD: But SCRIBD, when you put it
5	up there, makes any PDF. It organizes it nicely. You
6	can see all past PDFs. You can put it into
7	subfolders. It's all searchable, and it makes it a
8	good way to present documents to the public with
9	with you could see the document
10	MR. ROGERS: Right.
11	MR. HOWARD: originally, instead of
12	just having a link within a website, which sometimes
13	it's hard for the search engines to find.
14	MR. ROGERS: Sure.
15	MR. HOWARD: So just a quick note on
16	SCRIBD
17	MR. ROGERS: Great. That's
18	MR. HOWARD: since that puts it in
19	action.
20	MR. ROGERS: that's great. Karen?
21	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Richard, I hope, too, that
22	it will be in a format that will be easy to forward.
23	And, you know, several of us I have a newsletter
24	that goes out to, like, 12,000 people every month, and
25	it we can easily forward that to our whole list if

1 it's easy in the document itself. 2 MR. ROGERS: Right. Okay. 3 MS. CRAGNOLIN: And lots of pictures. I 4 think people really like to see photos of -- from 5 across the state. Thanks. MR. ROGERS: Less substance, more 6 7 pictures. 8 MS. CRAGNOLIN: That's true. 9 MR. VAUGHAN: Richard, is -- is this the 10 exact form at it's going out in? 11 MR. ROGERS: Right. Yeah, this is what 12 we're presenting. It doesn't have to be in this 13 format. That's the whole point, I think, is if there's something you don't like or you like, then we 14 15 can -- we can try to adjust it. 16 MR. VAUGHAN: My comment is --17 The template we're using MR. ROGERS: 18 is -- is just a basic template. 19 MR. VAUGHAN: But the comment I would make 20 to you is that the first two pages, that the print is 21 awful small compared to --22 MR. ROGERS: Right. 23 MR. VAUGHAN: -- but then it goes up in the 24 back page. If we can get it all, at least, in the 25 print size on page three.

1	MR. ROGERS: Sure.
2	MR. VAUGHAN: And I think that if you're
3	going to use the pictures, you can increase that
4	picture on the front page
5	MR. ROGERS: Right.
6	MR. VAUGHAN: somewhat
7	MR. ROGERS: Yeah.
8	MR. VAUGHAN: that would be a help, too.
9	MR. ROGERS: Well, yeah. A lot of that
10	has to do with this article that we we just cut and
11	pasted out. It was a longer article than really the
12	space that we had. And then my little ditty was I
13	brought [<i>sic</i>] wrote too much, too. So we can just
14	shorten the articles and make sure that the content
15	is is so that we can keep that font. No problem.
16	MR. BEANE: Richard, I would offer that
17	probably in your first newsletter possibly include in
18	some kind of a short history
19	MR. ROGERS: All right.
20	MR. BEANE: about how many projects
21	have been done and how many counties that have gotten
22	projects, and how much money has been leveraged or
23	matched.
24	MR. ROGERS: Right. And we can actually
25	have a box of that where it's, kind of, a standard
1 piece --2 MS. CRAGNOLIN: Yes. 3 MR. ROGERS: -- that we can put in, and 4 be glad to do that. That's a good -- good -- very 5 good point. MS. BRANNON: Perfect. 6 7 MR. ROGERS: I would -- I don't know the -- there's a little line underneath the "Clean 8 9 Water Management Trust Fund, a non-regulatory approach 10 for protection and restoration of this critical 11 resource." We're trying to get something that will 12 capture folks' attention. And if anybody has any 13 thoughts on that statement, or -- or a line we could 14 have there, it would be helpful as well. So we'll 15 continue to take feedback on that. Just give me an 16 email or -- or get with me, and we'll be glad to do 17 that. 18 Just a couple other things, I did want to 19 update you all on Claudette Weston. As you all are 20 aware, she was in the hospital. She's now out of the 21 hospital doing well, recovering fine. I think she 22 didn't make this meeting, just tried to keep that 23 recovery on the upswing. But she is doing okay and 24 out of the hospital. And, Bern, I guess she's heading 25 back and getting back into the routine of things from

what I could -- from what I know. 1 2 MR. SCHUMAK: Yeah. I stopped by her 3 office, so I could talk to her secretary, and she said 4 she was doing fine at home, and was basically trying 5 not to get exposed to all the germs and stuff going around until her immune system got back 100 percent. 6 7 And Nancy said to tell you that she was doing good and wouldn't be able to make this meeting. So she sends 8 9 her best. Thanks. 10 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, one last thing. 11 Last night at dinner we did celebrate a birthday. 12 Stan Vaughan's birthday was yesterday. I don't think we need to sing again, Stan. But --13 14 MR. VAUGHAN: Thank you. 15 MR. ROGERS: -- we do wish you a 16 happy birthday. That's my report. 17 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much. 18 Happy birthday, Stan. 19 MR. VAUGHAN: I'm glad to have one. 20 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Yes. Always a good 21 thing. 22 We'll move into the Acquisition Committee 23 Report. Joe? 24 MR. HESTER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 The Acquisition Committee met vesterday at 12:30 for

1	at least an hour. And we had an interesting meeting,
2	and we had a number of recommendations for the Board.
3	First, I'd like to bring up well, I'd like to make
4	sure that all the Trustees have the copy that was
5	handed out with our application for acquisition that
6	was handed out today. It says at the top "2012
7	Application for Acquisition Committee Recommendations,
8	October 14, 2012." I'll be referring to that.
9	The first matter, Mr. Chairman, was the
10	committee recommended to the Board that we approve
11	\$150,000 for our donated easement minigrant program.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion
13	of the committee. Is there discussion?
14	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
16	the motion, say, "Aye."
17	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
19	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
21	MR. HESTER: Okay. The second matter
22	that we took up was to review the applications for
23	this year. As the chairman indicated earlier, we have
24	limited the total amount to \$600,000 because of our
25	limited funds that are available, and that amount is

1	\$5,512,227, as you can see at the bottom of the first
2	page. We considered military projects, and we gave
3	them priority. So when you look on this front page,
4	you will see that the full score is not in order of
5	score. If you look on that column, and the four
6	military projects that we approved are at the top.
7	Thereafter, the score is in order. But our committee
8	recommended to the Board that we fund well, I guess
9	I should read them into the record, Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Yes.
11	MR. HESTER: That we fund the
12	following projects: 2012-063, 2012-068, 2012-062,
13	2012-067, 2012-077, 2012-078, 2012-016, 2012-010,
14	2012-075, 2012-028. Those in full. And, lastly,
15	2012-008 to be funded at this time in the amount
16	\$482,367 with the further stipulation that the first
17	funds that are reverted to the Acquisition Committee
18	will be applied to 2012-008 until their \$600,000
19	request is met. And that is our recommendation.
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Okay. With with your
21	consent, we'll separate out the two that George Howard
22	has a conflict on, one and three. So, we'll vote
23	on on 2012-063 and 2012-062 first, with George
24	Howard recusing himself from consideration. And the
25	motion is to approve funding for those two projects in

1	the amount of \$600,000 each subject to the
2	availability of funds. Is there
3	MR. HESTER: That's correct.
4	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: is there discussion
5	on the motion?
6	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
8	the motion, say, "Aye."
9	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
11	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And the "Ayes" have it.
13	Now, the remaining projects that you read out, and I
14	think that there were a couple of amounts that were a
15	little bit different. So 2012-068 in the amount of
16	\$80,355; 2012-067 in the amount of \$600,000; 2012-077
17	in the amount of \$600,000; 2012-078 in the amount of
18	\$599,905; 2012-016 in the amount of \$400,000; 2012-010
19	in the amount of \$600,000; 2012-075 in the amount of
20	\$199,600; 2012-028 in the amount of \$600,000; and
21	2012-008 in the amount of \$482,367 subject to the
22	availability of funds. Is there discussion of that
23	motion?
24	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor

1	the motion, say, "Aye."
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
4	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And the "Ayes" have it.
6	MR. HESTER: Next, Mr. Chairman, we
7	recommend to the Board a list of provisional projects
8	that shall be considered should the committee
9	receive or to be received for acquisitions any
10	additional monies. That list I would like to point
11	out to the Board is in order of the full score.
12	However, should we receive additional funds, the
13	recommendation to the Board is that the staff and the
14	co-chairs of the Acquisition Committee consider the
15	amount of funds and the various projects on this list,
16	giving military projects priority as we did yesterday,
17	and determine the appropriate amount to award to each
18	one of those projects. So that is our recommendation,
19	that this provisional list be approved by the Board to
20	be funded after discussion between the staff and the
21	co-chairs as appropriate.
22	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. You've heard
23	the motion. I think one of the one of the
24	considerations is that there are some projects where
25	the amount requested for example, 2012-002 is only

1	for \$35,0000, and so if all of that is the third
2	project on the list by way of score. If there are
3	limited funds available, the chairs and the staff may
4	decide to fund that project just because of the amount
5	and that it would be could be an accomplished
6	project. Is that the idea?
7	MR. HESTER: That's part of the idea,
8	yes. And we also considered the military projects,
9	give them priority and look at each one individually
10	and decide where we go as we get as the funds are
11	reverted to the committee.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And we'll provide the
13	court reporter with a list of those projects with the
14	numbers.
15	MR. ROGERS: She's got it.
16	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Well, she has it. All
17	righty. Thank you.
18	MR. GARROU: Just a point of
19	clarification, Mr. Chairman?
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: John?
21	MR. GARROU: When Joe, when you
22	say give military projects priority, you do not mean
23	that they would necessarily all go to the top of
24	the top of the list?
25	MR. HESTER: That's correct. The

1	statute says we give them priority, and we should do
2	that, but not not automatically putting them at the
3	top of the list. We will consider them together with
4	the other projects, and have to give them priority of
5	some sort.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Stan?
7	MR. VAUGHN: Mr. Chairman, I'm
8	assuming also that this this carried forward is
9	provisional only for this budget year?
10	MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. To June 30th.
11	MR. VAUGHN: That's my understanding.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. We've got
13	the same issue with George Howard, so we'll the two
14	projects for the Coastal Land Trust, 2012-060 and
15	2012-061, we'll vote on separately. Those two
16	projects would be a part of the list to be considered.
17	All in favor of that motion, say, "Aye."
18	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
20	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And the "Ayes" have it.
22	Now, the remainder of the list, as submitted to the
23	court reporter, and as you have in front of you for
24	funding, if funds are available, subject to the
25	chair's and staff's placing an appropriate order. All

1	in favor of that motion, say, "Aye."
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
4	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And the "Ayes" have it.
6	MR. HESTER: Finally, Mr. Chairman,
7	on the handout there are two other matters. One is
8	the committee recommended to the Board that we not
9	fund, basically, projects for the the enumerated
10	Projects 24 through 46. And I think the court
11	reporter has those, or the reporter has those rather.
12	And so we recommended that those projects not be
13	funded.
14	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
15	Is there discussion?
16	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
18	the motion, say, "Aye."
19	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
21	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
23	MR. HESTER: The last thing on this
24	document, enumerated Numbers 47 through 55, there were
25	a number of withdrawals and requests for withdrawal,

1	and we recommend to the Board that they accept those
2	withdrawal requests.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
4	Is there discussion of that motion?
5	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
7	the motion, say, "Aye."
8	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
10	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And the "Ayes" have it.
12	MR. HESTER: Next, we considered a
13	number of I don't see Nancy's handout here. I have
14	it here. We considered a number of projects where
15	modifications modifications were requested from
16	various projects. The first one, F-D, is a request of
17	modify project scope and budget for the Town of
18	Sparta, 2008-069. The committee recommends to the
19	Board approving the Town's request to fund an
20	alternative section of greenway, and modify a project
21	budget.
22	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
23	Is there discussion?
24	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor

1	the motion, say, "Aye."
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
4	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
6	MR. HESTER: Next matter, F-E is a
7	request for reduction in match and project scope for
8	Blue Ridge Conservancy, 2010-004. The committee
9	recommends to the Board approving the request to
10	reduce the scope match and Clean Water Management
11	requested funds to \$804,600.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
13	Is there discussion?
14	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
16	the motion, say, "Aye."
17	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
19	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
21	MR. HESTER: Next is F-F. It's a
22	request for exchange of acres, City of Kinston, Neuse
23	River Floodplain, zero [<i>sic</i>] 2005A-006A. The
24	committee recommendation to the Board is to accept
25	Kinston's request of the exchange of acres along the

1	Neuse River for the residential parcels of greater
2	acreage and water quality protection.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
4	Is there discussion?
5	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favoe
7	the motion, say, "Aye."
8	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
10	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
12	MR. HESTER: The last matter, Your
13	Honor [<i>sic</i>] Your Honor [<i>sic</i>] Mr. Chairman, Your
14	Honor [<i>sic</i>], we would never mind. We had a
15	discussion about the need to develop some sort of
16	protocol having to do with requests to change in
17	various ways our Clean Water Management Trust Fund
18	conservation of easements, and that we do not have a
19	procedure or a policy at this time to do that. The
20	committee agreed to meet with the staff sometime
21	before our February meeting to discuss this. And the
22	agreement is that the resident staff will work with
23	the co-chairs to finalize a date. As always, all
24	Trustees would be invited to that meeting so that we
25	can discuss those matters and try to come up with some

1	recommendation for the Board. And I think I think
2	that would conclude our committee's recommendations.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much.
4	Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway Committee report,
5	Yevonne?
6	MS. BRANNON: Mr. Chair, in August the
7	Restoration/Stormwater/Greenway Committee discussed
8	whether to develop a fully scoped for the greenway
9	projects and decided that we would not do that this
10	year. The Greenway project proposals were not
11	considered urgent. And given the limited funds and
12	the high quality of the restoration and stormwater
13	proposals, the committee, at this time, recommends not
14	funding any greenway projects this year.
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
16	Is there discussion?
17	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
19	the motion, say, "Aye."
20	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: As opposed say, "No."
22	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And the "Ayes" have it.
24	MS. BRANNON: Also, you will find at
25	your place today the new list based on the committee's

1	work yesterday of the projects that were recommended
2	for funding and those not to be funded. If you would
3	look at those, you'll see that we considered 55
4	applications for the restoration and stormwater
5	projects. We are approving for funding those projects
6	listed on lines 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 17. These are
7	projects 2012-722, 2012-721, 2012-437, 2012-433, 2012-
8	434, and 2012-438. We're recommending that these
9	projects receive the funding as allocated on the
10	handout and given to the court reporter. Those are
11	the committee's recommendations.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. Because
13	MS. BRANNON: Oh, wait one second. We
14	have a recusal on this.
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Yeah. Because of
16	Karen's conflict, we will vote on Project Number 2012-
17	438 separately.
18	MS. BRANNON: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: So the first motion will
20	be for the other five projects, Numbers 1 through 5 on
21	the list. And the motion is to approve funding for
22	those projects in the amounts: 2012-722, \$200,000;
23	2012-721, \$200,000; 2012-437, \$400,000; 2012-433,
24	\$399,995; and 2012-434 in the amount of \$400,000
25	subject to the availability of funds. Is there any

1	discussion on that motion?
2	MR. HOWARD: Yes, there is. Mr.
3	Chairman, excuse my absence.
4	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: George?
5	MR. HOWARD: Well, I brought it up
6	yesterday in the informal group, and I'll just repeat
7	what I said then. I think that the staff has done a
8	fine job ranking the proposals. They're supposed to
9	look at environmental metrics only, I would think. I
10	haven't seen anything in their charge that would bring
11	up what I think is an important consideration for the
12	larger Board, which is the likelihood of the project
13	getting done without our money. Okay. And Duke
14	University, I went and looked it up last night. I
15	think I gave you two incorrect numbers. I said that
16	the endowment was \$2 billion, and mentioned something
17	about a basketball coach making 15 million, which I
18	don't think is terribly relevant. But it was just
19	trying to show that these are it's a fairly flush
20	institution. I looked it back up last night. The
21	endowment is actually \$5.2 billion, and it earned \$54
22	million in interest last year.
23	So to fund this project will be one percent
24	of one percent of their endowment, or one percent of
25	their interest this year. Okay. I just don't find,

1 in these difficult times with the average North 2 Carolina taxpaying household paying \$4,000 -- that's 3 100 households -- I think you'd have a tough time 4 gathering 100 households that wanted to pitch in 5 \$4,000 each to pay for Duke restoring a stream. I'm not terribly impressed with the project. From what I 6 7 understand, the stream restoration, it's generally preferred, not at all times, but preferred to be in 8 9 places that have a lack of vegetation. It's a 10 completely forested system. It looks to me like a 11 demonstration project. There are plenty of stream 12 work projects in the ground out there that can already serve as demonstration projects. There are ones that 13 14 are going in that they could be designated so, that 15 are being done for -- as toward mitigation or 16 otherwise. 17 I just don't think it's compelling to assist 18 Duke University in cleaning up their campus. Thev 19 have a capital projects program that I'm sure this 20 would be a grounding area. So I ask for the 21 committee's support to, with all due respect, take 22 that off the agenda and ask them to come back, 23 perhaps, with a better match. 24 This 38 percent, I'm a little bit 25 disappointed that the next one down that will

1	presumably move up, was just around there. It was
2	30 I think it was 36, and Duke was 38. But I got a
3	feeling that the resource institutes squeaks nothing
4	than probably didn't have the the resources at
5	hand to step up with any more money, whereas I'm sure
6	Duke did. So I'd like to take it off the approval
7	list and ask them to come back with a better match.
8	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Is a part of your motion
9	to move 2012-441 up to take its place?
10	MR. HOWARD: That that's what I
11	was thinking. But, of course, that's subject I'd
12	be interested in, you know, more senior members and
13	how you all have dealt with this before. It looks
14	like a fine project, and I have some knowledge of the
15	group.
16	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: But so your motion is
17	just to delete Project 2012-433 from funding?
18	MR. HOWARD: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. Is there a
20	second to that motion?
21	MR. GARROU: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: There is a second. Is
23	there a discussion?
24	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Well, the way I
25	understand the motion, it's not that we would delete

1 It's that we would go back to Duke and say, "We it. 2 need more match"; is that correct? 3 MR. HOWARD: Yes. Yes. 4 MS. CRAGNOLIN: You know, if they come 5 up with some more money, we would still fund it; is 6 that --7 MR. HOWARD: Well, it's not a 8 permanent rejection by any means. 9 MS. CRAGNOLIN: Okay. That's what I 10 understood. Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Well, is your motion to 12 just not fund it at this time and put it on a -- the 13 provisional list? 14 MR. HOWARD: On a provisional list, 15 yes. 16 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. Okay. All 17 right. To clarify, is that agreeable with you, Mr. 18 Garrou? 19 MR. GARROU: It is. 20 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. Stan? 21 MR. VAUGHN: Mr. Chairman, while I 22 know where the improvement would be made happens to be 23 on the Duke campus, Duke University is not the 24 applicant. It's the Durham Soil & Water Conservation 25 District. So I'm assuming they went through the --

1	their process, and this was the project they thought
2	needed to be done. I think I understand your
3	argument, but I think it's it's a precedent
4	that'd will be an argument and I'm not sure
5	we've ever made in the past. If not, that doesn't
6	mean we can't make it today.
7	MR. HOWARD: No, no, no. That's
8	fine. It's an excellent point. It is Durham County
9	Soil & Water. But I would question their process if
10	they can't find something better in Durham County to
11	do than this project at this time.
12	MS. BRANNON: And that's another
13	issue.
14	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Joe?
15	MR. HESTER: I'd just like to
16	reflect, sort of, the same thoughts as Stan. This is
17	the first time we've ever considered it this way. And
18	so I don't think the applicants well, I know the
19	applicant certainly wasn't aware that there was
20	that we might take a different approach because of it
21	being on the Duke campus. And, to be honest, this is
22	the first time I've thought about it, and I appreciate
23	George bringing it up. But as per this cycle, I would
24	support the committee's recommendation from yesterday.
25	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Further discussion? The

1	motion is to amend the committee report by removing
2	2012-433 from the approved list and placing it on the
3	provisional list. Those in favor of the motion, say,
4	"Aye."
5	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
7	BOARD MEMBERS: No.
8	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Nos" appear to have
9	it. The "Nos" have it.
10	MR. HOWARD: Can I get a recorded
11	vote on it, please?
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: We can ask for a show of
13	hands. Everybody in favor of the motion, raise your
14	hand. Three? Four. Of those opposed, raise your
15	hands. Nine. So the motion fails nine to four.
16	Now the motion before you is the
17	recommendation of the committee. Those in favor of
18	that motion, say, "Aye."
19	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
21	MR. HOWARD: No.
22	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
23	MS. BRANNON: Now, we now, we need
24	to vote on
25	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Now we need to vote on

1	2012-438
2	MS. BRANNON: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: RiverLink. The
4	committee's recommendation is to fund that project at
5	the amount of \$400,000. Karen Cragnolin abstains from
6	that vote. Those in favor of the motion, say, "Aye."
7	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
9	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
11	MS. BRANNON: Mr. Chairman, we also
12	yesterday discussed keeping projects active for
13	consideration if there's any funds converting to
14	restoration stormwater. And we have those listed also
15	on the handout in front of you. Those projects are
16	listed in the order in as which we would recommend
17	them for funding if funds become available. And they
18	are Projects 6, 2012-441, 2012-435, 2012-444, 2012-
19	445, 2012-440, 2012-431, 2012-718, 2012-719 [sic],
20	20 no, that's it. I'm sorry. Could you I would
21	like to end that at 2012-714 [<i>sic</i>] line 14; 718,
22	line 14. Those projects were recommended for
23	provisional approval if reverted funds become
24	available.
25	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.

1	Is there discussion?
2	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
4	the motion, say, "Aye."
5	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
7	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
9	MS. BRANNON: If you'll turn over to
10	page two of your handout, we have here projects that
11	are not recommended for approval in the 2012 funding
12	cycle: Projects 2012-719, 2012-436, 2012-406, 2012-
13	705. And I believe that we have a recusal on 2012-
14	719.
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: All right. You've heard
16	the motion. We'll vote first on 2012-719, and Karen
17	Cragnolin will recuse herself from consideration. Is
18	there discussion of that motion with respect to that
19	project 2012-719?
20	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not so many as favor
22	the motion, say, "Aye."
23	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
25	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)

1	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
2	Now, the motion is before you for with respect to
3	2012-436, 2012-406, 2012-705. Is there discussion on
4	that motion?
5	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
7	the motion, say, "Aye."
8	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
10	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
12	MS. BRANNON: Also, you'll note
13	notice in your handout we have Group 2. This is
14	these are projects that scopes were not prepared on.
15	But the committee would like to also recommend, for
16	the record, not approving these projects in the 2012
17	funding cycle. And these are listed on the handout
18	that we've provided to the court reporter. And this
19	is our recommendation.
20	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the
21	recommendation. Is there discussion?
22	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
24	the motion, say, "Aye."
25	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

Γ

1	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Opposed say, "No."
2	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
4	MS. BRANNON: Mr. Chairman, we also
5	had a few projects that had asked to be withdrawn and
6	not considered for this cycle of funding. Those are
7	projects 2012-442, the Resource Institute; 2012-443,
8	Polk SWCD; 2012-408, the North Carolina Division of
9	Soil & Water Conservation. These three projects we
10	are recommending to accept their request to withdraw.
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
12	Is there discussion?
13	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
15	the motion, say, "Aye."
16	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
18	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
20	MS. BRANNON: Also, Mr. Chairman, we
21	had several other items to come before the committee.
22	We had H-C, which is 2008-405 project, the Macon Soil
23	& Water Conservation District. The Macon requested
24	the transfer of \$412,269.20 balance in this \$420,000
25	grant to Macon County for completing the scope of

1	work. The committee recommends transferring the grant
2	as requested.
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
4	Is there discussion?
5	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
7	the motion, say, "Aye."
8	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
10	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
12	MS. BRANNON: Also, if you will look
13	at H-D, these are projects that were not under
14	construction contract within a year. These projects
15	have current contract dates of 10/10/2012. Both of
16	these recipients request the extension of their
17	contract to April the 10th, 2013. This is Pilot View
18	Project Number 2011-012; and the Resource Institute
19	Project 2011-416. The staff believes that these grant
20	recipients have good cause for delaying their
21	construction contract dates. The committee recommends
22	extending these construction contract dates as
23	requested.
24	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
25	Is there discussion?

Γ

1	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
3	the motion, say, "Aye."
4	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
6	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
8	MS. BRANNON: If the Board would
9	please turn its attention now to Item H-E, 2011-407,
10	the National Committee for the New River. As a result
11	of a miscommunication with the U.S. Corps Army
12	Corps of Engineers about the amount of the matching
13	funds, followed by the withdrawal of their entire
14	match, the National Committee for the New River
15	requests the following changes to their grant
16	contract. Their original grant amount was for
17	\$250,000. They were requesting to revise the scope of
18	the work, which is adding to add updated the stream
19	enhancement design, obtaining permits, and soliciting
20	construction bids, to remove the enhancement of three
21	acres of wetlands, and to reduce the stream
22	enhancement from 3,730 feet to 3,428 feet.
23	In addition, they would like to reduce the
24	matching funds from \$1,706,100 to \$573,000, the
25	percent of match from 87 percent down to 70 percent.

1	And last, they would like to extend the construction
2	contract date from 10/10/2012 to April the 10th, 2013.
3	Staff believes that the new National Committee for the
4	New River has good cause for delay in the construction
5	contract date. The staff has spent a considerable
6	amount of time reviewing this project. And based on
7	their recommendation, the committee concurred that we
8	recommend revising the scope of work, reducing the
9	amount of matching funds in the budget, and extending
10	the construction contract date as requested.
11	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
12	Is there discussion?
13	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
15	the motion, say, "Aye."
16	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
18	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
20	MS. BRANNON: The next item is H-F.
21	This is the 2010-709 Project for the Town of Colerain.
22	This town has completed the land acquisition and
23	construction of the wetlands using their grant of
24	\$204,000. The budgeted amount is NCDOT's cost of
25	replacing a culvert near the wetlands. The North

1	Carolina Department of Transportation found ways to
2	reduce the cost of the culvert, and spent just
3	\$22,708.58, much less than 60,000 in the project
4	budget. The town requests reducing the match in this
5	budget from 66,000 to 29,305.58. The percent of the
6	match would be go from 25 percent to 13 percent.
7	The committee recommends reducing the amount of
8	matching funds in the budget as requested.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
10	Is there discussion?
11	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
13	the motion, say, "Aye."
14	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
16	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
18	MS. BRANNON: H-G, the 2010-431
19	Project, the Surry Soil & Water Conservation District.
20	This project completed its design for restoring a
21	stretch of Horn Creek in Pilot Mountain State Park.
22	This original grant amount was listed for \$125,000.
23	The match listed had been the \$10,000 cost of
24	relocating the park facilities in the flood lane, but
25	this funding has been delayed repeatedly. The

1	applicant, now recipient actually, requests
2	substituting the cost of comparable work the park has
3	completed near the stream breach as a match in the
4	budget. The committee recommends substituting the
5	cost of the comparable work as matched in the budget.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
7	Is there discussion?
8	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
10	the motion, say, "Aye."
11	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
13	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
15	MS. BRANNON: Finally, if you will
16	look at H-H, this is a 2008-1030 Town of Wake Forest.
17	The town requests closing out this grant contract
18	without having completed the full grant contract scope
19	of work. The project was to have evaluated the
20	effectiveness of flooding the vegetated islands or
21	removing nutrients for constructed wetlands by placing
22	the islands in one or two similar parallel wetlands
23	constructed primarily for this purpose. The two
24	wetlands have not performed similarly, and have not
25	been suitable for the intended evaluation. And the

1	town has not been able to correct the problem. Clean
2	Water has reimbursed them for \$257,392.84 of the
3	project's final cost of \$508,157.26. The Town is
4	prepared to absorb most of the project's monitoring
5	costs that was budgeted, and to contribute 49 percent
6	of the final project cost, 42 percent match in the
7	total project budget. The committee recommends
8	approving the town's request to close out the grant,
9	and making no further reimbursements.
10	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the
11	motion. Is there discussion?
12	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
14	the motion, say, "Aye."
15	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Karen?
17	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Joe, I'm sorry. I
18	thought we had a discussion about asking them for some
19	final reports so that we could this was an
20	innovative stormwater, and we wanted some more
21	information from them as a sort of, a benchmark,
22	correct? Or did we?
23	MR. ROGERS: Well, I think what what
24	staff proposed was to come back in February and take
25	the whole slate of projects that were funded in 2008

1	as innovative stormwater, and report back on those
2	projects about the projects, how they've gone and the
3	outcomes we've had on those that are completed, and an
4	update on those that are still in progress.
5	I don't and we can also give you any
6	information on this. The point of this project is it
7	just never got to a point where they could do any
8	any comparison or monitoring as it relates to the
9	different they couldn't establish a control on a
10	stormwater holding pond, so it never held water. So
11	it just doesn't really have any data that I know of.
12	I know, Kevin, if I'm not correct there, you
13	can correct me.
14	MR. BOYER: There are some data, but no
15	conclusions that are that are of practical benefit.
16	We can report on that along with the other innovative
17	stormwater projects.
18	MS. CRAGNOLIN: No. I think do it all as a
19	group. That's fine.
20	MR. ROGERS: We will. We will.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Further discussions?
22	MR. HOLLAN: I think Karen's point
23	was that we knew that some of these are not going to
24	work.
25	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Right.

5-2012 Page 68

1	MR. HOLLAN: They were innovative by
2	definition. Some of them were not going to work. But
3	from a I mean, we have a duty to the state to say
4	why they didn't work, and what happened, and this one
5	didn't work, and get it in the files, and then we'll
6	know better than to do it again.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Further discussion?
8	MR. BOYER: Well, it never got
9	started.
10	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
11	the motion, say, "Aye."
12	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
14	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
16	MS. BRANNON: Mr. Chairman, that
17	completes the committee report.
18	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much.
19	We'll go next to infrastructure and wastewater.
20	Aaron?
21	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	The Infrastructure and Wastewater Committee met
23	yesterday, and took action on two items. Our first
24	item was Item I-B, discussion of construction projects
25	not under construction contract within one year. The

1	committee recommends approval of the proposed dates by
2	which grant recipients will enter into a construction
3	contract. The agenda has proposed dates for each
4	contract.
5	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
6	Is there discussion?
7	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And, Amy, you have a
9	copy of that with the numbers and so on?
10	COURT REPORTER: I think so. I'll find
11	it.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Okay.
13	COURT REPORTER: It's here.
14	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, we can read them
15	into the record.
16	MR. ROGERS: She's got it. She's got
17	it.
18	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've got it.
19	COURT REPORTER: I'm sure it's here.
20	MR. ROGERS: Okay. She's got it.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any discussion?
22	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, all in favor of
24	the motion say, "Aye."
25	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
2	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
4	MR. THOMAS: Okay. The second item,
5	Agenda Item I-C was a request to revise the project
6	scope of work for the town of Trenton, a wastewater
7	project, 2004A-511. The committee recommends
8	approving the town's request to proceed with the phase
9	approach of identifying any construction needs of
10	sewer system collection improvements to reduce
11	excessive infiltration inflow followed by land
12	application to eliminate the discharge.
13	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
14	Is there discussion?
15	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
17	the motion, say, "Aye."
18	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
20	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
22	MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, the final
23	item, as information only, the staff gave an update on
24	the status of the Handy District, failing on-site
25	systems and wastewater treatment plant decommissioning

1	project. And the staff will also have more
2	information as to the status of close-out at the
3	February meeting. That concludes our report.
4	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much.
5	Program Committee, Bill Hollan and John Garrou?
6	MR. HOLLAN: Mr. Chairman, the
7	program committee met this morning at 8:30 and
8	reviewed several materials that were in the package
9	that was mailed out. The first would be Item J-B,
10	agenda item "Future Drinking Water Supply Reservoir
11	Criteria." The General Assembly in 2011 added some
12	language to our statute which required us to consider
13	enhancement or development of drinking water supplies,
14	and that the money be used to preserve lands. It
15	could be used for water supply reservoirs and the
16	preservation of property for establishing clean water
17	supplies.
18	The staff has done a good did [sic] a
19	good deal of work on this. And, in our last Board
20	meeting, we reviewed the extent to which our current
21	criteria already had been involved in the preservation
22	of buffers around drinking water supplies,
23	particularly in around Charlotte and the Raleigh
24	area, Lake Jordan. And so we're doing a pretty good
25	job with that already, but we wanted to focus some

1 special attention on it. 2 We -- you appointed a work group, Mr. 3 Chairman, at the last meeting who met on September the 4 10th, and had some subsequent follow-up calls. The 5 group was Renee Kumor, Christine Mele, Kevin Markham, and I. And we met with members of the staff. And we 6 7 make the recommendations that are shown on J-B. In 8 effect, we want to add two points to the possibility 9 evaluation criteria for any proposed reservoir that 10 has concurrence from DENR Division of Water Resources. 11 At the current time, there is only one in the state, 12 and that would be the Deep River project that would be 13 proposed to serve Raleigh. And then we would add five 14 points to the potential number of points in the 15 evaluation criteria for any project that has received 16 a statement or record of decision for the development 17 of a reservoir. The handout includes the language 18 statement of "Reasonable Assurance" or "Record of 19 Decision." The committee is going to recommend that 20 we strike that "statement of Reasonable Assurance." 21 So, for the court reporter, if you could strike that 22 in the second bullet point? 23 COURT REPORTER: (Complies.) 24 MR. HOLLAN: And any -- any proposed 25 reservoir that has a record of decision would be

1 eligible for five additional points. This would --2 the criteria would also apply to a new intake in a 3 river rather than a created reservoir. 4 We also determined that our existing 5 conservation easement is inconsistent with the development of a reservoir. And so to the extent that 6 7 we are directed to preserve lands that could be used 8 for water supply reservoirs, we need to address that 9 The -- we've asked the attorney general concern. 10 representative to attempt to come up with a mechanism 11 by which our current [sic] -- our future conservation 12 easements can either be self-extinguishing or have some mechanism under which they can be made consistent 13 with the potential for a reservoir. And we also asked 14 15 her to look into the question of whether or not this 16 might impact on -- potentially impact on donated 17 conservation easements and with respect to the state 18 credit for that, and with the federal tax deduction. 19 So we don't want to do anything that would impact 20 that, to the extent that that's possible. 21 We wanted to clarify that the reservoirs 22 have to be for public drinking water supplies only, 23 and these are not agricultural or industrial types of 24 reservoirs, and that we'll work closely with DENR, 25 because they'd be the ones who would be permitting

1	these Department of Water resources.
2	We the other recommendation is that these
3	be handled through the Acquisition Committee. There
4	was some discussion about whether a reservoir is more
5	of a utility project and it would more naturally fall
6	within the Wastewater Committee. But the thought is
7	since these are buffers and in advance of a reservoir,
8	that it should stay in the Acquisition Committee.
9	Finally, we recommend that after the final
10	location has been permitted, and this is when when
11	we get the five points, that our funds would not be
12	used to acquire lands that would end up being
13	inundated under water, but they would be for buffers,
14	that the funds would be used only for the purchase of
15	the buffers or the land, and not for construction of a
16	new reservoir. The match would have to be in cash.
17	We would not permit matching from design, permitting,
18	construction, pumps down, anything else. The buffers
19	would need to be permanently protected with an
20	easement or deed restrictions. We would not reimburse
21	funds for lands that had already been purchased by an
22	applicant. These are for existing reservoirs. And
23	consistent with our current policy, our funds would
24	not could not be used to acquire properties that
25	have been acquired through condemnation.

1	And then further we give guidance to the
2	staff that acquisition of lands to buffer upstream
3	tributaries would be preferred over acquisition of
4	lands in the area anticipated to be inundated. So
5	that's the committee recommendation with respect to
6	this Item J-B.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Okay. You've heard the
8	committee recommendation. It will require an
9	attachment to the to the minutes with the documents
10	that we reviewed as amended. Is there discussion?
11	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
13	the motion, say, "Aye."
14	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Any opposed say, "No."
16	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
18	MR. HOLLAN: Mr. Chairman, next we've
19	got a RFP update from Richard and Nancy. Richard, do
20	you want to summarize that?
21	MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. Per the Board
22	action in August where the Board allocated up to
23	\$175,000 to look at proposed water drinking water
24	supply, watershed planning efforts, we have, since
25	that time on September 10th, posted the RFP requesting

1	proposals for these projects. The posting will be up
2	through or up to December 15th, at which time the
3	deadline is for those submittals to be in.
4	We are getting some interest. I have talked
5	with the Council of Government directors down in New
6	Bern. I presented to them the RFP. There's interest
7	there.
8	We've also I've also gone and talked with
9	the Catawba-Wateree Group of all the surface water or
10	drinking drinking water systems in the Catawba
11	River Basin. And, again, I have interest. And I
12	think opportunity for collaboration between land trust
13	and water supply units in that area, and look forward
14	to applications coming in. Staff will review those,
15	score those, and then present those to the Trustees at
16	our February Board meeting.
17	MR. HOLLAN: Thank you. Are there any
18	questions for Richard?
19	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
20	MR. HOLLAN: All right. If not, we'll
21	move on to Item J-D. And you also had a handout or
22	not a handout, but in your Board package that was
23	mailed to you, there was an agenda Item J-D-1 relating
24	to the funding recommendations for proposals for what
25	we're calling "Management Funds."

1	Our stewardship funds are designed to
2	reimburse the land trusts for actions in monitoring
3	the easements. They go out periodically and make sure
4	that there are no violations in the easements, take
5	photographs, send back reports to us. But from time
6	to time, they find a need for additional, sort of,
7	special projects that would enhance their ability to
8	protect these properties under the easement. We at
9	the June meeting of Trustees, we allocated up to
10	\$20,000 to be used to make grants to these individuals
11	for special projects that would enhance their
12	abilities. The ones that have been approved are
13	detailed on J-D-1, and you can see its 8,800 [<i>sic</i>]
14	I'm sorry \$8,640 as recommended by the staff. And
15	you have matching resources of 15,782. These are for
16	items such as a fence, a gate, some restoration of a
17	native plant community, and some reforestation. And
18	the committee recommends that we approve the
19	allocation of these funds as shown on agenda item
20	J-D-1.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: You've heard the motion.
22	Is there discussion?
23	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, so many as favor
25	the motion, say, "Aye."

1	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Those opposed say, "No."
3	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: The "Ayes" have it.
5	MR. HOLLAN: Mr. Chairman, that
6	concludes the report of the Program Committee.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you very much.
8	That concludes the agenda, other than discussion. Is
9	there any any items of discussion any members of
10	the Board would like to bring forward?
11	BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: If not, thank you for
13	Karen?
14	MS. CRAGNOLIN: Yeah. I just want to
15	say I'm really excited that we're going to start doing
16	a newsletter. I think it's a wonderful outreach, and
17	even if and Yevonne just said, even if you don't
18	"Somebody doesn't read the whole thing, getting that
19	headline is a reminder." And if we can feature
20	projects across the state with testimonials from key
21	people, that makes a difference. And not to be too
22	frivolous, but people love pictures. They do. And we
23	can highlight a lot of folks and great stuff that this
24	group has accomplished across the state. So I'm real
25	excited about that. If I can help in any way, I'd

1 love to. 2 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Thank you, Karen. 3 Again, thanks to all of you for your participation and 4 meetings between Board meetings -- sessions. Amy, 5 thank you for being with us this morning; Mary, thank 6 you for being with us. 7 MS. LUCASSE: Uh-huh (yes). 8 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: Staff, we appreciate all 9 of your work. You make these meetings run smoothly. Chairs, great job. Anything further? 10 11 MR. ROGERS: One thing. Don't forget 12 to pick your parking pass up. I was reminded, like, 13 six times to say that, so I finally got it. But make 14 sure you pick parking passes up, and you'll --15 CHAIRMAN MCMILLAN: And return your folders 16 to Penny. 17 See you in February. Have a great 18 Halloween, Thanksgiving, and Christmas. MR. ROGERS: 19 And New Year's. (Meeting adjourned at 11:01 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY - COURT REPORTER

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF WAKE
)

I, Amelia W. Mount, Court Reporter, Notary Public in and for the above county and state, do hereby certify that foregoing CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING was taken before me at the time and place hereinbefore and was duly recorded by me by means of stenomask and speech recognition; which is reduced to written form under my direction and supervision, and that this is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, a true and correct transcript of the meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Clean Water Management Trust Fund held at 512 North Salisbury Street, Ground Floor Hearing Room, Raleigh, North Carolina, on the 15th day of October, 2012.

I further certify that I am neither of counsel to this agency or interested in the event of this agency on this 23rd day of October, 2012.

> Amelia W. Mount, Court Reporter Notary Public, Wake County, North Carolina Notary Number: 20021680310