CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 3, 2015

10:03 A.M.

WILLIAM G. ROSS CONFERENCE CENTER

121 WEST JONES STREET

RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

A P P E A R A N C E S

BOARD OF TRUSTEES:

Troy Kickler, Ph.D., Chairman

Frank Bragg

William Toole

Johnny Martin

Renee Kumor

Frederick Beaujeu-Dufour

ALSO PRESENT:

Terry Murray Will Summer Nancy Guthrie Larry Horton Gwyn McCullough Hailey Medlin Bill Crowell Shawn Maier, Board Attorney Bryan Gossage Scott Pohlman Jimmy Johnson Judy Francis Tom Massie

1	
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	MR. KICKLER: Good morning everyone. I
4	would like to welcome everyone to the
5	June 3rd, 2015, full board meeting of the North
6	Carolina Clean Water Management Trust Fund. For
7	the record, we are located at 121 West Jones
8	Street in the William G. Ross Conference Center in
9	the Nature Research Center.
10	We have a few perfunctory and important
11	duties to perform before we commence with old
12	business and new business, and one of those is the
13	call for attendance. When I say your name, please
14	indicate you're here by saying "here," "present,"
15	or something appropriate.
16	For the record, I, Troy Kickler, am in
17	attendance.
18	Frank Bragg?
19	MR. BRAGG: Present.
20	MR. KICKLER: Greer Cawood?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. KICKLER: Fred Dufour?
23	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Present.
24	MR. KICKLER: Robin Hackney?
25	(No response.)

1 MR. KICKLER: Renee Kumor? 2 MS. KUMOR: Present. 3 MR. KICKLER: Johnny Martin? MR. MARTIN: Present. 4 5 MR. KICKLER: William Toole? MR. TOOLE: Present. б 7 MR. KICKLER: Charles Vines? 8 (No response.) MR. KICKLER: We have six members of the 9 10 Clean Water Management Trust Fund Board present. 11 We have a quorum, so we will proceed on with the 12 agenda. As always, I am required by Statute 13 14 138A-15 to read verbatim that statute and inquire whether any trustee has a conflict or the 15 appearance of a conflict of interest. 16 17 "General Statute 138A-15 mandates that the Chair inquire as to whether any Trustee knows 18 of any conflict of interest or the appearance of a 19 20 conflict of interest with respect to matters on the agenda. If any Trustee knows of a conflict of 21 22 interest or the appearance of a conflict of 23 interest, please state so at this time." 24 (No response.) 25 MR. KICKLER: Remember, if you are

1	reminded in some fashion that there is a conflict
2	of interest or the appearance of one, you could
3	always state so during the meeting, but please
4	state so before the agenda item is being
5	discussed.
6	Please, as a courtesy, put your cell
7	phones on vibrate or turn them off.
8	Our next agenda item is Call to Order
9	1(e). You have received the agenda and had the
10	time to look over it. Are there any revisions or
11	additions to the agenda?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. KICKLER: Nothing from staff?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. KICKLER: All right. Hearing that
16	there are no revisions, is there a motion to adopt
17	the agenda for June 3, 2015?
18	MR. TOOLE: So moved.
19	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Toole.
20	Is there a second?
21	MR. BRAGG: Second.
22	MR. KICKLER: Thank you Trustee Bragg.
23	All those in favor say "aye."
24	(Trustees respond.)

25 MR. KICKLER: All those opposed?

1	(No response.)
2	MR. KICKLER: Agenda has been approved.
3	Our next item is Consent Agenda. Consent
4	items are non-controversial items unanimously
5	recommended for approval by all involved parties.
6	A single vote may be taken to approve all items
7	under the consent agenda. However, if a trustee
8	wants to remove an item, it could be placed under
9	old business to be discussed further. As you can
10	see, there is only one item on the consent agenda
11	this time, so in essence, a vote will need to
12	consent agenda is, essentially, a vote on the
13	minutes of the March 2015 meeting.
14	Is there any discussion on the consent
15	agenda?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. KICKLER: Is there a motion to adopt?
18	MR. TOOLE: So moved.
19	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Toole.
20	MS. KUMOR: Second.
21	MR. KICKLER: Second, Trustee Kumor.
22	Thank you.
23	All those in favor, say "aye."
24	(Trustees respond.)
25	MR. KICKLER: Opposed.

1	(No	response.)			
2	MR.	KICKLER:	Consent	agenda	has	been

3 passed.

The next item under Commencement 3 is a 4 5 Legal Update. Mary Lucasse, our counsel from the Attorney General's Office, is not able to be here б 7 today. Shawn Maier, our other counsel from the 8 Attorney General's Office, is present today and serving as our counsel. Mary and Shawn have a 9 10 legal update, so I will turn the time over to 11 Shawn.

12 MR. MAIER: The only item on our legal 13 update this morning is to let you all know that we 14 attended -- Chairman Kickler, Bryan Gossage, and 15 I, a mediation Coffey versus Edgemont and Clean 16 Water Management Trust Funds. There were more 17 last Thursday. We will -- I am recommending that we discuss the contents of that mediation in a 18 closed session at the close of this meeting this 19 20 morning.

21 MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Shawn. Next 22 item is Executive Director's Update. As you know, 23 many events have been transpiring since last full 24 board meeting, both at the staff level and in the 25 General Assembly in the budget cycle.

1 Executive Director Bryan Gossage, would 2 you like to share updates? MR. GOSSAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 As usual, you have several items in your blue 4 5 folder in front of you. You have correspondence, snapshot of the budget where we are at, as well as б 7 updates to provisional lists where our funding has 8 gotten us through since you all voted on those last year. The House has released their budget. 9 10 They did that several days ago. The Senate is 11 working on theirs. And, of course, we have the 12 Governor's recommended budget. 13 The House budget recommends a very 14 significant increase in grant funding for the 15 Clean Water Management Trust Fund to the tune of 16 about \$12 million. It's nonrecurring, so one-time 17 funds for grants, as well as a separate pot of \$2 million for military-related buffering 18 projects, and then there was a half a million 19 20 dollar amount in there for the nutrient management strategy projects, just like we had this past 21 22 year.

23 There is also a recommended study of the 24 departmental reorganization that is going on that 25 involves elements of DENR, specifically the

Cultural Resources, and that part of the recommended study -- it recommends moving the Land and Water Stewardship office, which the Clean Water Management Trust Fund is a part of, over to the Cultural Resource Agency. We will await action on that. The administration's perspective is that the office should remain within the existing agency, Department of Environment. So we will have to see what the Senate does, and then see how things progress from there. And that is all I had, Mr. Chairman.

Natural Resources Division and the Department of

13 MR. KICKLER: Thank you.

14 MR. TOOLE: May I ask a question?

15 MR. KICKLER: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

MR. TOOLE: What does it mean to transfer to the Cultural Resources from Natural Resources, practically speaking?

MR. GOSSAGE: Well, there would be some very real changes, and then other things wouldn't change. I, for example, wouldn't expect us to physically move offices, because the building that we are in is a museum building, and that would move over to the Cultural Resources Agency. In all likelihood, we will remain exactly where we

1	are. As far as administrative support, HR, all of
2	those types of functions, they would then be
3	switched over to the new agency. So we might have
4	to make some contract changes, just in terms of
5	the wording on things. So there would be
6	workload. It's more organizationally than
7	anything.
8	MR. TOOLE: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. KICKLER: All righty. Thank you,
10	Bryan. We will move on to old business.
11	The first matter of old business, Old
12	Business 1, is the Administrative Committee
13	recommendations. The Administrative Committee has
14	been working a long time on several items, and I
15	believe at least one of them is in the
16	recommendations here under old business. They
17	have been taking a lot of time and forming an
18	in-depth examination into some of these policy
19	recommendations. Charles Vines was a member of
20	the Administrative Committee, but due to health
21	concerns, he is temporarily unable to serve on
22	that committee. So I, as the Chair of the Clean
23	Water Management Trust Fund, and as an ex officio
24	member of that committee, I'll serve temporarily
25	on that committee until Trustee Vines is able to

return and to work on that committee and to work
 on the full board.

Greer Cawood is chair of the 3 4 Administrative Committee. However, she is not 5 here today. So with that context in mind, I have б asked Trustee Johnny Martin, a member of the Admin 7 Committee, and he's been a member since the board 8 was reconfigured. He has been dealing with many of these issues for a while. I asked him to make 9 10 a few remarks about the Administrative Committee 11 recommendation, and if he could deal with the time 12 of presentation. So I will turn the time over to 13 him.

14 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 First all, I would like to thank the staff. They 16 have worked really hard on a number of these items 17 for Nancy, Will, and Larry. Thank you for all the 18 work that you have done, and I'd also like to 19 thank Greer for all her work in leading the 20 effort. I'm sorry you have to listen to me today. 21 Greer is much more succinct and professional than 22 I am, but we will muddle through.

23 We will just go on into -- I guess we 24 will do these one at a time, and we will start 25 with item (a), which is the allocation of grant

1 funds for the 2015 applications. And before I 2 turn it over to Nancy to give you some background, roughly, we are the -- the Committee is 3 recommending that 75 percent of funds be allocated 4 5 to acquisition projects, 20 percent of funds be allocated to stream restoration projects, and up б 7 to 5 percent of the funds be allocated into 8 innovative storm water projects. Any planning applications will be reviewed and funded from the 9 10 project allocation for the project type that the 11 plant would develop. The Committee further 12 recommends that, if the innovative storm water 13 projects do not warrant 5 percent of the funds for 14 innovated projects, then any funds allocated to 15 storm water projects be allocated 2/3 to 16 acquisition projects and 1/3 to fund stream 17 restoration projects. To briefly summarize, it's pretty much 18 19 the same breakdown we had last year. And the 20 reason that it came up that way is because, if we 21 look at the number of applications and the dollars 22 requested for this current funding cycle, it about 23 lines up again to that 75, 20, and 5 percent split with the amount of dollars for innovative storm 24

25 water not being quite up to 5 percent. So that's

1 why we put in the caveat that, if we don't think 2 the projects warrant the 5 percent, that we would 3 take some funds out of that and reallocate it to 4 stream restoration. And I turn it over to Nancy 5 to give more of the background.

MS. GUTHRIE: Thank you. There is not a б 7 lot that I think I need to add, other than to just 8 remind everyone that last year, when the Administrative Committee was looking at this and 9 making the recommendations in 2014, a lot of the 10 11 historic data from the Trust Fund was also considered. So the allocations that Johnny has 12 13 given is holding very true to the Trust Fund over 14 the years, as well as the most recent year in the request that's being received. So I will turn it 15 16 back over to you.

17 MR. KICKLER: Any discussion or questions18 for Nancy for the Admin Committee?

19 (No response.)

20 MR. KICKLER: Were you getting ready to 21 say something?

22 MR. MARTIN: Sure. So there again, 23 Committee recommendation would be, for the 2015 24 grant cycle, 75 percent of funding be allocated to 25 acquisition projects, 20 to stream restoration,

1	and up to 5 percent of funds be allocated to
2	innovative storm water projects. The planning
3	applications would be reviewed and funded from the
4	project allocation of the project type that the
5	plan would develop, and the Committee further
б	recommends that, if the innovative storm water
7	projects do not warrant 5 percent of the funds for
8	innovative projects, that any funds allocated, but
9	not awarded to innovative storm water projects be
10	allocated 2/3 of the funds to acquisition projects
11	and $1/3$ of the funds to stream restoration
12	projects.
13	MR. KICKLER: Is there any discussion on
14	the recommendation that's on the
15	MR. BRAGG: I have a question.
16	MR. KICKLER: Yes.
17	MR. BRAGG: Is that the same allocation
18	that we had last year?
19	MR. MARTIN: Yes, sir.
20	MR. BRAGG: Okay.
21	MR. TOOLE: Move the question.
22	MR. KICKLER: All those in favor say
23	"aye."
24	(Trustees respond.)
25	MR. KICKLER: All those opposed.

1 (No response.) 2 MR. KICKLER: The Administrative 3 Committee's recommendation under Old Business 1(a) has been approved. 4 5 Now we will move on to Old Business, Administrative Committee recommendation 1(b), б 7 which is Eminent Domain Policy, and I will turn 8 the time over to Johnny for a few words and presentation. 9 10 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Thereagain, I want to thank everyone for their 12 hard work. We understand this is a serious issue 13 and appreciate the discussions of staff in the 14 last meeting. One of the things that we, as the 15 Clean Water Management Trust Fund, is not involved 16 so much in wastewater projects anymore. Those are 17 winding down. So we don't envision, in talking 18 with staff, that eminent domain issues will be 19 coming up nearly as often. Should not be too 20 often. The only time when we might be running 21 into them is for some restoration-type projects or 22 some greenway-type projects might be the only time 23 we run into them. So we think this issue will be, kind of, winding down. But with that, I will turn 24 25 it over to Larry to give us a little more

1 background.

2	MR. HORTON: Thank you. So the
3	Administrative Committee met a couple times to
4	talk about this, and we had a lot of input from
5	legal counsel, and the this issue was brought
6	up at the February meeting, and it was given back
7	to the Administrative Committee to do some more
8	work on it.
9	In your board packet, there was an
10	attachment that talks about the purpose, it gives
11	a little bit of the background, and we really
12	worked hard the Committee worked hard to try to
13	do a good job on addressing the purpose and the
14	background. I will be glad to answer any
15	questions about that.
16	The policy that we came up with, I
17	will that the Administrative Committee came up
18	with, I will go ahead and read that.
19	"Clean Water Management Trust Fund grant
20	funds may be used to pay for the cost of the land
21	acquired by a grant recipient, regardless of
22	whether the land was acquired through that grant
23	recipient's right of eminent domain, as long as
24	the grant award is consistent with the objectives
25	for the use of Clean Water Management Trust Fund

1 grants, which are set forth in N.C. General 2 Statute 113A-256(b). Clean Water Management Trust Fund grant funds may not be used to pay for legal 3 fees or costs incurred by the grant recipient to 4 5 acquire land through condemnation actions under the grant recipient's right of eminent domain, б 7 unless approved by the Board of Trustees of the 8 Clean Water Management Trust Fund in writing prior to the action. The trustees shall review a grant 9 10 recipient's request to use funds for legal fees 11 and costs to acquire land through condemnation on 12 a case-by-case basis. Any request shall be provided, in writing, by the grant recipient." 13 14 So that's the policy. I will be happy to answer questions, if there are any. 15 16 MR. MARTIN: The only thing I will add is 17 that we did take some time to put things into 18 purpose to, sort of, reiterate the fact that we 19 don't have the right to invoke eminent domain on 20 projects, and we would rather fund projects. And 21 it's the preference of the Clean Water Management 22 Trust Fund to fund projects, unless landowners are 23 ready to sell land in a timely manner. So we did put some things in there to state that we really 24 25 would rather fund projects that do not have issues

1	with eminent domain, but there again, we still
2	don't think we will be involved with many projects
3	with that, but just given the fact that we still
4	have restoration-type projects, greenway-type
5	projects, that the applicant may have to invoke
6	eminent domain. We still feel like those projects
7	are of merit in certain cases. So with that, I
8	will just, then, state that our so the
9	Committee's recommendation is to adopt the policy
10	concerning eminent domain.
11	MR. KICKLER: Is there any more
12	discussion or any questions?
13	MR. TOOLE: I was not on the Committee.
14	I commend your work. I appreciate the strong
15	recommendation that we avoid eminent domain
16	matters, but we reserve the opportunity on a
17	case-by-case basis to evaluate a particular
18	project. I can just say that it would be very
19	controversial, in the part of the state where I
20	operate, for this fund to support a project that
21	involved a greenway. There are greenway projects
22	that are now engaged in eminent domain, and they
23	are not well received. So I think you all struck
24	that right balance, and I thank you for that.
25	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Toole.

1	Are there any other comments or any more
2	discussion?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. KICKLER: Administrative Committee's
5	recommendation is on the table. If not, all those
6	in favor say "aye."
7	(Trustees respond.)
8	MR. KICKLER: All those opposed.
9	(No response.)
10	MR. KICKLER: Hearing none, no
11	objections, the administrative policies
12	recommendation spelled out in Old Business 1(b)
13	has been approved. And I will move on to the next
14	agenda item, which is Administrative Committee
15	recommendation 1(c), and once again, I will turn
16	the time over to Trustee Martin for a few words.
17	MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	What you have in front of you today and we will
19	give you some background is we had a request
20	for an easement amendment request, and I will let
21	the give you the full background, but after
22	reviewing the request, the Committee
23	recommendation was to not approve this request,
24	and the main reason why was that we felt that what
25	we were what the request included was what we

would end up with an overall reduction in riparian
 protection with no specific public benefit
 identified. So in order to, kind of, give you the
 background on how we came to that conclusion, I
 will turn the time over to Will.

MR. SUMMER: Thank you. The brief б 7 background in this, in 2004, the Clean Water 8 Management Trust Fund Board awarded a grant to Caldwell County to purchase 400 acres and place 9 10 conservation easement on approximately 180 of 11 those acres. At that time, the County had intentions at some point in the future to 12 13 developing a drinking water reservoir. At some 14 point in 2012, the County decided not to pursue 15 that option and sold their fee interest to two 16 separate private owners. One of these owners is 17 Mr. Tony Jones, and he has submitted the request. There is a letter in your board packet if you 18 would like to read it, but I will explain his 19 20 request now.

Essentially, looking at the map here, his property is what is outlined in red, and our easement is what is crosshatched in blue on his portion of the property. And what he has proposed is that we change our easement here in blue, which

1	is approximately 17 acres, for a working land
2	easement where he would be allowed to perform
3	agricultural practices in this area, and the
4	riparian easement would change, essentially, to a
5	25-foot buffer on the main stream there. In
б	exchange for that, he proposed that he would put
7	an additional 26 acres of his land, shown in
8	purple here, under a working land easement, which
9	would also include a 25-foot buffer on
10	approximately 250 linear feet of stream right here
11	crosshatched in yellow.
12	So the bottom line is that the riparian
13	buffer easement, which is currently 17 acres,
14	would go to approximately 1.6 acres under this,
15	though the total working land easement would be
16	approximately 41-and-a-half acres. And that's it
17	in a nutshell. I will take any questions.
18	MR. KICKLER: All right. The
19	Administrative Committee has made a recommendation
20	to not approve this request. Are there any
21	questions, any discussion, any comments regarding
22	this particular agenda item?
23	MR. TOOLE: Johnny, could you tell us why
24	you all made that recommendation?
25	MR. MARTIN: Well, essentially, the main

1	issue was the level of protection under our
2	current easement, you know, is much greater for
3	the 17-acres, and that level of protection would
4	change from 17 acres down to 1.6 acres. Now,
5	there is he was allowing for that difference
б	between that to be a working land easement. So
7	there would be some level of protection, but it
8	would still be allowed to be used for other uses.
9	So the main issue was the fact that the level of
10	protection was not going to be the same, and the
11	area that was protected to the level of our
12	original easement was going to be shrinking from
13	17 acres down to 1.6.
14	MR. TOOLE: Thank you.
15	MR. KICKLER: Any other comments?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. KICKLER: All those in favor of the
18	Administrative Committee's recommendation to not
19	approve this request under Old Business 1(c)
20	please say "aye."
21	(Trustees respond.)
22	MR. KICKLER: All those opposed.
23	(No response.)
24	MR. KICKLER: Administrative Committee
25	recommendation to not approve this request has

1	been passed. Okay. Moving on to the next item,
2	Old Business 2, which is Stewardship Endowment,
3	something that the Trust Fund deals with, I
4	believe, on an annual basis. I will turn so we
5	may need a little bit of a refresher here, so for
6	that, I will turn the time over to Will.
7	MR. SUMMER: Thank you. So as
8	Dr. Kickler said, once a year we engage the Board
9	to make decisions on our stewardship endowment.
10	This includes our policies and through the
11	agreement we have with the treasurer's office on
12	the way we manage the investments for the
13	endowment. This, basically, pays for two
14	functions. One is the monitoring contracts that
15	we encumber with land trust to monitor all of our
16	conservation easements that exist, and the second
17	is a much smaller pot of money that we put as the
18	stewardship management award.
19	It's essentially a \$2,500 mini grant that
20	we make two holders of the easements to make
21	improvements that affect the conservation values
22	on those properties. Those might be, for
23	instance, adding a gate to prevent trespass or
24	dumping, or the moving of evasive species that are
25	threatening some of the native species on the

1 tract. These are two uses we have for these
2 monies. We get them from the interest that is
3 earned in our endowment. Terry's already on the
4 second page now, and I will go over the details of
5 our endowment.

6 So at the top of this page there are 7 three blocks that represent each of the three 8 investment types. We have our long-term 9 investment fund in the first block, our short-term 10 investment fund in the second, and our equities in 11 the third, and down here are the totals on each 12 one.

13 MR. GOSSAGE: Terry, can you zoom in14 on --

MR. SUMMER: There we go. So in thesecond column -- I think that would work.

17 So the second column is our principal. 18 This represents all of the specific deposits that 19 we have made since 2004 when we established this 20 endowment associated with each project. So once 21 Nancy closes a project, whatever was in that grant 22 for the stewardship endowment is put into our 23 principal, and over the years we have a sum total of a little over \$2.6 million in the principal, 24 25 line 16, second column there.

1	Now, we could never spend below that for
2	any of our purposes. It's a non-wasting
3	endowment, but anything that is earned in interest
4	is what we use for our stewardship management
5	awards and our monitoring contracts. Anything
б	that falls under the realm of stewardship for our
7	easements.
8	So we go and look at the second to last
9	column, under total value, you could see the total
10	value of each fund. And you see that it is
11	significantly more than what we have in principal,
12	and the difference between those two is our total
13	interest, which is available to the Board to use
14	for these purposes.
15	On line 18 in the green box, the total
16	interest we have over all the years that we have
17	been in the endowment is actually \$932,000 and
18	change. It's quite substantial. This fiscal
19	year, to date, in the box above that on line 17,
20	it's \$199,000 and change. And the only important
21	thing you need to take away from that is what I am
22	going to ask you to withdraw from the endowment in
23	a moment is significantly less than we have
24	available to us. So we are in good shape there.
25	The other important figure on these three

1 tables is the last column, the percent of fund 2 balance, which I have also summarized right here in the next block in the green squares. So what 3 we have, as we sit today, in our long-term 4 5 investment fund we have current 9.6 percent of our total values in that fund, and 19.7 percent is in б 7 our short-term investment fund, and 70.7 percent 8 is in our equity fund. Now, per our arrangement with the treasurer's office, these are the ranges 9 10 that we are permitted to be in. So between 5 and 11 25 percent for the long-term investment, between 0 12 and 35 percent for the short-term investment, 13 which we are also within and between 55 and 14 75 percent equities, which we also are in. 15 One of the recommended board actions is 16 to accept our current fund balance without 17 investments. If the board would like to, it's 18 certainly up to you to adjust within that range, but the staff recommendation, just based on us not 19 20 being out of that range, is to leave it as it is. If you will scroll down, please, Terry. There we 21 22 qo. That's perfect. 23 So below the black line here are our expected expenses for the next fiscal year. As we 24 25 said today, we have a current cash reserve of

1 \$28,599.70. Expected costs for stewardship 2 contracts for the next fiscal year is \$108,242.56, and my expected requests for management funds are 3 going to be \$18,500. So considering our current 4 5 cash reserve and what we have expected, we need to withdraw from the endowment to meet our expected б needs, \$98,143.16. 7 8 Separate from that, what we will add to the principal for the next fiscal year -- and this 9 10 is based on nine projects that closed, and Nancy 11 has forwarded that to me. So the total endowment 12 from those nine projects on line 26 is \$103,589. 13 So that goes into the principal of the endowment, 14 and on the other end, I request that you withdraw \$98,000 off of the endowment. 15 16 So with that, Terry, if you will go back 17 to the first page where we can see the four. Keep 18 going a little higher. There you go; one, two, 19 three, four. 20 I will just summarize the Board actions 21 needed and take any questions. So the first 22 action is to approve the staff recommendation or 23 approve, not approve, or amend the staff recommendation to allow up to \$18,500 for 24 25 stewardship awards for our policy. Staff makes

1 those awards, but the Board sets money aside for
2 those awards.

The second action is to allocate money for the stewardship monitoring contracts. As I have shown on previous sheet, the expected expenses are \$108,243.

7 The third action is to approve that we 8 make a deposit of \$103,589 to the principal and withdraw \$98,143 in interest to fund the program 9 10 expenses, and if the Board approves that, this is 11 a -- there will be recorded in a sheet that the chairman will sign and I will send to the 12 13 treasurer with our request so they know the Board 14 has approved that action.

15 And finally, the last action is to 16 approve, not approve, or amend the staff 17 recommendation to keep the fund at the current 18 allocation, approximately 7 percent equities, 20 percent short-term, and 10 percent long-term 19 20 investment funds. If the Board sees fit, they 21 could certainly make adjustments within the range, 22 but that's at the Board's discretion. With that, 23 I will take any questions.

24 MS. KUMOR: Renee Kumor. Could you just25 please refresh my knowledge of the stewardship

1	contracts? Are they once you get a contract,
2	you have it as long as the land exists?
3	MR. SUMMER: They are annual contracts,
4	but we anticipate they will go on as long as the
5	land and the land trust both exist.
б	MS. KUMOR: So that every year, as we put
7	out more appropriations and funding, the request
8	can increase?
9	MR. SUMMER: Exactly. So with the nine
10	projects that Nancy had given me, there will be
11	nine new projects that I add to the existing
12	projects.
13	MS. KUMOR: And they will, again, move
14	like a bulk through the years so that we can award
15	all these monies?
16	MR. SUMMER: Exactly. Because the
17	easements are perpetual, we will almost never take
18	anything off the rolls. We'll just continue
19	adding onto the rolls as the Board awards
20	projects.
21	MS. KUMOR: Thank you.
22	MR. BRAGG: Mr. Chairman?
23	MR. KICKLER: Yes, sir.
24	MR. BRAGG: Frank Bragg. I just want to
25	comment that this is an excellent report, and I

1	talked to Will briefly before the meeting. I
2	think I am the only carrier that came from the
3	previous board that was on the committee that set
4	this up. So I will claim credit for it. Not
5	sure. We had some pretty good people who worked
б	over a period of years to come up with the
7	strategy, but it has worked, and it's always fun
8	with the stock market that makes us look good.
9	I do want to comment that it's important
10	for us to realize, and this is directly to your
11	question, we have a long horizon, because this is
12	a permanent conservation easement, so this needs
13	to be a sustained fund that goes on forever.
14	Second point is that the allocation of
15	the monies at 70 percent equities, in today's
16	market, some of us might say, well, that's pretty
17	aggressive. But in the scheme of things, with the
18	long horizon, it's almost impossible, we think, to
19	try to time the market. So I do recommend that we
20	stay with the 70 percent equity, 30 percent fixed.
21	The one change that we made a couple
22	years ago was going to a 20 percent for the
23	short-term part of the portfolio, which gives us
24	less volatility. If interest rates rise I
25	could have said when they rise, but you know, the

1	talking heads have been saying interest rates are
2	going to rise for 18 years, and they have not
3	risen yet. Eventually, inflation will come back,
4	but we hedge with going to the shorter term
5	exposure to our bonds, but it is an excellent
6	report, and so we are in great shape. Thank you,
7	Will.
8	MR. SUMMER: Thank you, Will.
9	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Bragg.
10	I am glad you volunteered that information,
11	because with the background, I was going to ask
12	you to say a few words.
13	Are there any other questions, comments?
14	Yes, sir, Trustee Toole.
15	MR. TOOLE: I recognize this is a
16	perpetual fund and there are going to be perpetual
17	needs. Are we over-funded, or is it premature to
18	even guess?
19	MR. SUMMER: It's interesting you bring
20	that up. Not this year, but because we got in as
21	the market was rebounding, there is quite a bit.
22	There are before in our early history, we did
23	one of several things. We either gave the land
24	trust the money for them to manage, and in many
25	cases we just said it's your responsibility to

1 steward this and provide them with no funds. And 2 so we have almost as many easements that we have endowed, just we have an MOA between us in the 3 land trust because, per our agreement, when we 4 gave you the grant money, you will continue to 5 monitor and submit these reports. б 7 It's my interest, perhaps over the next 8 year, to try to pick up some of these old ones 9 that we don't have any funds for and perhaps put a 10 proposal before the Board and say take some of 11 this money out of the interest and put it back in the principal, associate it with particular 12 13 projects from the backlog, and hope to have all 14 the projects that we have not paid money for for 15 endowment included in this, so we have money to do 16 what we are going to do, but also, in case the 17 land trust, for any reason, are not able to do 18 that, we will have the money to have somebody else 19 to monitor our easement for us. 20 MR. TOOLE: So the answer is, it's premature to evaluate that, but it's a thought 21 22 process? 23 MR. SUMMER: There is certainly a lot more in interest than we use. 24

25 MR. TOOLE: Thank you.

1	MR. KICKLER: Any other questions?
2	MR. BRAGG: I might add that that could
3	disappear by close of the market today. You know,
4	30 percent corrections happen and you don't have
5	to do much math to see that all that could
б	disappear. So it's a nice cushion that we have,
7	but one that we certainly don't want to look at
8	spending. So it's we will find ways to do
9	something else with it. I like how it looks.
10	Let's stay the course with our present strategy.
11	MR. KICKLER: Any other comments or
12	questions for Will or staff in general about this?
13	MR. MARTIN: I was just going to add
14	thank you, Trustee Bragg, for you and the previous
15	people who looked at that, and I might want to
16	talk to you after the meeting and see if you can't
17	help me out.
18	MR. TOOLE: I move we adopt all four and
19	approve each of the four requests for Board action
20	in a single vote. Can we do that?
21	MR. KICKLER: I was going to add for
22	MR. TOOLE: I withdraw the suggestion.
23	MR. KICKLER: I think it's best that we
24	deposit money before we take money out, formally
25	speaking. So I was going to ask, for clarity

1	sake, if we go through, we start with number 3,
2	then 4, then 1 and 2, for those purposes there.
3	So for the record, we are depositing money before
4	we are taking money out.
5	Is there a motion to approve Old Business
б	2 is there a motion to deposit \$103,589 to the
7	endowment principal and withdraw \$98,143 in
8	interest to fund the program expenses?
9	MR. TOOLE: So moved.
10	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Is there a
11	second?
12	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Second.
13	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Dufour.
14	All in favor say "aye."
15	(Trustees respond.)
16	MR. KICKLER: Opposed.
17	(No response.)
18	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. The motion has
19	carried.
20	Is there a motion to keep the fund type
21	after current allocation and make deposits and
22	withdrawals at approximately the same percentage?
23	MS. KUMOR: So moved.
24	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Kumor.
25	MR. BRAGG: Second.

1	MR. KICKLER: Second. Thank you,
2	Trustee Bragg. All those in favor say "aye."
3	(Trustees respond.)
4	MR. KICKLER: All those opposed.
5	(No response.)
б	MR. KICKLER: The motion has carried.
7	Is there a motion to allocate up to
8	\$18,500 for stewardship management awards?
9	MR. BRAGG: So moved.
10	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Bragg.
11	MR. MARTIN: Second.
12	MR. KICKLER: Second by Trustee Martin.
13	All those in favorite say "aye."
14	(Trustees respond.)
15	MR. KICKLER: All those opposed.
16	(No response.)
17	MR. KICKLER: The motion has carried.
18	Is there a motion to allocate \$108,243
19	for stewardship monitoring contract?
20	MR. BRAGG: So moved.
21	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Bragg.
22	Is there a second?
23	MS. KUMOR: Second.
24	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Kumor.
25	All those in favor say "aye."

1 (Trustees respond.) 2 MR. KICKLER: All those opposed. (No response.) 3 MR. KICKLER: The motion has carried. 4 5 Thank you, trustees, for your patience for clarification. I appreciate that. б 7 We will now move on to the next agenda 8 item, which is New Business 1, North Carolina Department of Agriculture assistance request for 9 10 forest stewardship plans. And I will turn the 11 time over to Nancy Guthrie. MS. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 The North Carolina Forest Service, which is a 14 division of the North Carolina Department of 15 Agriculture and Consumer Services, has submitted a 16 grant request for \$30,000 to develop forest 17 stewardship plans and practice plans. There is a 18 lot of information in your board packet from the 19 Forest Service. The request is there that 20 explains the plans. But very generally, the plans are written 21 22 by the Forest Service. They are written for the 23 benefit of the landowners to help them manage 24 their forest areas. Focusing on 13 different 25 elements, and several of them overlap with Clean
1	Water's interest, including protecting streams
2	from sedimentation, managing for diverse biology,
3	managing/protecting any cultural and historic
4	sites that might be on the properties. So there
5	is some overlap with Clean Water's purpose for
б	what the plans are written to project and help the
7	landowners really manage in the best possible way.
8	The plans are written across the state,
9	but for the request to Clean Water that's in front
10	of you, it's a pilot to focus on the properties
11	where the land use would be promoted for a
12	compatible use with existing military installation
13	and training routes, engaging the landowners to
14	keep their properties and forest management,
15	helping the military with its training and
16	encroachment issues at the same time.
17	There are a few things to note on the
18	request. The request is being submitted outside
19	of the grant cycle. It's \$30,000. In considering
20	the request, also consider that Clean Water Trust
21	Fund has its donated easement program, which, in
22	the past year, set aside \$200,000 to assist with
23	bringing in donated easements with land trust, but
24	the demand for that funding has been very low. So
25	there is a set of some funds that have been set

1	aside separate from the grant allocations, the
2	larger projects, that has been identified as your
3	consideration for funding the proposal in front of
4	you.
5	I will stop there and see if you have
б	questions. If there are questions specific about
7	the projects, we do have Sean Brogan with the
8	North Carolina Forest Service here, and then we
9	will try to answer any other questions you have.
10	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Nancy.
11	Are there any questions for Nancy?
12	MS. KUMOR: Yes.
13	MR. KICKLER: Yes, Trustee Kumor.
14	MS. KUMOR: What would be our
15	relationship to the property, or any properties or
16	plans? Is there anything that comes back to the
17	Trust Fund? An easement or
18	MS. GUTHRIE: There is not. These are
19	the plans that would be conducted by the Forest
20	Service, and then the Forest Service would have
21	the relationship with the landowner.
22	MS. KUMOR: And is there any requirement
23	that the landowner even follow the plans?
24	MS. GUTHRIE: Do you mind if I
25	MR. KICKLER: The Chair will recognize

1 and who --

2 MS. GUTHRIE: Sean Brogan. MR. KICKLER: Sean Brogan. The Chair 3 will recognize Sean Brogan for some of these more 4 5 specific questions. б MR. BROGAN: Good morning. I appreciate 7 your willingness to hear this consideration. То 8 address your question, the question of will they follow the plans, each year we do a random 9 10 sampling monitoring of the plans, and we found 11 that 80 percent are implementing the plans. And 12 there are several different types of plans. 13 Stewardship plans are the ones where the criteria 14 really matches up with the Board's missions and 15 things they are interested in. There is practice 16 plans as well that help with, say, reforestation, 17 and the implementation rate for those is even 18 higher.

19 I think it's worth noting, this is in the 20 backdrop or with the backdrop of a grant effort 21 with the military, the U.S. Forest Service, and 22 Wildlife Resources Commission. We are one of two 23 states in the nation trying to pilot this effort 24 to engage private landowners around these military 25 installations. And when you look at typically

1	what the Board has focused on, acquisition
2	easements, and really, this is aimed at large
3	demographic of private landowners that don't want
4	to sign an easement, that don't want to sell their
5	land at this point. I think only 4 percent of
6	forest landowners have an easement. So the
7	question is, how can we engage private forest
8	landowners out there around these DOD
9	installations and try to inform them, educate
10	them, prepare them to manage their land and keep
11	it as a working forest. The effort to try to have
12	the partners work together to find incentives to
13	do everything we can to have these remain working
14	forests is at the heart of this.
15	MS. KUMOR: Thank you.
16	MR. KICKLER: I had a couple of
17	questions. One is, where does the \$30,000 go and
18	where does it stay? I mean, agriculture doesn't
19	stay in the Department of Agriculture. And I'm
20	curious to know a little bit about the context of
21	this request. Why is it a forest now? Seemed a
22	little different.
23	MR. BROGAN: Bryan, would you like to
24	address that?
25	MR. GOSSAGE: Sure. In conversations

1 that Ag staff and Clean Water staff have had, this 2 is a project that they have. I know it's somewhat new, in terms of a pilot project. From staff 3 perspective, the question was, does this meet the 4 5 overall mission of the Clean Water Management Trust Fund as prescribed by the legislature, and б 7 there was enough of an overlap there with cultural 8 resources, with military mission, with water quality, with natural heritage to at least bring 9 10 the request for you guys. 11 As Nancy mentioned, from a funding 12 perspective, there is that pot of about \$200,000 13 set aside for the donated mini grants that there 14 is a lot of planning work that those funds are 15 currently used for, surveys, and things like that. 16 So it seemed that there was a relationship there 17 from a funding perspective, as opposed to a more 18 traditional grant and a grant application cycle. So that's kind of where it came from and how it 19 20 ended up in front of the Board today. 21 MR. KICKLER: Where does the money --22 does the money stay within the Department of 23 Agriculture? MR. BROGAN: It would help defray the 24

cost of visiting the site, preparing the plan.

25

MR. KICKLER: Okay. Trustee Bragg. 1 2 MR. BRAGG: The farm stewardship forest 3 program is an excellent program. I live on a farm that's designated as a stewardship farm. 4 I have 5 another farm in the mountains that's a stewardship farm. So I believe very much in the stewardship б 7 farm program. It seems to me that it's not in 8 keeping with the mission of the Clean Water Management Trust Fund. Just because we have a few 9 10 dollars that we didn't spend, doesn't mean we need 11 to go looking around to find some other agency 12 that might have some good ideas. And this is a 13 great idea, but we are in the business of 14 permanent land protection, permanent conservation 15 easements. And the stewardship forest can be 16 extinguished today and the land developed 17 tomorrow. 18 I think, if I were a senator or a member 19 of the House, that I would not want to see this 20 agency, Clean Water, giving money to other 21 agencies, even though it's a good cause. I like 22 the stewardship forest program, but I don't think 23 it's in keeping with our mission. 24 MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Are there any 25

other questions?

1	(No response.)
2	MR. KICKLER: I had another question.
3	For clarity sake, even if this is awarded, there
4	is still going to be some leftover money. Where
5	will that leftover money go? Does it go to next
6	year's acquisition cycle, or does it return to,
7	like, the provisional list for this cycle? Where
8	does that money end up?
9	MS. GUTHRIE: And I could answer that for
10	you. The funding was set aside until June 30th
11	for the donated easement program. At that point,
12	it rolls forward into the Clean Water funds that
13	move forward, and also the appropriations that
14	would be awarded in August, when you are looking
15	at projects.
16	MR. KICKLER: Okay.
17	MS. KUMOR: Mr. Chairman, I had another
18	question.
19	MR. KICKLER: Yes, ma'am.
20	MS. KUMOR: I would ask this of Bryan.
21	This is a new kind of request in your dealings
22	with all the other agencies, as you implied you
23	all meet. Does this open the door for a lot of
24	other requests for monies that we have? What
25	criteria are we changing criteria of how we

1 spend our money?

2 MR. GOSSAGE: I'm not aware of any other request. I haven't had any other agency come and 3 ask to address -- and again, I'm not taking a 4 5 position on this, either for or against. For me, it had to meet a couple of criteria just to б 7 consider putting it on the agenda. Was it related 8 to the mission of the Clean Water Management Trust Fund? Were there funding available to meet the 9 request? Those are the hurtles for me. And 10 11 beyond that, I'm not for it or against it. So I 12 want to make that clear.

13 To kind of tie that thought with the 14 comment that Trustee Bragg made, I would say that, 15 having an easement on a property -- having a 16 permanent easement on a property is the goal of 17 the Clean Water Management Trust Fund, but it may 18 not be an on or off switch, where today you don't 19 have one and then tomorrow you do. There is a 20 process that you might go through that you might 21 say, this is a broader process than simply not 22 having an easement today and having an easement 23 tomorrow.

24 So as the Board has considered these 25 other concepts of a temporary easement or forest

1	stewardship plan, what are the types of activities
2	that go on in this whole conservation world that
3	might walk you toward having a permanent easement
4	on property, rather than just, again, that black
5	and white, we don't have one, now we do. So if
б	there are other parts of that process that, you
7	know, get you there, then I might see other
8	agencies make those requests make similar
9	requests. I don't know if that answers your
10	question or not. So if there are, I'm not aware
11	of them, is the best answer that I could give you,
12	but conceivably, there could be other things going
13	on out there in state government that could move
14	you from not having an easement today to some time
15	in the future having a permanent easement.
16	Sean, does that
17	MR. BROGAN: I think that's fair. And
18	Mr. Chairman, I think there is a couple of points
19	that arose that are worth mentioning. One of them
20	is the match that is being offered. You know,
21	right now, Camp Lejeune and Fort Bragg have come
22	to us and said, "Here's what's important to us,
23	geographically." They were the ones that
24	identified these priority areas. We have the
25	services, and the folks, and the footprint on the

1	ground to deliver this, and we have the
2	relationship with the landowners to do this.
3	So I hope you accept the fact that, in my
4	mind at least, this is a good program. I think
5	you make a good point, is this a good fit for the
б	Board? I think that's the question. If you look
7	at your priorities, one of them deals with
8	military buffering, and there is a heck of a lot
9	of private landowners that, again, are not ready
10	to sign on the dotted line for the easement for
11	sale, but there is other partners out there, like
12	ourselves, that have the capability, but we don't
13	have the funding. So I think that's a big
14	question is, you know, is this something in the
15	future that would fit with the Board's mission?
16	MR. KICKLER: I had a follow-up question
17	with that, and I know Trustee Martin, you raised
18	your hand, and Trustee Toole. We already have
19	existing planning. So I'm wondering how this is
20	similar and different to those planning grants
21	that we already have, if staff could clarify that.
22	MS. GUTHRIE: I will try and answer that
23	one too. The planning proposals that come in with
24	the larger grant cycle are typically preparing a
25	project that will come back to Clean Water for a

1	larger grant. Probably the easiest way to sum up
2	the typical planning project, so it will be a
3	group looking at an entire watershed and saying,
4	"What are the priority acquisition pieces in this
5	watershed to come back to Clean Water or other
б	sources to purchase that," or, "Where is the most
7	sedimentation coming in that a stream restoration
8	project would address?" That has been, kind of,
9	the historic application of the planning projects
10	that Clean Water has funded. I think that has
11	been a definition based on wanting to see projects
12	on the ground. This does expand that idea of
13	planning a little further, and it doesn't
14	necessarily bring back a project to Clean Water to
15	fund in the future.
16	MR. KICKLER: Okay. Thank you.
17	Trustee Martin, you had your hand up
18	first.
19	MR. MARTIN: The thoughts that I had
20	about it were and I see where Trustee Bragg is
21	coming from on his concerns. I guess one of the
22	things I was thinking about too is that and I
23	know that we, kind of, talked about this with the
24	temporary easements that we discussed at the last
25	mediation, and why I was for that was, having

1 grown up on a farm in Johnston County, you 2 understand how people have such a tie to the land, and they are probably unwilling to make that 3 commitment because they don't want to tie up their 4 5 future generations and their future generations' decisions. But the hope with this sort of б 7 temporary is that they see that, and the hope is 8 that it continues on. I guess what I see with this is that, you 9 10 know, sort of the same thing, that maybe these 11 people that aren't quite there yet -- ready to 12 turn it over permanently, but maybe if they see 13 how this works, and their sons and daughters sees 14 how it works, that the hope is that eventually it 15 will be turned over to something permanent. 16 Again, there is no guarantees, but again, with the 17 amount of investment that we are looking at here 18 too, I just -- also, as an engineer, you think 19 about numbers, and you think about what is the

20 potential return we would get for this \$30,000
21 investment. And while it is probably a little
22 outside the box, maybe what ultimately would come
23 out of it would be worth the investment. So I'm
24 just thinking out loud a little bit, but that's
25 just the comments I have.

1	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Trustee Toole.
2	MR. TOOLE: I'm sorry, I've already
3	forgotten your name.
4	MR. BROGAN: Sean.
5	MR. TOOLE: Sean. Is that with an S-H?
6	MR. BROGAN: S-E-A-N.
7	MR. TOOLE: S-E-A-N. What we just agreed
8	to allocate money for what did we do
9	acquisition, stream restoration, storm water
10	innovative storm water projects. This planning
11	process, where would that fall within those three
12	categories, or does it, and what we give me
13	some details on what that plan would look like on
14	the ground.
15	MR. BROGAN: So, typically, with a
16	stewardship, the landowner contacts the county
17	ranger, says, "I'm not sure what to do about
18	harvesting," or may have questions about insect or
19	disease, or, "I just inherited my land. My father
20	passed away." We would arrange to meet with them,
21	talk about their objectives. Prior to meeting
22	with them, we would go and pull up all the
23	information we could about the property. So then
24	we would do a tempered exam and a tract exam, and
25	on the back end of it, provide them with a plan,

and typically a stewardship plan would be, say, 8
 to 20 pages.

And if you look at the notes in your 3 handout, for stewardship, stewardship is the 4 5 Cadillac of our woodland plans. And it's a program that began in this state in 1990, and all б 7 of those resource elements are addressed if it's 8 present on the property. So let's say if you have degraded, you know, areas around streams that may 9 10 need some additional BMP work, best management 11 practices work. There would be technical 12 assistance that would be laid out, and we could 13 follow up with the landowner about restoration. 14 If there was a planned harvest, there will be 15 potential tree harvest information provided. You 16 know, really, it depends on the landowner's 17 objectives, what they say are important to them, 18 in combination with the resources on site, plus the planned activities, and that's where, you 19 20 know, we can provide some of the information. But 21 one of the most powerful networking abilities that 22 we could do is tie a landowner to one of our four 23 stewardship biologists, because there are RCW issues on the tract, or --24

25 MR. TOOLE: RCW means?

1	MR. BROGAN: Red-cockaded woodpecker.
2	MR. TOOLE: Those guys. Bugs and
3	bunnies, got you.
4	MR. BROGAN: Yes. They are a very good
5	partner of ours. But part of it is, a lot of
6	landowners, honestly, they may have just inherited
7	the land, they may be a new forest landowner. You
8	know, this is about empowering them to understand
9	what they have, but also opening up the doors for
10	them to understand who can help them.
11	MR. TOOLE: So this request would go into
12	a general category fund at the Forest Service
13	saying we are going to spend something more than
14	\$30,000 for plans in and around military
15	installations in the eastern part of the state,
16	basically, some of which will involve streams.
17	MR. BROGAN: Uh-huh. Any water resources
18	on the property, whether it's blue-line streams,
19	whether it's public water supply, things like
20	that, are addressed in the plans. And the way I
21	see it, we have already got a system in place with
22	the invoicing saying we would prepare the plans,
23	and then we would work with Bryan's staff to
24	provide those numbers of plans and the invoice for
25	reimbursement.

1	MR. TOOLE: What other sources of funding
2	are you all looking at, Sean?
3	MR. BROGAN: We engaged and already put
4	in, through Dr. Bob Barker at NC State, a request
5	for \$20,000 from the Marines. Paul Friday the
б	two points of contact for the military, you have
7	got Paul Friday with the Marines, you have got
8	John Chase with Fort Bragg, and both of them are
9	very positive about this, and they are looking to
10	bring money to the table. That's why, with the
11	information provided in your packet, I can't say
12	right now the military the Marines have given
13	the green light, but I have that's already been
14	put to sentinel landscapes, it's already been put
15	up to Paul Friday. I have every indication that
16	we'll be funded.
17	So really, the hope is, within X number
18	of months, maybe this fall, the ideal situation
19	would be a Clean Water Management partnership with
20	the Marines to provide these plans through our
21	development, probably to the Pender County
22	priority area, and John Chase can do the same with
23	the Fort Bragg monies.
24	MR. TOOLE: So the total funding for the
25	project, not just from Clean Water Management, the

1 total you all need is how much? 2 MR. BROGAN: We are being very conservative. My attitude is this is a pilot, and 3 we are just trying to figure out the kinks and 4 5 figure out this new partnership. What we requested, we could spend a lot more, but I don't б 7 want to ask more than we could comfortably spend. 8 What we have requested is \$30,000 from the Board to be matched with \$20,000. We could put up 9 10 the -- we don't have a lot of stewardship money, 11 you know, compared to eight years ago when our 12 stewardship funding from the U.S. Forest Service 13 was \$750,000. We are down to about \$260,000. And 14 if you look at the number of stewardship plans 15 being provided to the landowners in the state, we 16 used to provide about 400 stewardship plans. Last 17 year we did about 80. This is a funding issue. 18 We have the capability to provide landowners with 19 these plans and this know-how, but the funding is 20 tight. 21 MR. TOOLE: Do the landowners contribute 22 anything towards the preparation of the plan? 23 MR. BROGAN: Right now, the landowners have to pay everything for the plan --24 25 MR. TOOLE: I see.

1	MR. BROGAN: based on new legislation.
2	And what we have seen is, since that went into
3	effect, depending on which county you are looking
4	at, and which type of plan, whether it's a forest
5	stewardship plan or practice plan, we've seen
6	decreases of 30 to 60 percent. And that's big
7	picture, that's what concerns me, you know. My
8	goal here is to have more landowners informed.
9	More landowners with management plans in their
10	hand, to me, equates to better land management.
11	MR. TOOLE: Thank you.
12	MR. MARTIN: Just one more thing. So you
13	said you guys end up going back and monitored, and
14	what you found is, whenever you do these plans,
15	about 80 percent of the grant recipients tend to
16	implement the plan?
17	MR. BROGAN: We have been doing it for
18	about five years, and sometimes it's a little
19	less, a little more, but right about 80 percent
20	are implementing their stewardship plan.
21	MR. MARTIN: Just give the sense of an
22	average size of the acreage per plan. I know it
23	varies.
24	MR. BROGAN: Average size of a
25	stewardship plan, depending on which part of

1	you know, it's bigger in the east, but you may be
2	looking at 30 to 75 acres.
3	MR. MARTIN: Okay.
4	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Trustee Bragg.
5	MR. BRAGG: I just want to be clear that
6	I am a big advocate of stewardship forest, of the
7	program. My question is, approximately how many
8	stewardship forests exist in the state that are
9	designated already?
10	MR. BROGAN: I believe the number is over
11	3,000. When we write a forest stewardship plan,
12	the horizon is for 10 years. So if you look at
13	that 10-year period with current forest
14	stewardship owners and plans, I believe it's 3,000
15	plus. Typically, we write 5 to 7,000 woodland
16	management plans a year, and those are all sorts
17	of categories, the Cadillac of stewardship plans,
18	regeneration plans.
19	MR. KICKLER: Any other questions?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. KICKLER: Comments?
22	(No response.)
23	MS. KUMOR: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I really
24	am concerned with the issue of what does this
25	allow in the future? Who else will, then, come

1	looking at securing money that we have, and how
2	will we deal with it? That's a question you can't
3	answer, but that's my concern, that there will be
4	more people that see that there is some money
5	sitting quietly in our bank account.
6	MR. KICKLER: Right.
7	MR. GOSSAGE: Well, I would address that
8	by saying that, again, if we are closely related
9	enough to the mission of the Trust Fund, then I
10	would bring it to you. If it wasn't, then I
11	wouldn't. And so from the standpoint of the
12	Board's charge to oversee the distribution of
13	those monies to accomplish the mission of the
14	Board, it would be, in my view, preferential for
15	you to have options, and have choices, and to be
16	able to see, you know, various ideas and concepts
17	like this, and then to make your decisions.
18	Because, again, you know, if your choice is, well,
19	there is some planning dollars that have been set
20	aside, and here's a planning initiative, or these
21	funds can be rolled back into a provisional list
22	or to the traditional grant funding cycle, that's,
23	kind of, the choice you are making today.
24	And so if it's something that's not
25	related to, again, what I see as more of a broad

1	process of moving a landowner from not under a
2	permanent easement into a situation where they are
3	under a permanent easement, again, I see that as
4	something that could take years, that could take
5	more than one generation of landowner, and is not
б	simply a light switch on or off. So if it's
7	related to that process, then the Board would see
8	it, and the Board would say "yes" or "no." It's
9	not something that you are locked into at any
10	point.
11	If the Department of Transportation came
12	and said, "Hey, we are looking for resources for
13	eminent domain for the freeway we want to do,"
14	number 1, it's probably not going make it on the
15	agenda; number 2, if it does, you know, the Board
16	is well within its rights to vote it down. It
17	would be on a case-by-case basis where you would
18	have to decide whether or not, you know, again, it
19	meets the mission that the legislature has given
20	the Trust Fund, and whether or not there are
21	resources available to do it.
22	MR. KICKLER: I will admit that's a
23	question I had as well. How many case-by-case
24	how many cases?
25	MR. GOSSAGE: Again, I'm not aware of

any.

1

2 MR. KICKLER: I'm not questioning that, but I taught high school and college. People can 3 be very creative when they answer questions. 4 5 So are there any other comments or questions? б 7 MR. BEAUJEU-DEFOUR: How can we get you 8 involved with Clean Water when you do your stewardship plan? I mean, as Trustee Bragg said, 9 10 we won't perpetrate, so how can -- when you do the 11 plan, you can get a rep from -- original rep, you 12 know, for them to come and explain that. They can go one step further. I mean, do you have that in 13 14 the plan? Is that something that -- because I'm 15 trying to understand how it's connected too. I 16 have no problem with the money, if you can give me 17 a clear -- I mean, if we have something to get in 18 it, I have no problem giving the money. Right 19 now, I see two different entities with no 20 connection between. MR. BROGAN: The vision would be the 21 22 landowner would receive the forest stewardship 23 plan, and they would receive a cover letter 24 invoice that said typically this would have cost 25 you \$203, or whatever it was. But through a

1	partnership with the Clean Water Management Trust
2	Fund, and either our stewardship program or the
3	military, this has been provided to you. And this
4	would be for our forces grant program
5	participants. We didn't necessarily get into the
б	specifics of that, but this would be for folks
7	that understand the importance of the military
8	buffering program. And again, the actual plan,
9	itself, has a lot of water quality information
10	already addressed in it.
11	I could foresee information about Clean
12	Water Management Trust Fund's options for
13	easements as an attachment in there. We have an
14	acquisition program, Forest Legacy. It's actually
15	more of an easement program. So we typically,
16	with a landowner that may be interested in an
17	easement, you know, we already talked to them
18	about our Forest Legacy Program. And what we
19	could do at the same time we talked about that is
20	also break out information about Clean Water
21	Management Trust Fund opportunities, and put that
22	in touch with one of your field reps, if they were
23	interested.
24	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Thank you.

25 MR. KICKLER: Yes, Trustee Martin.

1	MR. MARTIN: I think that's a great idea,
2	Fred. I think that would be that could be a
3	win-win, and just give them some additional
4	options, and so I think that, if you would be
5	willing to do that, that would be a great thing to
6	include in your stewardship plan, is information
7	about what we do, as well as the regional contact
8	that they could get in touch with. I think that
9	would be great.
10	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: If I could add to
11	it, the rep could send a courtesy e-mail or letter
12	saying, "You got a stewardship plan, and we may be
13	able to help you."
14	MR. BROGAN: That's something that we
15	could share the information with the landowners
16	that are participating and receive this plan. And
17	you know, we are always very careful about the
18	privacy of private landowners we work with, but in
19	this case, they are getting something for free,
20	and I think that, given certain circumstances,
21	that may be a good opportunity to reach out to
22	those landowners by your staff to inquire about
23	any interest in what you offer.
24	MR. GOSSAGE: Clean Water staff would be
25	happy to follow up on that process and make

1 landowner contacts through our staff and through 2 our land trust partners to ensure that they are aware of the various easement options available to 3 them. As Commissioner Martin was saying, that the 4 5 art of making sure there is a return on this investment, that we're, kind of, creating -б 7 planting the seeds for future Clean Water 8 customers. MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Yes. 9 That's what I 10 would say to Trustee Martin, if you could prove a 11 clear conation between the two, then anyone coming 12 for money would have to do the same, and if not, 13 we could turn them down pretty easily. 14 MR. BROGAN: This really is a pilot effort. This is one of the most innovative ideas 15 16 out there, in terms of engaging private landowners 17 around military buffers. Florida is making some 18 progress on this. There is certain demographics 19 of those landowners in those buffer areas, and you 20 have the patriotic, and they are easy to get 21 engaged. You have the folks that are not 22 interested in ever selling their lands, but then 23 there is the rest of them. And, you know, we spent a lot of time identifying the fact that you 24 25 have to have some assistance and some incentives

```
1
         to try to bring them in, and this is a very low
 2
         cost.
                  When you think about a plan might be $200
 3
         or $300, it's a pretty low-cost, low-risk option,
 4
 5
         and we are very open to new ways to do this. You
         know, our funding levels have been slashed for the
 б
 7
         last seven years, and we are having to look at
 8
         out-of-the-box partnerships like this.
                  MR. KICKLER: Any other questions?
 9
10
         Comments?
11
                  (No response.)
                  MR. KICKLER: Is there a motion to
12
13
         approve the $30,000 request from the NC Department
14
         of Agriculture and Consumer Services with
         assistance for forest stewardship plans and
15
16
         practice plans using unspent funds set aside for
17
         donated easement grants?
                  MR. MARTIN: I will make that motion with
18
19
         the addition that we -- that they include our
20
         contact information as part of the stewardship
21
         grants that they write.
22
                  MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: And then be
23
         transferred to our office so we could do something
         with that, and I would second that motion.
24
25
                  MR. KICKLER: Second the amended motion?
```

1	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Yes.
2	MR. KICKLER: That the Department of
3	Agriculture provide what was
4	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Our information in
5	their plan, and provide us with the name of the
6	people requesting this plan, so we can if we
7	are going to give them the money, we might as well
8	get their name.
9	MR. KICKLER: With the amendment that the
10	Department of Agriculture provide us with contact
11	information from landowners and provide Clean
12	Water with the contact information of the
13	landowners. Do you
14	MR. MARTIN: Okay. Absolutely.
15	MR. KICKLER: So we have a first and
16	second. Is there any more discussion?
17	MR. TOOLE: Yes.
18	MR. KICKLER: Yes, sir.
19	MR. TOOLE: I have I'm undoubtedly
20	convinced this is an innovative project, there's a
21	need for it, and it's worthy. I don't believe,
22	however, it fits within our wheelhouse.
23	My concerns are these: You know, we get
24	lots of good projects coming before us that
25	involve permanent easements which we cannot fully

1	fund because we don't have the whole shooting
2	match. I know there has been discussion in this
3	Board about the value the future value of
4	temporary easements or reaching out to folks. I
5	do not I have not been convinced that we need
6	to do that those kinds of projects in this
7	Board, because we have so many good projects
8	coming before us that we cannot fully fund. So I
9	don't see that it fits within this Board's mission
10	statement at this time. Which is not to take away
11	from the value of the project. I think it's
12	fabulous, and I wish I could give you a dollar to
13	help you out.
14	That is my concern, and I do share I
15	understand Trustee Kumor's concerns about if we
16	open this door, who else what other cats and
17	rats are coming in after. I think we can manage
18	that on a case-by-case basis. I would hope we
19	could. I just don't think that this fits within

21 voting against this particular amendment.

22 MR. KICKLER: Any other discussion?23 Thank you. Any other discussion?

24 (No response.)

20

25 MR. KICKLER: There has been a motion and

our notion of permanent protections. I will be

1	it has been seconded. So I believe on this one, I
2	should take a role call, and so I will just go
3	down the list. If you are in favor of the motion,
4	please say "aye." If you are not in favor of the
5	motion to approve \$30,000 request as amended and
6	as listed under New Business 1, please say "no."
7	It's "aye" or "no."
8	So just going down the list in
9	alphabetical order, Trustee Bragg.
10	MR. BRAGG: No.
11	MR. KICKLER: Trustee Dufour.
12	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Yes.
13	MR. KICKLER: Trustee Kumor.
14	MS. KUMOR: No.
15	MR. KICKLER: Trustee Martin.
16	MR. MARTIN: Yes.
17	MR. KICKLER: Trustee Toole.
18	MR. TOOLE: No.
19	MR. KICKLER: And I will have to vote no
20	as well.
21	MR. BROGAN: Thank you for your time.
22	MR. TOOLE: Thank you for yours.
23	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Okay. The
24	public is invited to moving on to the next
25	agenda item, which is Public Comments, the public

1	is invited to make comments to the Board. Each
2	person will have a maximum of three minutes. I
3	will keep the time. If your time is up, I will
4	politely ask you to stop, and I hope you will
5	politely oblige and be respectful of the time.
б	Before we move on to public comments, let
7	me make it clear for the record that the last
8	motion failed by vote of four to two.
9	Okay. Now, moving on to public comments.
10	Is there anyone who would like to make a public
11	comment? Yes, sir.
12	MR. SMITH: Good morning. I am
13	Michael "Squeak" Smith, Chairman of the Board of
14	Resource Institute and former Chairman of the
15	Board of Foothills Conservancy. I would just like
16	to thank the members, the trustees, for the
17	long-term commitment and support to the various
18	organizations that I am and have been involved
19	with.
20	Today I would like to talk briefly about
21	a very special project that you all have supported
22	for last two years. It's called the Western
23	North Carolina Stream Initiative. It has been a
24	model program that has been very well accepted
25	nationally, and we have been fortunate, in that we

1 have taken NRCS dollars and leveraged those with 2 state dollars, and also with Clean Water Management Trust Fund dollars to do stream 3 restoration work in the 31 counties of Western 4 5 North Carolina. This past year, we were able to do 40,000 feet of stream restoration on private б 7 property for landowners who have stewardship 8 easements -- excuse me, who has stewardship processes on their farms, and it has enabled us to 9 10 move forward. Our funding for our first year was 11 approximately \$6 million. Funding for this year's 12 projects is about \$5.8 million. And we look 13 forward to next year of an additional give or take 14 \$6 million.

15 This is putting stream restoration on the 16 ground. Your support has been critical. I am 17 asking for more. We have put in a request for 18 \$1.5 million for the last three years to support 19 this project. You have been kind enough to, with 20 the cap, give us \$400,000. The problem with that 21 is -- I mean, it's wonderful that we have gotten 22 that, but it's limiting what we can do. The -- as 23 we move forward and you go into negotiations in August in determining, I wish you would look very 24 25 closely at -- there are, I think, of the 21 stream

1	restoration projects out there, 4 of them amount
2	to about \$700,000 in front of you, are to complete
3	projects within this program. So staff is aware
4	of this, and if you would consult with them, I
5	think you would be able to accomplish more by
б	fully funding this project.
7	I thank you very much for your continued
8	support.
9	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Are there any
10	other comments from the public?
11	MR. HOLMAN: Mr. Chairman.
12	MR. KICKLER: Yes.
13	MR. HOLMAN: Good morning. I'm
14	Bill Holman, North Carolina State Director for the
15	Conservation Fund, and I'm speaking on behalf of
16	the Land for Tomorrow Coalition, which includes
17	some other folks in the room, the Conservation
18	Trust For North Carolina and the Nature
19	Conservancy State Parks. We are an organization
20	that advocates on behalf of the Clean Water
21	Management Trust Fund and the Parks and Recreation
22	Trust Fund, and other funding in the General
23	Assembly.
24	Mr. Gossage gave good news earlier in his
25	report. We want to echo back that we were very

1	pleased that the House budget includes
2	substantial non-recurring, but substantial
3	increases for both the Clean Water Management
4	Trust Fund and the Parks and Rec Trust Fund. We
5	were pleased that there is also the \$2 million
б	appropriation for military buffer protection that
7	would be administered by the Clean Water
8	Management Trust Fund, and there are some other
9	really overall improvements to conservation in the
10	budget.
11	We are all watching, kind of, the

proposed reorganization. The House proposed a 12 13 study of that. We don't know what the Senate is 14 going to do, but we just wanted to say, I think the reason we are able -- our Land for Tomorrow 15 16 Coalition is successful. And advocating for 17 funding in the General Assembly is, frankly, the good work by this Board and the staff, and the 18 quality projects you selected. I think we have 19 20 been able to educate members of the General Assembly about the demand for land conservation 21 22 projects.

The applications you receive, as you well know, greatly outstretch the resources you have available, and we hope to be back in front of you

1	at your next meeting with good news. I think, as
2	you all know, it was a long ways to go between the
3	Senate and the final conference committee, but
4	it's good to be debating increases in funding for
5	the Clean Water Management Trust Fund in this
б	budget cycle, and I think it's good that these
7	appropriations really lift all votes. Based on
8	your allocation decision this morning, 75 percent
9	of, say, \$20 million for acquisition and
10	20 percent of you know, for restoration that
11	lifts all votes. So I'm pleased to be able to
12	provide good news, and I hope we all have good
13	news when you meet in August. Thank you.
14	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Any other
15	public comment? Yes.
16	MR. WILSON: Good morning. I'm
17	Reid Wilson, Executive Director of Conservation
18	Trust of North Carolina. Two points. One is to
19	just add to what Bill Holman just said about how
20	thrilled we are about what the State House did on
21	the budget for Clean Water and for Parks and
22	Recreation.
23	All of us in the Land For Tomorrow
24	Coalition have been spending quality time in the
25	legislature. I want you all to know that. It's

1 been paying off. And we now, obviously, have 2 already turned our attention to the Senate, and will continue to spend a lot of time explaining to 3 key legislators why increased funding for what 4 5 this organization does and Parks and Recreation Trust Fund and the Agricultural Development б 7 Preservation Trust Fund are also important. It 8 always helps when a former Clean Water Management Trust Fund board member is an appropriations 9 10 co-chair of the House. That doesn't hurt. So 11 having Chuck McGrady there is a great thing. 12 That was point one. The second is just a 13 real happy update. Last year you all funded a

14 conservation trust for North Carolina project at 15 the Orchard at Altapass at Mile Post 328 on the 16 Blue Ridge Parkway. Saturday we had an amazingly 17 meaningful fun celebration at the orchard. 18 Bill Carson and Kit Truby, his sister, are the 19 co-owners, and they lavished praise on -- not only 20 on my organization, but upon your organization and 21 your role of funding that conservation easement 22 that would last forever that would enable that 23 special natural and agricultural and scenic and historical place to remain as it is forever, and 24 25 it was covered by Asheville TV, Asheville

1	newspaper, Associated Press, which wound up in the
2	Washington Times up in DC. So it's everywhere.
3	So I just wanted to tell you that we had
4	a great celebration of the protection of the
5	Orchard at Altapass, and it would not have
6	happened without the Clean Water Management Trust
7	Fund Board, so thank you very much.
8	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. More public
9	comment?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. KICKLER: Having seen none, we will
12	move on to the next item of the agenda, which is
13	the closed session. The chair will entertain a
14	motion to enter a closed session pursuant to
15	North Carolina General Statute 143-318.11(a)(3)
16	for the purpose of consulting with its attorneys
17	on Coffey v. Edgemont and Clean Water Management
18	Trust Fund filed in Caldwell County.
19	MR. BRAGG: Mr. Chairman?
20	MR. KICKLER: Yes.
21	MR. BRAGG: I will need to recuse myself
22	from that. May I have permission to drive my
23	truck back to Charlotte, since this is the last
24	item, or do we have other business after this?
25	MR. KICKLER: We have the last item is

1	adjournment. Thank you for reminding us of the
2	that, Trustee Bragg. Appreciate that.
3	MR. MARTIN: Mr. Chairman, I move that
4	the board go into closed session pursuant to
5	North Carolina General Statute Section
б	143-383.11(a)(3) to consult with its attorney
7	regarding Caldwell County Superior Court Docket
8	Number 14 CVS 418. The parties in that case are
9	Plaintiffs Dennis and Sandra Coffey, Jeff Coley,
10	Wilbur Armstrong, Robert Otis Vines, Joyce Vines,
11	Christopher Belcher, Bobby Belcher, Lisa Belcher,
12	Hight D. Reid, Bobby McAbee, Barbara May,
13	Rachel S. Austin, Cora Green, John Reese,
14	Dock T. Ford, Lillian V. Ford, Lyle P. Ford,
15	Angie Ford, Jenkins Printing, Inc.,
16	Walter W. Rabb, Jr., Dennis Coffey, II,
17	Jennifer Coffey, Mark J. Stinson,
18	Ginger P. Stinson, Jack Stokes, Jr.,
19	Marilyn L. Stokes, Lawrence Edward Paysuer, III,
20	Sandra H. Paysuer, Marty Coffey, Sandy Coffey, and
21	Sara Godfrey. Defendants are Edgemont Limited and
22	the Clean Water Management Trust Fund.
23	Thank you very much.
24	MR. KICKLER: Thank you. Is there a
25	second?

1	MR. TOOLE: I will second that one.
2	MR. KICKLER: All those in favor to go to
3	closed session, please say "aye."
4	(Trustees respond.)
5	MR. KICKLER: Opposed.
б	(No response.)
7	MR. KICKLER: Before we go into closed
8	session, I want to say for the record that
9	Trustee Bragg has recused himself from this
10	discussion. He eluded to that before, but I
11	wanted to make it very clear that he is not in
12	this closed session. So we are now our counsel
13	from the Attorney General's Office, Shawn Maier,
14	will keep the records for the closed session.
15	(Board went into closed session from
16	11:35 a.m. to 11:48 a.m.)
17	MR. KICKLER: All right. We are back in
18	open session. Everyone every trustee in
19	attendance is here. Does any trustee have any
20	comments they would like to make before there is a
21	motion to adjourn?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. KICKLER: All right. Hearing none,
24	is there a motion to adjourn?
25	MR. TOOLE: So moved.

1	MR. KICKLER: Thank you, Trustee Toole.
2	Second.
3	MR. BEAUJEU-DUFOUR: Second.
4	MR. KICKLER: Trustee Dufour.
5	All those in favor say "aye."
б	(Trustees respond.)
7	MR. KICKLER: Opposed.
8	(No response.)
9	MR. KICKLER: Hearing no opposition, we
10	are adjourned.
11	
12	(Meeting concluded at 11:49 a.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY - COURT REPORTER

)

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF WAKE)

I, JOANN BUNZE, RPR, Notary Public in and for the above county and state, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting, was held before me at the time and place hereinbefore set forth; and were duly recorded by me by means of stenotype; which is reduced to written form under my direction and supervision, and that this is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, a true and correct transcript.

I further certify that I am neither of counsel to any party or interested in the events of this meeting.

This the 17th day of June, 2015.

Joann Bunze, RPR Notary Public, Wake County, North Carolina Notary Public, #200707300112